External Audit Action Plan: updated position as 6 March 2018 Valuations

Issue	<u>Action</u>	<u>Update</u>	<u>Status</u>
A. Property, Plant and Equipment			
1. There were no reconciliations performed between the SAM system (used by the Estates team) and the RAM system (used by the finance team). This meant that the	basis.	1A. Accountancy team and Estates Team working together	1A.Progressing.
Council was unable to satisfy itself that the assets recorded in the financial statements were complete, exist, owned by the Council, and valued appropriately. A reconciliation has now been performed at our	The reconciliation will be signed off by both system owners and evidence of review by senior managers documented.	The manual reconciliation between the two services continues.	
request. This reconciliation must be maintained going forward.	1B. In the longer term an automated interface will be developed to eliminate the need for data transfers by spreadsheet between SAM and RAM.	1B Progress has been made between SAM&E, Finance and the Council's Corporate Property Data Team to work towards an automated interface between SAM & RAM. On hold for now due to other priorities.	1B. On hold.
	1C. Moving forward, the reconciliation should be extended to include the Legal team to ensure all titles are matched to property assets, and a plan will be developed.	1C. This is a bigger piece of work and a work programme is being developed by Interim Strategic Director of Corporate Resources.	1C. At planning stage.
2. All valuations are performed manually using Microsoft	Agreed and accepted.		
Excel. The data from the Excel spreadsheet is then		2A. Complete.	
manually entered into the SAM/RAM systems. The use	development controls can lead to the creation of erroneous data entry.		
of Excel spreadsheets to calculate valuations and the	2B. The relevant spreadsheet model will be reviewed to ensure it is supported	2B. Upload valuation template has been supplied to our external	
manual transfer of data from the spreadsheets to the	by appropriate documentation which describes its operation and to determine	valuers. The information in this template will be used to upload	2B. The upload template has
SAM/RAM systems increases the risk of calculation	whether control totals can be built it to sense check data entry.	directly into RAM, which will avoid the manipulation of	been completed and is currently
errors occurring through accidental amendments to		spreadsheets.	being quality checked by Accy.
spreadsheet formulae and data loss or error on manual	2C. In future, formal valuations will be prepared by an independent expert to eliminate the need for internal calculations. A procurement exercise will		
transfer from Excel to SAM/RAM. We have seen		2C.Completed.	2C. Completed.
evidence of poor control over the valuation models in Excel which leads to errors and inconsistency of	preparation		
approach.			
approach.	2D. In addition the Estates team will be more closely engaged with the finance team throughout year end planning to ensure effective quality assurance is	2D. In progress	2D. Daily liaison and weekly meetings between Estates and
The Council must put in place robust controls over the	applied to data submission. This will be evidenced through 2017/18 year end		Accountancy being held to
data within the Excel valuation models and the transfer	closure timetable and plan.		progress.
of such data to the SAM/RAM systems. 3. The Council obtains significant amounts of data to	Agreed and accepted.		
support the asset valuations made. However, the	This a further example where effective quality assurance and review should		
source of the data is not always clear, and the analysis	enhance the quality of source data provided.		
of the data and how it has been applied to the resultant			
valuation is not clearly documented. There is a	3A. The development of clear working paper standards is in progress and will be tested during the production of the 2016/17 statement of accounts.	3A. The development of clear working papers for the statement are in place within Accountancy.	3A. Complete.
tendency to list comparable data without analysis as to	be tested during the production of the 2016/17 statement of accounts.	are in place within Accountancy.	
the context and asset in question. With so many assets		A Valuation Manual has been compiled which sets out the	
in similar geographic area, often a single list is applied		appropriate methodology to be utilised when undertaking Asset	
to multiple assets without application to the specific		Valuations.	
asset in question. This means that asset valuations	3B. In future the Council will formally contract the services of an independent,		
are difficult to review and challenge.	expert to support the internal process.		3B. Currently being quality
All asset valuations should be evidenced as reviewed by an appropriately qualified individual.	It should be noted that in respect of the 2015/16 valuation data, the relevant Strategic Director has documented the directorate's quality assurance review of base data. This included the implementation of standard templates to ensure consistency and transparency within the valuation process and comparability of	3B. Complete	assured.
	data.		

I	A . **	111	010100
<u>Issue</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Update</u>	<u>Status</u>
4. The Robust review and challenge of valuations has been difficult for the Authority to achieve. We note that there have been several changes made to staffing within the Council's Estates team since late 2015 in an attempt to address issues around the lack of dual signoff for major asset valuations, the lack of peer review of valuations from a suitably qualified and experienced	Agreed and accepted. 4A. Going forward, sufficient resource will be made available to ensure the Estates team are effectively supported by external expertise with the relevant technical knowledge. The current Estates team have worked hard to support the revised valuation process and are now deemed to be fit for purpose.	4A. Two further Registered Valuers have been brought into the Estates team to add further robustness to the process. One of these Registered Valuers will have responsibility for the Programme Management of the outsourced Asset Valuation contract	4A Complete.
individual, and the lack of in-house experience of more technical aspects of asset valuation. A stable robust staffing structure needs to be created	4B. Lessons have been learnt and in future the Estates Team will be more closely engaged within the planning process and timetables of the finance team and be involved in training and awareness of any changed reporting requirements.	4B. Weekly meetings are in place – see concerns in point 3B.	4B Complete.
and maintained within the Council's Estates team, supported, as deemed necessary, by the use of outside expertise.	requirements.		
5. There is no robust process in place to ensure that significant events giving rise to impairment or other significant change in valuation are appropriately considered in the valuation of PPE. This has meant that valuations are not updated on a timely basis to reflect significant events impacting on the valuation of assets.	Agreed and accepted. This activity is fundamental requirement within the production of the statement of accounts and will be reinforced in future years. 5A. The use of the CIPFA checklist provides an effective control to ensure all aspects of the "code" are considered in the preparation of the accounts.	5A. Accountancy Team are using the CIPFA checklist.	
The Council's close-down process for the preparation of the annual statement of accounts needs to include provision for the documented review of indicators of impairment	5B. Update – the Estates team prepare a half yearly report confirming the property portfolio and all significant changes and events which is reconciled to the asset register	5B. This action has slipped but plans in place for catch up. To ensure timely transfer of information, this report will be quarterly moving forward. To ensure further development, an automated process will be developed to ensure all information is taken directly from SAM.net Corporate Property Data system.	5B. Quarterly reporting not yet embedded – Work In Progress
 6. Asset lives are not reassessed on a timely basis. Our audit procedures found that assets that had a 1 year life span at beginning of period were not assessed for a new useful life where capital additions had been made, and so fully depreciated in the year. The value of these additions and depreciation is £4.9m. Failure to reassess asset lives on a timely basis leads to: Depreciation charges at risk of misstatement, Inaccurate information used for maintenance/capital replacement programs and insurance needs. The Council's close-down process for the preparation of the annual statement of accounts needs to include 	Agreed and accepted. Again, the review of asset lives is a fundamental requirement in the preparation of the annual accounts and this process will be built into future year end planning processes commencing with 2016/17 accounts. The use of the CIPFA checklist provides an effective control to ensure all aspects of the "code" are considered in the preparation of the accounts and this will form part of the working papers to support the accounts. Update – the 2017/18 timetable includes an assessment of asset lives to ensure depreciation is fairly stated	6. This was overcome in 2016/17 due to being re-valued. For 2017/18 there is a planned process in place.	6 Complete
 provision for the documented review of asset lives A significant proportion of PPE additions relates to 'Eureka fees', effectively the capitalisation of management time to projects. The rates used are not formally approved and the amounts logged in the 	Agreed and accepted 7A. The capitalisation of "internal" project management costs should be reviewed on a project by project basis to ensure the appropriate charges are reflected in both revenue and capital costs.	7A. Complete.	7A. Complete.
spreadsheet for eureka fees did not reconcile to the general ledger. Capitalisation rates should receive formal approval and there should be evidence of review and approval by the Service Directors for the amount of capitalized spend at the end of each financial year.	7B. A review of the process, scope and control of the capitalisation of internal costs will be undertaken to develop a more structured and transparent policy and appropriate working papers prepared to evidence management review and approval	7B. Complete.	7B Complete

<u>lssue</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Update</u>	<u>Status</u>
No reconciliation between the fixed asset register and	Agreed and accepted.		
the general ledger takes place throughout the year –	Best practice, issued by CIPFA some years ago, indicated that balance sheet		
everything is done at the year end. This puts significant			
pressure of the limited resource in the finance team to	The finance team recourse is directed to revenue management but will be		
close and reconcile the PPE balances. This increases	revised to ensure capital monitoring within directorates have equal focus. This	8A The fixed asset register does not move until year end as this	8A Complete.
	will ensure that capital expenditure is identified and accounted for on a timeler	has to be done in a certain order there is now a focus on	
the risk that the Council is unable to meet the statutory	basis.	gathering information on changes and analysing capital	
deadline for preparation of its statement of accounts.	In addition future year end planning will seek to accelerate the asset valuation process will be complete by December and capital accounting completed in	expenditure to closedown as Feb (Actual process will begin in March)	
Management should consider processing	February in advance of the year end.		
addition/disposal transactions throughout the year,		8B Further processes have been put in place via the quarterly	8B Quarterly reporting not yet
and undertaking asset valuations at an earlier point to enable sufficient time for transactions to be processed		report to collect new acquisitions and disposals though the	embedded – Work In Progress
and reviewed to ensure quality of financial reporting is		property register. However this report was due at Qtr2 and not received an appropriate copy.	
maintained.		тесетуей ап арргорпате сору.	
9. The use of indexation to approximate asset valuation			
movements is a blunt instrument and difficult to justify	To support the use of indexation, in respect of certain asset categories, an appropriate rationale will be provided in order for the basis to be both		
when individual asset valuations are challenged. Indexation should only be used as a tool to revalue	'		
assets when the indexation basis can be demonstrated		9B. There is no indexation required for 17/18	Complete.
to be directly relevant to the assets to which it is being		35. There is no indexador required for 17776	Complete.
applied.	γ - σ - σ - σ - σ - σ - σ - σ - σ - σ -		
10. Assets had multiple lines in the asset register due to	Agreed and accepted.		
componentisation of individual assets. The value was	Where assets have separate components in the asset register, revised		
not appropriately apportioned between the various	valuation estimates will be fully reflected against the entire asset.		
individual line items in the asset register and caused	10A. To enhance the process, and evidence action, a separate working paper	404 1	0
uncertainty as to which assets were included in the	will be developed to document componentised assets to demonstrate that	10A. In place for 17/18 accounts.	Complete.
valuation. This issue, coupled with weaknesses in the	valuation estimates have been properly accounted to:		
SAM to RAM reconciliations above, increases the risk			
that the valuation of PPE recorded in the financial			
statements will be materially misstated.			
The valuation provided by the Council's valuers should			
be agreed to the total value recorded in the fixed asset			
register for the particular asset subject to valuation.			
11. Descriptions of assets were not clear due to historical			
capturing of the capital expenditure. This resulted in	It is clear that there are weaknesses in the financial accounting and monitoring	This was identified in 15/16 and corrected and RAM has been	
certain assets being written off in the asset register as	processes regarding the identification and recording of capital expenditure.	cleansed. Process for Estates to review what happens to	
a result of not being verified. Assets to the value of	Planned changes in the structure and focus of the finance team should support	capitalised expenditure.	Complete.
£5.5.million relating to parks could be not verified and	the resolution of this matter and progress will be monitored to ensure the improvement is delivered.	Capital expenditure is now linked to a unique UPRN.	
was written down.	improvement is delivered.	Capital experiordie is now linked to a driique OFKN.	
The Council should ensure that all capital expenditure			
is appropriately described in the asset register, and			
matched to the relevant existing asset (where			
applicable).			
12. Timelines were not appropriately set, adhered to and			
monitored to ensure that adequate review time was set	The year end planning process will be refined to ensure that a clear timetable,	In progress – timetable agreed and issued quality assurance in	Progressing and on track.
for working papers across departments.	resource and responsibility plan is prepared well in advance of year end. The revised process will include guidance on working paper standards and quality	place. Planned meeting with GA's on working papers in March 2018.	
The Council needs to ensure that a detailed project	The state of the s		
plan is in place for preparation of the statutory	Lalarena		
financial statements and an individual is given			
responsibility for ensuring that all departments adhere			
to the quality and timing requirements contained			
therein.			

Appendix 3

Issue	Action	Update	Status
B. Cash and Bank Balances			
The Council has insufficient oversight of the cash management function and this should be addressed as a matter of priority. We have noted several concerns with respect to the record	The control framework around cash and bank balances is in the process of being completely reviewed and re-designed to ensure effective and timely reconciliation processes are in place.	Complete.	Complete.
keeping and financial controls in place around the management and recording of cash balances. The Council should put in place the following recommendations: Cash in hand balances should be reconciled at the	A schedule of key reconciliations and timescales will be developed to ensure key balances are fully reconciled and reflected in ledger at an appropriate time (daily/weekly/monthly/annually)	Process in place.	Complete – business as usual.
year-end date, or for school balances; where school holidays fall over the year-end date, as near to it as practicable. • All cash/bank accounts should be reconciled,	A "monthly control review" of reconciliations and challenge of unreconciled items will be performed by the relevant Head of Finance All reconciliations will be subject to review by the DoF on a monthly basis starting with month end reconciliations as at the end of May 2017	Process in place.	Complete – business as usual.
regardless of value. Reconciling items should be cleared in a timely manner.	Evidence of review and actions required will be maintained throughout the year on a monthly basis.	Process in place.	Complete – business as usual.
Evidence should be presented with the cash and bank reconciliations to enable the reviewer to be satisfied that the reconciliation has been performed correctly and to an appropriate quality.		Process in place.	Complete – business as usual.
 All cash/bank reconciliations should be subject to a detailed review. This detailed review should be evidenced on the working paper. A high level review and challenge of balances in the 			
cash/bank accounts should be performed on a monthly basis such that the Council understands the reasons for significant movements.			
C. Record keeping and reconciliations to the general ledger			
Reconciliation between Council Tax and NNDR System and the General Ledger: No reconciliations have been performed between the Council Tax/NNDR system and the general ledger	Agreed and accepted The effective reconciliation between the general ledger and these two key income streams will be prepared on a monthly basis and form part of the "monthly control review" process.	Process in place.	Complete – business as usual.
throughout the year. The Council should reconcile these feeder systems to the General Ledger on a monthly basis, clearly setting out the reason/source of reconciling differences by way	Reconciliations will be prepared, reviewed and challenged to ensure the correct information is reflected in the accounting records.	Process in place.	Complete – business as usual.
of working papers to support the reconciliation. The reconciliation should be subject to a detailed review, and evidenced as having received such.			
Reconciliation between Tenants Rental Income Ledger and the General Ledger: No reconciliations have been performed between the Tenants Rent system and the general ledger throughout the year.	Agreed and accepted The effective reconciliation between the general ledger and this income streams will be prepared on a monthly basis and form part of the "monthly control review" process. Reconciliations will be prepared, reviewed and challenged to ensure the	TN 6 – New Open Housing system has created issued from Period 7 with balancing items, manual work around is in place from Period 11 to ensure integrity of the ledger. In place - see above.	Awaiting outcome of Period 11 reconciliations to assess and manage potential impact.
The Council should reconcile these feeder systems to the General Ledger on a monthly basis, clearly setting out the reason/source of reconciling differences by way of working papers to support the reconciliation. The	correct information is reflected in the accounting records.		

reconciliation should be subject to a detailed review,		
and evidenced as having received such.		

	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Update</u>	<u>Status</u>
and poor practice with appears to have been experienced resource review. Examples incl. Failure to determine	e NNDR write-offs (In 2014/15	Agreed and accepted 3A The Council's Financial Regulations provide guidance as to how debt write- offs should be managed, reported and authorised. The disciplines required by the Financial Regulations will be reinforced and a monthly review of NNDR debt and Tenants' rent debts will be established between the Head of Revenues and Benefits and the Director of Finance.	3A In place – quarterly write-off reported as part of Budget Monitoring from Q3 Separate report to Cabinet in Q2.	Complete – business as usual.
offs have been £200k due to a shortage of s	is were £1.4m. For 2015/16write- k). We have been told that this is taff able to perform this exercise. ng/challenge of provisions made	Following the completion of the 2015/16 and 2016/17 accounts a review of provisioning policy will be performed	TN7 – Draft available and been reviewed	Complete
 against Tenants Rents Accounts receivabreconciliations have rebasis throughout the analysis 	le and Accounts payable not been performed on a monthly	In addition, the Financial Regulations will be subject to review to ensure they reflect best practice and are relevant within the context of the Council's £220m net budget. See response to reconciliations and general ledger controls above	In progress – initial review has highlighted minor changes to schools capital spend which has been addressed and a minor inconsistency with procurement regs, which is to be rectified.	Update to Council required on minor change – Work In Progress.
recommendations: • The Council should	put in place the following put into place procedures to fs are identified, approved and			
receivables balances a documented and	basis. is made with respect to s, this should be done based on reviewed approach, taking into data and assumptions.			
ledgers to the gene and ensuring th	should be reconciling all sub- eral ledger on a monthly basis nat reconciling items are with, and in a timely manner.			