



**CONSERVATION AREA ADVISORY
COMMITTEE
6 December 2018**

ITEM 07

Report sponsor: Verna Bayliss, Interim Director
of Planning & Transportation
Report author: Chloe Oswald, Team Leader
Conservation

Applications not being considered

Purpose

- 1.1 At the Conservation Area Advisory Committee Meeting, on the 21st January 2016, it was agreed that, to reduce the length of the agenda, a report would be brought to Committee each meeting that highlighted the applications that had been removed from that meeting's agenda. Following the report on the 15th June 2017 on 'Review of Conservation Area Advisory Committee processes' the Chair of the Conservation Area Advisory Committee (or the Vice-Chair in their absence) have been notified of what has been removed and have had a chance to challenge this decision if they felt very strongly that a particular item should go.

The following applications were removed from the agenda for 6th December 2018 and are not included in the applications being considered report for that meeting: -

- DER18/01552/FUL 116 Osmaston Road, Derby
- DER18/01564/ADV Assembly Rooms, Derby
- DER18/01657/LBA Nunsfield House, Alvaston, Derby
- DER18/01600/FUL Thistle Cottage, 6 Cornhill, Allestree, Derby
- DER18/01721/FUL 108 Belper Road, Derby
- DER18/01755/FUL 46 Belper Road, Derby
- DER09/18/01484 1-9 Joseph Wright Terrace, Arthur Street, Derby
- DER18/0164/LBA Dove Cottage, 7-8 The Hollow, Mickleover, Derby
- DER18/01596/FUL Flat 1, 1 Kedleston Road, Derby
- DER10/18/01537 69 Wardwick, Derby

Recommendation

- 2.1 That the Members of Committee note the applications that are not to be brought in front of Conservation Area Advisory Committee either due to their minor nature or because Committee's advice has previously been recorded, and being mindful of the time available in meetings.

Reason

- 3.1 To highlight to Members the items that has been removed from the agenda so that Committee members are aware of these.

Supporting information

- 4.1 Although these applications are not brought in front of committee please note that this does not exclude members as individuals making independent comments on individual applications. I would like to highlight that the Conservation and Urban Design Team undertake consultation responses on all of the applications whether they come before Conservation Area Advisory Committee or not.

Public/stakeholder engagement

- 5.1 The project supports current policies.

Other options

- 6.1 None.

Financial and value for money issues

- 7.1 None directly arising from the report.

Legal implications

- 8.1 None directly arising from the report.

Other significant implications

- 9.1 None directly arising from the report.

This report has been approved by the following people:

Role	Name	Date of sign-off
Legal Finance		

Service Director(s)
Report sponsor
Other(s)

Paul Clarke Chief Planning officer

27 November 2018