

Time began: 6.00pm
Time ended: 6.53pm

COUNCIL CABINET

10 June 2015

Present Councillor Banwait (Chair)
 Councillors Afzal, Bolton, Eldret, Hussain, Russell and Shanker

In attendance Councillors Graves, Holmes and Jones

This record of decisions was published on 12 June 2015. The key decisions set out in this record will come into force and may be implemented on the expiry of five clear days unless a key decision is called in.

1/15 Apologies

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Rawson, Repton and Hickson.

2/15 Late Items

There were no late items.

3/15 Receipt of Petitions

There were no petitions received.

4/15 Identification of Urgent Items to which Call In will not apply

There were no items.

5/15 Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

6/15 Minutes of the meetings held on 15 April 2015

The minutes of the meetings held on 15 April 2015 were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

Matters Referred

7/15 Recommendations from Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Recommendations from Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board. The Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board met and discussed items contained within the Council Cabinet Agenda. The report enabled the views and recommendations resulting from these discussions to be formally shared with Council Cabinet. These were submitted to Council Cabinet as Appendix 2, prior to commencement of the meeting.

Decision

To receive the report and accept all the recommendations from the Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board.

Key Decisions

8/15 Tackling Loneliness and Social Isolation Faced by Older People

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Tackling Loneliness and Social Isolation Faced by Older People. On 22nd January 2014 Council Cabinet agreed to launch a review to establish progress in tackling social isolation and loneliness faced by some older people in Derby. The following report summarised the findings of that review. In April 2015 Council Cabinet agreed the Council's strategy for adult social care: "Your Life, Your Choice – building sustainable care and support in Derby". Tackling social isolation and loneliness was essential to building sustainable care and support and ensuring good outcomes for Derby's most vulnerable adults.

National research underlined that loneliness and isolation should not be regarded as inevitable by-products of ageing. The great majority of Derby's older people were not socially isolated and many bring a considerable personal contribution to their communities, alleviating loneliness both for themselves and others.

The contribution of older people had always been essential in Derby's many communities, especially through helping support their most vulnerable members. Therefore the Council's role in tackling social isolation and loneliness could be seen to have two important dimensions:

1. Helping older people feel welcomed and included in their local community, and valued for the contribution that they could make.
2. Ensuring older people had access to a range of support that helped them to live the life they want and remain a contributing member of their community regardless of their age or impairment.

The Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board commended the Local Area Co-ordination service and would like to see future expansion of the service across the city to include all 17 wards. The Board recommended to Council Cabinet that an evaluation report be submitted to a meeting of the Protecting Vulnerable Adults Board for review and to assist in identifying how this could be achieved.

The Board noted that there may be a gap in the service provision to ensure that criminality issues faced by vulnerable older people such as fraud through telephone and doorstep 'scams' and recommended that the Council Cabinet Member encourages greater collaboration between Derbyshire Constabulary, the Police And Crime Commissioner's Office and Neighbourhood Officers to tackle this issue.

The Board was aware that Local Area Co-ordinators were working hard to ensure that they were fully aware of the social demographics of the areas they cover. However, the Board recommended to Council Cabinet that the Local Area Co-ordinators were fully engaged with Neighbourhood Boards and Neighbourhood Officers to ensure partnership working occurs between Council services being delivered in communities and with other local groups and partner organisations to ensure efficiency and cost effectiveness.

Options Considered

Doing nothing, this would risk poor outcomes for some older people whose loneliness and risk of isolation could be tackled by appropriate partnership work between the Council, other organisations and local communities.

Decision

1. To note the progress that the Council had made in engaging with Derby's older people and reducing social isolation and loneliness since Council Cabinet launched a review of this important national issue in January 2014.
2. To agree the expansion of neighbourhood services for older people with social care needs, in particular the growth of the Council's Shared Lives service which was developed after listening to the priorities and preferences of local older and disabled people.
3. To accept all the recommendations of the Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board:
 - that an evaluation report be submitted to a meeting of the Protecting Vulnerable Adults Board for review and to assist in identifying how this could be achieved.
 - that the Council Cabinet Member encourages greater collaboration between Derbyshire Constabulary, the Police And Crime Commissioner's Office and Neighbourhood Officers to tackle this issue.
 - that the Local Area Co-ordinators were fully engaged with Neighbourhood Boards and Neighbourhood Officers to ensure

partnership working occurs between Council services being delivered in communities and with other local groups and partner organisations to ensure efficiency and cost effectiveness.

Reasons

1. Older people were the lifeblood of the city in many important respects. They contributed extensively to both family and community life. It was vital that the Council enabled as many older people as possible to continue to do this, both because older people themselves deserve this support and recognition and because Derby would always need their wisdom, compassion and energy.
2. New generations had changing expectations about the ways they needed to be supported should they develop complex physical or mental health problems such as dementia. The Council should respond to this by developing new forms of support, such as Local Area Coordination and the Shared Lives service, that help older people stay active and engaged within their local communities.

9/15 Administering Personal Health Budgets

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Administering Personal Health Budgets. As from April 2015, Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) were expected to make Personal Health Budgets (PHBs) available for people with long term physical and mental health conditions.

In Derby, Southern Derbyshire CCG (SD CCG) would cover the Care Planning aspect of PHBs, and were looking to contract with Derby City Council (DCC) for the financial administration of PHBs. This would involve the Council overseeing the setting up of dedicated customer bank accounts, making monthly payments into those bank accounts, conducting quarterly reviews of customer spend against plan and reporting exceptions to SD CCG.

Initial discussions had identified a mutually acceptable business process that re-uses existing arrangements in DCC for managing Adult Social Care Personal Budgets.

Options Considered

The option of not cooperating with SD CCG in delivering PHBs had been discounted as the proposal offered an opportunity to move towards more integrated health and social care services in Derby.

Decision

1. To authorise the entering into of an agreement with Southern Derbyshire Clinical Commissioning Group for the Council to deliver a Personal Health Budget administration service on its behalf.
2. To give delegated authority to the Strategic Director of Adults, Health and Housing, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Integrated Health

and Care and the Director of Legal and Democratic Services, to negotiate the terms of the agreement with Southern Derbyshire Clinical Commissioning Group and to oversee the implementation of the service.

Reasons

1. It was cost-effective for the local Health and Social Care economy to re-use established processes and systems for this purpose.
2. The proposal mirrored an agreement being established for Derbyshire County Council to administer PHBs on behalf of SD CCG in the county.
3. The proposal represented an opportunity for Adult Social Care and SD CCG to move towards delivering a more integrated approach to supporting vulnerable adults in Derby.

10/15 Approval of Modifications to the A52 Congestion Management and Integrated Transport Scheme

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Approval of Modifications to the A52 Congestion Management and Integrated Transport Scheme. In July 2013 the Derby, Derbyshire, Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Local Enterprise Partnership (D2N2 LEP) prioritised £6,690k of its indicative budget towards the A52 Congestion Management and Integrated Transport Scheme. Subsequently in February 2014 Council Cabinet approved the preferred option, a feasibility design providing highway improvements based on existing levels.

Following approval of the preferred option we had reviewed the feasibility design and consulted local landowners, businesses and developers. In response we were proposing a number of modifications to the layout. Two options were requested for approval; one which incorporated the improvements required to bring forward the planned development at the Derwent Triangle site and one assuming no development at this site.

The modified designs would not have detrimental impacts on safety and capacity and it was anticipated that they would provide cost and construction benefits.

We now need to design the scheme in detail. Our next steps were:

- Carry out stakeholder consultation
- Start negotiations with landowners
- Apply for planning permission later this year
- Submit a business case to the LEP for approval before funding could be secured

The Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board recommended that the first option be prioritised to deliver the full scheme in one go working closely with developers to achieve this.

Options Considered

1. A feasibility study was carried out to look at a range of options to address congestion and improve access in the vicinity of the A52 and Wyvern junctions. Options reported to Council Cabinet in February 2014 included grade separated solutions, analysis showed that the environmental impacts, costs and benefit levels and deliverability of the grade separated options made them unviable.
2. The preferred option presented to Council Cabinet was a feasibility design; it was acknowledged that this layout would be refined during preliminary and detailed design stages and following initial discussions with landowners and developers.

Decision

1. To approve the modifications to the two preferred options for the A52 Congestion Management and Integrated Transport Scheme, as shown on the plans in Appendices 3 and 4 of the report.
2. To approve consultation on the detailed design with stakeholders and report the results to the Cabinet Member for Communities and City Centre Regeneration.
3. To delegate authority to the Acting Chief Executive and the Acting Strategic Director of Neighbourhoods, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Communities and City Centre Regeneration to purchase land, negotiate compensation arrangements and progress the making of Compulsory Purchase Orders, as appropriate and deemed essential to ensure delivery of the scheme in line with the Council's financial procedures.
4. To delegate authority to the Acting Chief Executive and the Acting Strategic Director of Neighbourhoods, to complete the necessary preparatory work required and to submit the formal planning application, with associated costs.
5. To delegate approval of further minor amendments to the Acting Strategic Director of Neighbourhoods following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Communities and City Centre Regeneration.
6. To accept the recommendation of the Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board that the first option be prioritised to deliver the full scheme in one go working closely with developers to achieve this.

Reasons

The proposed modifications had potential cost savings, minimise third party land acquisition, were simpler to construct and would minimise disruption during construction.

11/15 Lease of Properties to YMCA Derbyshire

The Council Cabinet considered a report on the Lease of Properties to YMCA Derbyshire. Ambition East Midlands was a partnership project being run by the YMCA Derbyshire, P3 and The Y charities. The project successfully attracted £2.95 million from the Fair Chance Fund which was a national 'Social Finance' payment by results government initiative. The partnership project was launched in January 2015 with YMCA Derbyshire leading the service in Derby.

Within Derby, 140 young homeless people had been identified to potentially benefit from this scheme, which would support them to access and sustain accommodation, volunteering, education and training over a three year period. The project could also bring up to £1 million directly into the City through the government funding which would finance the support packages for these young people.

YMCA Derbyshire was seeking support from the Council for this pilot project, requesting that it leased up to 24 flats to accommodate these young people for the duration of the scheme. Four other housing providers within the City were also supporting the scheme.

Ambition East Midlands intended to accommodate participants of the scheme initially in short term accommodation at the YMCA premises on London Road, then moving suitable participants into properties leased from the Council and other providers.

The Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board commended this positive initiative and endorsed the recommendations outlined in the report.

Options Considered

1. The Council could choose not to lease its properties to the scheme. This would however not provide valuable supported accommodation for young homeless people in the City and would place at risk the overall success of the Ambition East Midlands project which was receiving support from other housing providers in the City.
2. Not to participate in the scheme would compromise the Council's ability to deliver the actions relating to youth homelessness contained within its adopted Homelessness Strategy 2015-18.

Decision

1. To authorise the leasing of up to 24 flats to YMCA Derbyshire RP, for the duration of the project, which ends in January 2018.

2. To delegate authority to agree terms for the leases, to the Acting Strategic Director of Neighbourhoods, following consultation with Acting Strategic Director of Adults, Health and Housing and the Director of Legal and Democratic Services.

Reasons

To enable homeless young people to benefit from secure accommodation whilst receiving support into training and employment thereby supporting the objectives of the Council's adopted Homelessness Strategy 2015-18.

12/15 Strategic Options for Derby's City Centre Markets

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Strategic Options for Derby's City Centre Markets. The regeneration of the Market Hall and the Eagle Centre Market would be vital to the successful implementation of the draft City Centre Masterplan 2030 and the report considered the strategic options available for both markets.

Professional advisers (led by Colliers International) were commissioned by the council to analyse the various options available. Their report concluded that, subject to funding and key stakeholder engagement and support, there were good prospects for revitalising both premises.

In that context, it was proposed that they should both be retained, with the Market Hall undergoing a major restoration, subject to HLF funding, whilst the Eagle Centre Market would be upgraded physically and operationally.

Substantial capital investment, estimated at more than £7 million, would be required to restore and upgrade the Market Hall. It was proposed that an application be made for grant funding under the Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) scheme.

To ensure momentum was maintained whilst the longer term strategy and implementation were finalised and stakeholder discussions and consultation was progressed, it was proposed that officers continue to work with Colliers. This would include setting in place various short term initiatives aimed at supporting both markets through the forthcoming period of change.

The Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board recommended that the recommendation outlined in the report at paragraph 2.4 of the report be strongly endorsed to ensure that initiatives were implemented to ensure a viable short and medium term future for both city centre markets as well as markets outside of the city which were not included in this options paper.

Options Considered

The various options for both markets were considered in detail in the consultant's report (Appendix 2 of the report). Either closing the markets or 'doing nothing' (other than to maintain them as existing) would undermine the key aims of the draft City Centre Masterplan 2030 and were therefore not considered viable options. 'Doing

nothing' was not financially or operationally sustainable since both markets would most likely continue to decline.

Decision

1. To note the recommendations of the Colliers Strategic Options Analysis report.
2. To authorise officers to develop appropriate proposals for both markets in the context of the draft City Centre Masterplan and the recommendations of the Strategic Options Analysis report, including proposals for the major restoration of the Market Hall.
3. To authorise officers to enter into appropriate discussions and consultation with stakeholders regarding the upgrading and future operation of the Eagle Centre Market.
4. To continue with the existing contract with Colliers and their team of professional advisers, as appropriate to the required expertise and experience, to compile a detailed business plan and implement various short term initiatives to support both markets in the short term.
5. To approve the preparation of an initial bid for Heritage Lottery funding, in relation to the restoration and regeneration of the Market Hall, and explore the options available for sourcing the required match funding.
6. To delegate authority to the Acting Strategic Director of Neighbourhoods, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Communities and City Centre Regeneration, to allocate funding from within the Property Rationalisation Reserve to progress further commissions and develop this project.
7. To accept the recommendation of the Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board to strongly endorse paragraph 2.4 of the report to ensure that initiatives were implemented to ensure a viable short and medium term future for both city centre markets as well as markets outside of the city which were not included in the options paper.

Reasons

1. In order to fulfil the council's key ambition to re-invent the Market Place and its immediate environs as the heart of the city centre (as outlined in the draft City Centre Masterplan 2030), there would have to be substantial changes made to both markets in the relatively near future. It was important to recognise that both premises needed to provide a modern and competitive destination offer in order to be successful and sustainable going forward and that this may require substantial financial investment.
2. The advice received from Colliers was that both premises could be sustainably revitalised but there was a need to make decisions about their future quickly and in the context of the City Centre Masterplan. Ongoing uncertainty was

currently hastening the decline of both markets in their current mode of operation. The status quo was therefore not sustainable.

3. Implementation of a variety of short term measures should help reduce these trends in the short term and stimulate increased footfall.

13/15 Information Systems – IS Service Review

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Information Systems – IS Service Review. In December 2014 Council Cabinet agreed that the Council should seek a new arrangement for the provision of IT services upon the expiry of the contract with Serco; which ends March 2016. The report explained what progress had been made and sought further approval to commence both procurement activities and formal contract exit procedures.

The report covered the following issues:

1. The design of the new service model which was a combination of bringing some services back in-house and sourcing others through the market.
2. The extent to which we could move to cloud computing providing greater agility and flexibility in the future.
3. The work needed to manage the exit of the contract from Serco and associated novation issues in respect of sub-contracts.

There was a confidential report which covered aspects of these in accordance with both the contract with Serco and the soft market pricing information obtained to develop the cost model.

The Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board recommended that any future IT projects include robust monitoring and escalation processes with clearly defined tolerance limits and better checkpoints for reporting.

Options Considered

1. The option to retender for a single contract had been rejected as it was believed that this would require a longer term lock in and incur similar hidden costs, loss of control and supplier profit margin as existed within the current contract with Serco. The cloud option had been further ratified by the additional design work.
2. The Council had rejected the option to extend the contract with Serco for a number of reasons including the legal and procurement advice that this would be in breach of procurement regulations; Serco had redressed some of the performance issues previously reported.
3. The option of a shared service solution had been considered and even if the appetite for such was now growing there was little momentum in the region and the fact that the Council does not manage its own services was seen as a

major barrier by others.

4. To try and exit the Serco contract earlier would be very difficult, and with the improved service management and quality the need for such had reduced. A well managed exit with incremental change was the best approach and was better than either an early exit or a big bang transfer on 1st April 2016.

Decision

1. To approve the proposed new service delivery model with:
 - i) Service desk and end user computing services returning to be delivered within the Council;
 - ii) Voice and Data Network services to be procured using framework contracts, with consolidation of disparate contracts into a multiple lot procurement;
 - iii) Data Centre and Server Hosting to be procured using framework contracts, adopting a cloud first policy;
 - iv) Application support and services to continue to be delivered in-house with the transfer of the small Serco application support team responsibilities; and
 - v) End user computing provision to be procured using either framework contracts and/or as part of a crown commercial services auction process.
2. To approve the use of framework contracts to procure the replacement services identified above, and to authorise that the award of contracts would be subject to approval by the lead member.
3. To agree to a change freeze between June 2015 and May 2016, whereby only systems that required essential upgrades for statutory purposes or where we needed to retender in order to comply with procurement regulations would be changed.
4. To agree the principle of adopting cloud computing and cloud first as the design strategy, and having more traditional data centre services as a fall-back measure.
5. To note that adopting a cloud computing model required the Council to utilise up to £ 1.96 million of revenue reserves but would allow savings of £996,000 (or 10.6%) a year to be achieved from 2016/17. The saving reduced to £603,000 (or 6.45%) a year if this included paying back the reserves. It would also reduce the need for the estimated £ 7.5 million capital budget in 2017/18 to at most £ 5 million; thus even with the use of revenue reserves a cost avoidance of £ 0.5 million.
6. To agree the principle for how these costs should be shared between the

Council, Derby Homes and Derby Museums; i.e. that this should be pro rata to the number of active users for shared services and specific costs for systems or servers just used by one party.

7. To accept the recommendation of the Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board that any future IT projects include robust monitoring and escalation processes with clearly defined tolerance limits and better checkpoints for reporting.

Reasons

1. A new target operating model had been developed following industry standards and had been refined to reflect the needs of the Council and of Derby Homes. This was attached as appendix 2 to the report.
2. The trigger for what should be performed in-house and what should be sourced was based on the resources, skills and staffing levels required and the need for local knowledge compared to generic industry skills. Thus service desk, end user computing and application support all required specific knowledge either of the Council or of local government systems whereas server and network management were all generic.
3. The procurement team had looked at the best way to source the new services and had confirmed that use of framework contracts, including the Gcloud framework, offer the best route, particularly as they offered an expedited procurement timescale in comparison to traditional procurement routes. The time required for open or competitive tenders would mean the Council could not commence migration before January 2016, which would be too high risk.
4. Under the framework contracts the Council would contract with a main contractor, who would sub-contract elements of the service to smaller providers. Whilst it was recognised that having multiple contracts may increase the costs, the cloud computing model allowed for greater flexibility and scalability with most contracts and service allowing changes with just 1 months' notice. It was anticipated that under the cloud model the Council and Derby Homes would only be required to commit to 12 month terms in many cases (with 5 years being the maximum in exceptional circumstances) allowing flexibility and the ability to vary services based on changes in demand.
5. The option to further review those services provided in-house and to possibly combine these in shared service arrangements with other Councils in the future remained but all feedback to date was that the Council should first bring these in-house and consolidate locally.
6. A change freeze was needed to reduce risks and to ensure that the Council does not implement changed solutions on the old service delivery model that then have to be repeated at extra cost on the new model. We needed to manage carefully the work to achieve the new service delivery platform and too much change on top of this would be high risk.

7. The list of systems that could be affected by a change freeze was attached as appendix 4 to the report; if any of these could be implemented with minimal effort in a cloud ready environment, which contributed to the new service delivery model those changes may still proceed.
8. The change freeze would reduce work pressures for the Information Systems Service and in business units involved with migration and testing activities. It may also allow the Council to better understand its future longer term need for some systems in light of further financial pressures. The change freeze would not apply to any mandatory changes needed to support statutory objectives or to comply with procurement regulations within this time period. Where procurement did need to take place during the change freeze, cloud based solutions would be the preferred solution and other solutions would only be considered in exceptional circumstances.
9. The ability to adopt cloud computing was the trigger for both initial cost reduction and for future flexibility. The cloud market was now much more mature and the high level design work showed that between 65% and 80% of our current server estate and systems could migrate to the cloud.
10. Adopting cloud computing reduced risks and reliance on single suppliers and allowed more options for how the Council utilises IT resources. It would be easier to stand up or turn off servers and to adjust costs accordingly rather than having to buy servers that may then be under-used.
11. The implementation costs had been clarified since the December report and the five year cost model had been revised: an annual saving on total Council IT expenditure in the region of £ 603,000 to £ 996,000 (6.45% to 10.6%) a year was possible in terms of future operating costs. Some of the savings were achieved by better use of software rather than just replacing the IT service partner.
12. The new cost model included:
 - i) implementation over a 9 month period; this would involve some dual running costs as the Council transfers servers and services over time to reduce risks;
 - ii) estimated exit management costs for Serco and for Council additional resources required to manage the exit process; and
 - iii) costs of service migration and testing.

The cost model was included in the confidential report and had been reviewed by the Resources Directorate group accountant.

13. When the contract with Serco was awarded a pro rata sharing of costs was agreed between the Council and Derby Homes. This was based on a combination of factors:

- i) a pro rata share which was 10% Derby Homes and 90% Council for shared components based on the respective user population in 2009;
- ii) a specific cost for servers or services unique to either just Derby Homes or just the Council, some split 26% to 74%, some split 38.5% to 61.5% and some split 50% each; and
- iii) the overall split was 15.56% to Derby Homes and 84.44% to the Council.

It was proposed that going forward the Council adopt the same principles but now add Derby Museums to the list; and that we recalculate the user population based % apportionment. This may see a small change to the apportionment of costs but as we were moving to a cloud model was in line with cloud computing charging methods.

- 14. The core Serco contract costs in 2015/16 are £3.47 million of which the Council pays £ 2.99 million and Derby Homes £0.48 million (86% Council to 14% Derby Homes).
- 15. This reflected changes made since 2009 including new ICT transformation systems that did not exist in 2009 and savings that both parties had negotiated since.
- 16. The implementation costs should also be shared on the same basis as the resulting savings were shared. Both the Council and Derby Homes would face such costs if they decided to exit the contract in another way or even if they sought to extend the contract as it would require technology refresh.
- 17. The contract does allow Serco to pass on charges for the exit process and estimates for these were included in the cost model. The Council also required dedicated exit management resources, which had been included in the cost model.

Contract and Financial Procedure Matters

14/15 Contract and Procedural Matters Report

The Council Cabinet considered the Contract and Procedural Matters Report. The report dealt with the following items that required reporting to and approval by Council Cabinet under Contract and Financial Procedure rules:

- Changes to the current 2015/16 – 2017/18 capital programme
- Approval of the addition of outturn slippage from the capital programme 2014/15 to 2015/16 programme
- Approval of the 2015/16 spend brought forward to 2014/15
- Acceptance of NHS England funding
- Technical adjustment to the public health budget in 2015/16
- Submission of bids
- Use of budget risk reserve

- Use of reserve

Decision

1. To approve changes, additions and progression of these on the capital programme as set out in Appendix 2 and highlighted in section 4 of the report.
2. To approve the addition of the outturn slippage 2014/15 to the 2015/16 capital programme and associated funding, and approval of spend brought forward from 2015/16 to 2014/15 and associated funding.
3. To note the revised programme for 2015/16 in table 1 (paragraph 4.1) and the revised indicative programme for 2015/16-2017/18 as shown in table 2 (paragraph 4.6) of the report.
4. To approve funding awarded by the Environmental Agency for the Flood Alleviation Scheme.
5. To approve the allocation of an additional £3.094m to be received on 1 October received from the NHS England Area Team – Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire to the Adults, Heath and Housing revenue budget in 2015/16 as detailed in section 5 of the report
6. To approve the adjustment of £114,798 from the public health budget into the corporate contingency from 1 April 2015/16 as detailed in section 6 of the report.
7. To approve the submission of outline bids for European Structural and Investment Fund funding as detailed in section 7 of the report.
8. To approve the use of £58,000 from the budget risk reserve as detailed in section 8 of the report.
9. To approve the use of £377,000 from the transformation reserve as detailed in section.

15/15 Use of Frameworks

The Council Cabinet considered a report on the Use of Frameworks.

There were a wide range of Regional and National Frameworks which were available for the Council to access.

Paragraph 15.9 of the Contract Procedure Rules states “Framework Agreements procured by other local authorities, public bodies or purchasing consortia, for example Government Procurement Service (GPS), Members of the Pro 5 local government procurement consortia or East Midlands Property Alliance / Scape, may be used if the Head of Procurement and a Cabinet member has approved their use on being satisfied that the Council can lawfully use them and that it is in the Council’s interest to do so.”

Regional and National Frameworks offered a quicker compliant route to market compared to undertaking a full OJEU (Official Journal of European Union) procurement process.

It had been some time since the use of frameworks list had been updated; therefore the opportunity was being taken to compile the current list and seek approval from Council Cabinet.

The Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board endorsed the recommendation in the report.

Decision

To approve the use of the Framework Agreements which had been conducted by the Organisations listed in paragraph 4.1 of the report.

Other

16/15 Employee Commission – Employment Charter

The Council Cabinet considered a report on the Employee Commission – Employment Charter. The report updated Council Cabinet on the delivery of the Council's Employment Charter and the aspiration to become a Living Wage Employer, the successful launch of the Charter and the support of our Trade Union colleagues and the work of the Employee Commission. The report identified the Charter as a delivery strategy to help meet Derby's 15 Year Vision.

The Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board endorsed the recommendation in the report.

Decision

To adopt the Employment Charter and support the work of the Commission in delivering its commitments.

17/15 Exclusion of Press and Public

To consider a resolution to exclude the press and public during consideration of the following item

“that under Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting during discussion of the following item on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act and that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information”

Key Decisions

18/15 Information Systems – IS Service Review

The Council Cabinet considered exempt information in relation to the Information Systems Service Review.

The Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board recommended that a report be requested from the Director of ICT setting out the lessons learnt from the experiences of previous IT contract issues which would inform future procurement and contract agreements.

Decision

1. To note and ratify the recommendations in the public report.
2. To agree the proposed resolution in respect of the e-Services project and to note that this was covered by strict legally enforceable confidentiality terms.
3. To accept the recommendation of the Corporate Scrutiny and Governance Board to request a report from the Director of ICT setting out the lessons learnt from the experiences of previous IT contract issues which would inform future procurement and contract agreements.

MINUTES END