

Notice of Call-In of an Executive Key Decision

In accordance with Rule OS36 of the Council's Constitution, we the undersigned hereby give notice that we wish to call-in the following key decision:

1. Decision 148/15 COUNCIL FUNDING FOR SERVICES
IN THE VOLUNTARY, COMMUNITY AND FAITH SECTORS
2. Meeting at which the decision was made
COUNCIL CABINET
3. Date of the meeting 10TH FEBRUARY 2016

We believe that the following principles of decision making have been breached by the making of this decision (tick relevant boxes):

- a) Proportionality (i.e. the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome) ☒
- b) Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers ☒
- c) Respect for human rights ☒
- d) A presumption in favour of openness ☐
- e) Clarity of aims and desired outcomes ☒
- f) A record of what options were considered and giving the reasons for the decision ☒

and/or that relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into consideration ☐

We believe these principles have been breached for the following reasons:

Principle	Reasons why breached
a. Proportionality	WITHOUT DETAILED COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS THE VALUE OF THE JAVINES PROPOSAL, WILL IN MANY CASES, BE HEAVILY OUTWEIGHED BY THE TOTAL LOSS OF THE ORGANISATIONS AND THE SERVICES THEY PROVIDE, CREATING A DISPROPORTIONATE INCREASE IN DEMAND AND COSTS FOR OTHER COUNCIL SERVICES.
b. Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers	THE REPORTS TO CABINET FAIL TO SUFFICIENTLY HIGHLIGHT THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE FINDINGS OF THE CONSULTATION. NEITHER THE RECOMMENDATIONS OR THE DECISION HAVE TAKEN ANY ACCOUNT OF THE CONSULTATION WHICH HAS BEEN DISCOUNTED WITHOUT ANY EXPLANATION.
c. Respect for human rights	THE EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT HAS FAILED TO ADEQUATELY DETAIL THE ACTUAL IMPACT ON LOCAL EQUALITIES GROUPS.
d. A presumption in favour of openness	
e. Clarity of aims and desired outcomes	CABINET ACCEPTED THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE CORPORATE SCOUTING BOARD, TO FIND THE MEANS TO SUPPORT GROUPS WHICH HAVE BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS, YET THE DECISION PROPOSES TO REMOVE ALL FUNDING TO ALL GROUPS, WITHOUT ANY SUCH ASSESSMENT BEING CARRIED OUT.
f. A record of what options were considered and giving the reasons for the decision	THE VALUE OF OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IS NOT FULLY EXPLAINED IN THE REPORTS TO CABINET; NOR WAS ANY DETAIL GIVEN FOR DISCOUNTING THE OPTIONS IN THE REASONS FOR THE DECISION.
and/or that relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into consideration	