

Notice of Call-In of an Executive Key Decision

In accordance with Rule OS36 if the Council's Constitution, we the undersigned hereby give notice that we wish to call-in the following key decision:

1 Decision 179/15 CHANGES TO NEIGHBOURAGES WORKING COMMUNITY SAFETY AND COMESION AND INTEGRATION.			
COMMUNITY SAFETY AND COHESION AND INTEGRATION.			
2. Meeting at which the decision was made COUNCIL CABINET			
3. Date of the meeting 16 TH MANCH 2016.			
We believe that the following principles of decision making have been			
breached by the making of this decision (tick relevant boxes):			
a) Proportionality (i.e. the action must be proportionate to the desired			
outcome) X			
b) Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers			
c) Respect for human rights			
A presumption in favour of openness			
e) Clarity of aims and desired outcomes.			
f) A record of what options were considered and giving the reasons for			
the decision			
and/or that relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into			
consideration 🔀			

We believe these principles have been breached for the following reasons:

	Principie	Reasons why breached
a.	Proportionality	PILIORITISATION OF WARD AREAS USINE UNRUBLISHED INDEX DATA FALLS TO ADDRESS PROPORTIONALITY. AND THE NEED OF ALL WARD AREAS TO ACHIEVE. THE CREATION OF SAFER, STRONGER & CLEANER NEIGHBOURHOODS ACROSS THE WHOLE CITY.
b.	Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers	CABINET PAPERS FAIL TO IDENTIFY ANY CONSULTATION WITH EXISTING NEIGHBOURHOOD BOARDS/FORUMS OR ELECTED MEMBERS AS TO THE PROTOSALS THEREBY TAKING NO COGNISCENCE OF THE POTENTIAL EXFECT OF THE REVISED POLICY.
C.	Respect for human rights	
d.	A presumption in favour of openness	
e.	Clarity of aims and desired outcomes	PAREN PAILS TO IDENTIFY THE ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES OF CHFICERS UNDER THE POLICY NON GIVES ANY METHODOLOGY WHERESY 'NON PRIORITY' WARDS CAN ACCESS SERVICES OF THE COUNCIL.
f.	A record of what options were considered and giving the reasons for the decision	THE PAPER FAILS TO I DENTITY A'RANGE OF OPTIONS OTHER THAN TOTAL WITHDARWEL OR THE CHOSEN. MODEL. NO INDICATION OF MORE PROTOSTROMATE MODELS WHICH COULD HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED COVERNOGE AN 17 WARD AREAS.
and/or that relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into consideration		QUESTIONS ARISE AS TO THE SAVINGS ACHIEVED AS OFFICER NUMBER WILL NOT DIMINISH FOR THE FORSELABLE FUTURE GEVEN THAT THEY REMAIN IN YEST ON THE 1ST APRIL 2016