Local Authority Highway Maintenance questionnaire 2007/08 - Results.

1. What is the approximate population of your authority?

Authority	Population figures	
Derby	221,708	2001 Census figures
Halton/Runcorn	118,752	2005 mid year estimates
Leicester	279,923	2001 Census figures
Peterborough	170,000	
South Gloucester	243,000	
Warrington	191,000	

2. Approximately how many kilometres of carriageway and footway is your local authority responsible for?

	Principle Roads	Non-principle Classified Rds	Unclassified Rds	Footways
Authority				
Derby	62	79	578	1,092 (approx)
Halton/Runcorn	49.7	79.3	430.2	200 (approx)
Leicester	65	67	656	1300
Peterborough	70.9	235.3	541.3	Unknown
South Gloucester	117	400	948	1654
Warrington	100.9	115.9	727.8	1400

3. Do you use any of the following funding sources to finance your carriageway and footway maintenance?

	Council Revenues	LTP monies	Prudential borrowing	PFI agreement	Other
<u>Authority</u>	FW / CW	FW / CW	FW / CW	FW / CW	FW / CW
					·
Derby	Yes / Yes	No / Yes	No / No	No / No	CW - Dft grant for
					de-trunking
Halton/Runcorn	Yes / Yes	Yes / Yes	No / No	No / No	
Leicester	Yes / Yes	Yes / Yes	No / No	No / No	City Centre Regeneration
Peterborough	Yes / Yes	No / Yes	No / No	No/No	Peterborough City Council Capital Allocation Capital allocation for drought damage Additional PSA allocation HA detrunking maintenance grant
South Gloucester	Yes / Yes	Yes / Yes	No / No	No / No	
Warrington	Yes / Yes	Yes / Yes	No / No	No/No	Capital reserves are are allocated annually via medium term priority investment bids – future bids have been submitted for 08/09.

4. What was the approximate value of the funding you received from each of the following sources in 2006/07?

Council		Prudential	PFI	
Revenues	LTP monies			Other
				·
1,708,000	1,850,000	0	0	£110,000 - HA de-trunked maintenance grant
4,295,296	2,364,840	0	0	0
6,621,500	3,383,000	0	0	Unable to quantify the amount of funding to improve The roads and footways in the city centre Which came from council revenues for the overall City centre improvements.
2,644,255	198,000	0	0	£1,582,500 - PCC capital Allocation £738,500 Capital allocation for drought damage £265,000 Additional PSA allocation £469,000 HA detrunking maintenance grant £60,000
3,311,000	3,145,000	0	0	0
2,200,000	1,600,000	0	0	 £500K bid for 08/09 - Revenue funding covers maintenance activities that include drainage, footway and carriageway repairs and improvements (resurfacing and surface treatments) street naming, road markings, signs maintenance safety fencing and environmental works – does not include lighting, winter or gully emptying LTP is the allocation for roads from the maintenance block allocation £500k bid is for supplementing repairs to roads and gully / drainage maintenance needed across the
	Revenues 1,708,000 4,295,296 6,621,500 2,644,255 3,311,000	Revenues L TP monies 1,708,000 1,850,000 4,295,296 2,364,840 6,621,500 3,383,000 2,644,255 198,000 3,311,000 3,145,000	Revenues LTP monies borrowing 1,708,000 1,850,000 0 4,295,296 2,364,840 0 6,621,500 3,383,000 0 2,644,255 198,000 0 3,311,000 3,145,000 0	Revenues LTP monies borrowing agreement 1,708,000 1,850,000 0 0 4,295,296 2,364,840 0 0 6,621,500 3,383,000 0 0 2,644,255 198,000 0 0 3,311,000 3,145,000 0 0

5. What are your intervention levels for the following \dots

5a. Carriageway maintenance?

Authority

Derby	40mm and 25mm at footway dropped crossing points					
Halton/Runcorn	40mm deep pothole at least 300mm wide.					
Leicester	Table 4.11 Safety Inspection Intervention Leve	els				
	Carriageways					
		Location				
	Defect Type	2, 3(a), 3(b), 4	4(a), 4(b)			
	Defect Type	Intervention	Category			
		Level. (mm)				
	Pothole, Raised or Missing Block, Levels on	40-59	D			
	Concrete Bays					
	Pothole, Raised or Missing Block, Levels on	60 +	В			
	Concrete Bays					
	Loose or missing ironwork	Loose or	В			
		missing				
		ironwork				
	Fading road markings	N/a	Note; rolling programme of road marking repair/replacement			
	Missing or damaged road studs	N/a	Note: rolling programme of road stud replacement			
	Areas of carriageway designated for pedestria	Areas of carriageway designated for pedestrian usage - Footway intervention levels to be applied				
	e.g. Pedestrian/zebra crossing, refuges with adjacent dropped crossing, adjacent to tactile paved					
	crossing points and temporary pedestrianised areas.					
Peterborough	Pothole depth of 100mm in carriageway. (Cat 1 defect)					
South Gloucester	Potholes over 40*300*300mm					
Warrington	Cat 1 repair - 40mm					

5a. Footway maintenance?

Derby	15mm in City Centre.	25mm elsewhere.			
Halton/Runcorn	Trips over 20mm deep. Rocking flags greater than 20mm. 25mm deep in flexible footway over leng of 600mm.				
eicester	Table 4.11 Safe	ety Inspection Interve	ntion Levels		
	Footways and cy	cleways			
	Defect Type	Location 1,1a		Location 2,3,4	
		Intervention Level (mm)	Category	Intervention Level (mm)	Category
	Trip	25 + 20 – 24	B D	25 + 20 – 24	B D
	Rocking Block / Flagstone	+/-10	В	+/-10	D
	Missing Block	All	В	All	В
	Loose Kerb adjacent to hard surface of footway / refuge	+/-10	D	+/-10	E
	Missing Kerb	All	В	All	D
	Sinkage – Steep sided tripping point	>25 in 0.5m	D	>25 in 0.5m	E
	Horizontal Gap	Width>25 and Depth>25	E	Width>25 and Depth>25	E
	Bollards – New	New Installation	E	New Installation	E
	Bollards – Knocked over – Repair/ Replace	If trip >25 If trip 20 – 24	D	If trip >25 If trip 20 - 24	D
	Grass Verges	Only repair if cost can be recharged	E	Only repair if cost can be recharged	E
	Loose or missing ironwork	Loose or missing ironwork (Reported for the attention of Statutory Undertakers)	Request 7 day response or recharge repair	Loose or missing ironwork	N/a
	Category A – Sam Category D – With	e day by 2000 hours		3 - Within 24 hours tegory E - Within 4 w	eeks
Peterborough	Pothole or trip depth o	of 20mm on a cycleway or t	footway.		
South Gloucester		holes over 20*150*150mm			
Warrington		ags - vertical trip or 25mm		s includes crossing p	oints in

6. What was your approximate total 2006/07 budget - excluding budget for structures, street lighting, winter maintenance and amenity maintenance, for ...?

Planned carriageway maintenance including surface dressing and prepatching?

<u>Authority</u>	Total Budget?	
Derby	2,225,000	
Halton/Runcorn	758,080	
Leicester	907,000	
Peterborough	1,173,800	
South Gloucester	2,960,000	
Warrington	600,000	from revenue plus £1.6m of LTP

Routine carriageway maintenance?

Authority	Total Budget?	
Derby	390,000	
Halton/Runcorn	431,670	
Leicester	130,000	(Reactive?)
Peterborough	950,000	
South Gloucester	1,159,000	
Warrington	650,000	for safety and serviceability

Planned footway maintenance including slurry sealing or similar low cost treatments?

Authority

<u>Authority</u>	Total Budget?	_
Derby	525,000	
Halton/Runcorn	215,720	
Leicester	869,000	
Peterborough	450,000	
South Gloucester	185,000	
Warrington	250,000	from revenue

Routine footway maintenance?

<u>Authority</u>	Total Budget?	_
Derby	438,000	
Halton/Runcorn	126,070	
Leicester	440,000	(Reactive?)
Peterborough	291,500	
South Gloucester	530,000	
Warrington	320,000	

7. How was your 2006/07 expenditure split between ...

	Planned Schemes	Patching to make safe
Authority		
Derby	2,850,000	828,000
Halton/Runcorn	973,800	557,740
Leicester	1,545,000	560,000
Peterborough	1,592,000	350,000
South Gloucester	2,045,000	1,100,000
Warrington	£65ok revenue plus £1.6 LTP	£900k revenue

8. Do you use recycled materials for highway and footway maintenance?

	Yes / No	
Authority		
Derby	Yes	
Halton/Runcorn	Yes	
Leicester	Yes	
Peterborough	Yes	
South Gloucester		No
Warrington	Yes	

9. If you ticked 'yes' to Q8, please tell us what was the approximate percentage of the recycled material you used last year?

	Percentage	
Authority		
Derby	Unknown	
Halton/Runcorn	Approx 11,250	tonne of recycled material used in Highway works in 2005.
Leicester	20%	of aggregate used is recycled material.
Peterborough		Recycled Rural carriageways. Type 1 in footway spec approved Sept 2006
Warrington	Unknown	Do not have exact records

10. What was your Council's 2006/07 performance score for.....

BV187 BV223	BV224a	BV224b
-------------	--------	--------

<u>Authority</u>				
Derby	17%	13%	14%	11%
Halton/Runcorn				
Leicester	47%	11%	11%	9.59%
Peterborough				
South Gloucester				
Warrington				

11. What was the approximate total cost to your Council in **2006/07** of payments and settlements arising from claims relating to carriageway and footway defects?

Approx Cost

<u>Authority</u>		
Derby		2006/07 figure equates to £10,402.75 by LA Department, £182,147.70 by the Local Authority and £66,495.71 by the Insurer.
Halton/Runcorn	£6,173 paid	£592,369 pending settlement (Not all of this will be paid dependant upon investigation).
Leicester	£18,000	with a reserve of £760,000
Peterborough	£234,081	
South Gloucester	£34,000	This is only what is settled to date. £277,000 outstanding in reserves.
		72 cases from 144 closed
Warrington	£5,400	(67 closed claims)

12. Have you carried out any consultation to find out the public's perception of the state of the carriageways and footways in your Council's area?

	Yes / No		
<u>Authority</u>			
Derby		No	
Halton/Runcorn	Yes		
Leicester	Yes		
Peterborough		No	
South Gloucester		No	
Warrington	Yes		

13. If you ticked 'yes' to Q11, briefly explain what the public's perceptions were ...

<u>Authority</u>

Halton/Runcorn		q28 Satisfie	d with High	ways in Ha	lton Borough		
			Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
	Valid	Very satisfied	34	4.7	4.8	4.8	
		Satisfied	360	49.9	51.1	56.0	
		Neither	173	24.0	24.6	80.5	
		Dissatisfied	119	16.5	16.9	97.4	
		Very dissatisfied	18	2.5	2.6	100.0	
		Total	704	97.6	100.0		
	Missir	g Not answered	17	2.4			
	Total		721	100.0			
Leicester	30 questions on various aspects of maintenance. More detail can be provided if required. From a Mori survey of council services carried out in 2005						
	4% were very satisfied, 47% were fairly satisfied, 15% were neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 19% were fairly dissatisfied, 10% were very dissatisfied, with the council's road maintenance service and 5% didn't know.						
3% were very satisfied, 38% were fairly satisfied, 14% were neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 28% were fairly dissatisfied, 14% were very dissatisfied with the council's pavement maintenance service and 3% didn't know.							
Warrington		Poor investment in roads – perception of lots of potholes not being repaired quickly enough and quality of repairs questioned					