

Time began 6.00pm
Time ended 7.15pm

**COUNCIL CABINET
4 SEPTEMBER 2007**

Present: Councillor Williamson (Chair)
Councillors Banwait, Bolton, Graves, Hussain,
Nath, Roberts, Smalley and Williams

In attendance Councillor Jones

This record of decisions was published on 6 September 2007. The key decisions set out in this record will come into force and may be implemented on the expiry of five clear days unless a key decision is called in.

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Hickson.

58/07 Late Items Introduced by the Chair

There were no late items.

59/07 Identification of Urgent Items to which Call-In will not apply

There were no items.

60/07 Declarations of Interest

Councillor Roberts declared a personal interest in minute no 72/07 because he was a Director of Midlands Co-op.

61/07 Minutes of the previous meetings held on 31 July 2007

The minutes of the meetings held on 31 July 2007 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

Key Decisions

**62/07 Joint Waste Sites Development Plan Document (DPD)
Preferred Options Consultation**

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Joint Waste Sites Development Plan Document (DPD) Preferred Options Consultation. The report set out the steps that had been taken to prepare a joint Waste Development Sites Development Plan Document for the City and County, summarised the Preferred Options Report and the proposals for consultation on it and sought authority to undertake the consultation.

Options Considered

As set out in the Preferred Options report.

Decision

To approve the Preferred Options Report for the Joint Waste Development Sites Development Plan Document and its Sustainability Appraisal for consultation and to authorise that consultation as outlined in the report.

Reasons

To enable the consultation on the Preferred Options Report of the Development Plan Document (DPD) to be undertaken in accordance with the legislation.

63/07 Waste Strategy for Derby and Derbyshire

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Waste Strategy for Derby and Derbyshire. The report introduced the new waste strategy for Derby City Council, Derbyshire County Council and the Derbyshire district councils. The strategy had been developed in conjunction with the County Council and through Public Consultation. The previous strategy adopted in 1999 focused on the disposal of residential waste to landfill. The new strategy proposed that the waste should be treated in an appropriate facility and the amount of material sent to landfill be reduced to a minimum. The strategy would support and guide the procurement of a waste treatment plant which was currently being procured and had been the subject of other reports to Cabinet. The strategy made no specific recommendations as to what kind of technology should be utilised for the treatment although six options were considered in some detail.

The Government's revised National Waste Strategy would be the subject of a separate report.

Options Considered

The strategy considered the implication of continuing with landfill and no treatment but this was rejected as not sustainable and not complying with Government policy. It would also be very expensive to continue with landfilling untreated waste.

Decision

To adopt the waste strategy, "Looking after Derbyshire's Waste" as representing the policy of the City Council for dealing with residual waste.

Reasons

1. To provide the City Council with a strategy for considering possible methods of waste management.
2. To ensure that the possible methods minimise the amount of waste going to landfill.
3. To ensure the Council meets the Government's recycling targets as far as possible.

64/07 Compulsory Purchase of Nos 22/23/24 Leopold Street and Nos 2/3/4 Arboretum Square

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Compulsory Purchase of Nos 22/23/24 Leopold Street and Nos 2/3/4 Arboretum Square. In furtherance of the objectives of the Hartington Street Renewal Area, it was considered that there was a compelling case in the public interest for the compulsory acquisition by the Council of the properties 22, 23 and 24 Leopold Street and numbers 2, 3 and 4 Arboretum Square under the relevant provisions of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989.

Options Considered

There were no other options considered, as the report only related to the acquisition of properties.

Decision

1. That the Council makes a Compulsory Purchase Order under s93(2) and s93(3)(a), (b) and (c) of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 for the acquisition of the Properties 22, 23 and 24 Leopold Street and 2, 3 and 4 Arboretum Square as shown coloured red on the plans attached in Appendix 2, for the purpose of transfer to a Housing Association for the purposes of renovation and reoccupation and in particular to: improve the safety and security of residents; tackle antisocial behaviour; improve the image of the area; improve housing conditions; improve environmental conditions and attract private investment to help fund improvements.
2. That the Corporate Director - Corporate and Adult Services be authorised to:
 - a) Take all necessary steps to secure the making, confirmation and implementation of the Compulsory Purchase Order including the publication and service of all notices and the presentation of the Council's case at any public inquiry;
 - b) To acquire interests in the Properties within the Compulsory Purchase Order either by Agreements or compulsorily; and;

- c) Approve agreements with landowners setting out the terms for the withdrawal of objections to the order, including where appropriate seeking exclusion of land from the order and/or making arrangements for re-housing or relocation of occupiers;
- d) Take all necessary action to acquire and obtain possession of the properties included in the Compulsory Purchase Order either compulsorily or by agreement and to deal with all matters relating to the payment of compensation and statutory interest.
- e) Take all other necessary action to give effect to these recommendations.

Reasons

1. All the properties included in the CPO are within the Hartington Street Renewal Area designated in 2002. The designation of the Renewal Area was due to a gradual deterioration in the standard of housing in the area over a number of years which had resulted in numerous empty properties and others, many in multiple occupation, where limited investment and poor management had resulted in dilapidation, worsening housing standards and associated social problems such as vandalism and substance abuse and general worsening of the environmental quality.
2. The properties included within the CPO were those most affected by the conditions described above and it was therefore considered necessary for the Council to use its compulsory purchase powers to acquire those properties under s93 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 to secure the objectives of the Renewal Area.
3. The Renewal Area Strategy of the Council sets out six main objectives which were to:
 - a) Improve the safety and security of residents
 - b) Tackle anti-social behaviour
 - c) Improve the image of the area
 - d) Improve housing conditions
 - e) Improve environmental conditions.
 - f) Attract private investment to help fund improvements
4. The Leopold Street properties had been unoccupied for a number of years and would require substantial financial investment to bring them up to a habitable condition. The Arboretum Square properties were converted into small flats but again substantial sums would be required to bring them up to reasonable habitable standards.
5. A Housing Association had been identified which would be interested in carrying out the necessary refurbishment work and bringing the properties back into housing use at the earliest opportunity.

6. Exhaustive efforts had been made by the Council over recent years to either persuade or compel through statutory procedures the owners to improve the properties as well as offering to purchase the properties by agreement. However, any improvements achieved had been short term and of barely adequate quality but the owners have been unwilling to sell the properties to the Council.
7. Therefore, it was considered that it was in the public interest, as a last resort, to acquire the properties compulsorily as the only means of securing acceptable improvements to the properties in furtherance of the Renewal Area objectives and provide a good standard of housing accommodation for which there was a demonstrable need. The current owners had either been unwilling or unable to make the necessary improvements.

65/07 Proposed Increase to the Price of School Meals

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Proposed Increase to the Price of School Meals. School meals had become an important issue following the Jamie Oliver programme about what was happening in the London Borough of Greenwich, and the Government introducing higher standards for meals. Whilst the Government had allocated additional finance for the higher standards this was also needed to improve dining rooms, introduce marketing initiatives to drive sales and promote healthy eating awareness. The school meal price in Derby was competitive with surrounding Local Authorities, even though we provide a drink which is not mandatory until September 2008.

Options Considered

There were no options considered.

Decision

1. To approve an increase in the price of school meals with effect from 29 October 2007.
2. The price of school meals supplied by Environmental Services to schools will be as follows:

MEAL TYPE	CHARGE 2006/7	CHARGE 2007/8	INCREASE
Primary	£1.50	£1.55	5 pence
Secondary	£1.65	£1.70	5 pence
Adult	£2.15	£2.20	5 pence

Reasons

1. The price of the meals needed to increase due to the additional nutritional standards, which could not be maintained within the existing price framework.

2. Failure to increase the cost would result in a charge to the City's Council Tax.

66/07 Children's Trust Arrangements

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Children's Trust Arrangements. Section 10 of the Children Act 2004 sets out a range of statutory duties to improve the wellbeing of children, including arrangements for Children's Trusts. The report set out the membership, reporting and accountability arrangements for the Children's Trust in Derby.

Options Considered

The implementation of a Children and Young People's Trust was a statutory requirement.

Decision

1. To confirm the Children's Trust arrangements for Derby, known as the City for Children and Young People's Executive – CCYP Executive.
2. To note the relevant and key partner agencies and organisations which made up the membership of the CCYP Executive.
3. To note the reporting and accountability arrangements for the Children's Trust.

Reasons

The establishment of Children's Trust arrangements was a statutory requirement of the Children Act 2004. Arrangements had been developed through the Integrating Children's Services project, since the establishment of the Children and Young People's Department in January 2006 and through the review of the Derby City Partnership Structures.

67/07 Inspection by the Interception of Communications Commissioner's Office (IOCCO)

The Council Cabinet considered a report on which stated that on 5 March 2007 an Inspector from the IOCCO's office visited the Council to carry out an inspection of the Council's arrangements for the acquisition of "Communications Data" under the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA).

The primary purpose of the Inspector's inspection was to ensure that:

- the Council's systems for acquiring communications data were sufficient for the purposes of RIPA;

- relevant records had been kept;
- all accessing of communication data had been carried out lawfully and in accordance with the Human Rights Act and RIPA;
- the data which had been obtained was necessary and proportional;
- officers engaged in the acquisition of data are adequately trained and aware of the relevant legislation.

The Inspector submitted a report on his inspection to the Council on 5 June 2007 and this had 10 Action Points, which were set out in Appendix 2 of this report. The report was a response to those Action Points.

Options Considered

No other options were considered as it is thought necessary for the Council to act in accordance with the letter and spirit of the RIPA legislation.

Decision

1. To accept the Action Points in the IOCCO Inspector's report at Appendix 2
2. To approve and adopt the draft policy document on RIPA Communications Data attached at Appendix 3
3. To approve the following appointments for the purposes of the Council's RIPA Communications policy:
 - "Single Point of Contact" (SPoC) – Trading Standards Manager, with Benefits Manager acting as reserve;
 - Authorised Officer – Assistant Director, Environmental Health and Trading Standards, with the Principal Solicitor (Litigation), Legal Division acting as a reserve;
 - Senior Responsible Officer – Assistant Director Legal Services.

Reasons

To meet the requirements of the IOCCO report.

In accordance with Procedure Rule AI26, the Chair of the Scrutiny Management Commission had been advised that this item would be considered although not included in the Forward Plan.

Contract and Financial Procedure Matters

68/07 Capital Monitoring

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Capital Monitoring. Council approved the capital programme for the 2007/08 to 2009/10 period at its meeting on 1 March 2007. Since then, the programme had been updated to reflect the 2006/07 outturn, including approved slippage, changes approved through Contract and Financial Procedure Matters reports and individual departmental cabinet reports. The report provided an update on the projected variance on the programme, with a particular focus on risk budgets. Projects at Derwent Community Primary School and Nightingale Junior School had been approved at the formal Cabinet Member meeting in view of their urgency.

Decision

1. To recommend to Council changes to the capital programme as set out in paragraph 1.2 and Appendix 2.
2. To recommend to Council the addition of the schemes detailed in paragraph 1.3 to the 2007/8 capital programme.
3. To note the projected outturn and variance.
4. To note the capital risk budgets identified by departments and progress on those schemes.
5. To recommend to Council scheme commencement for Ivy House Special Needs School, and Breadsall Hill Top Junior School replacement windows.
6. To recommend to Council the allocation of capital receipts to the Connecting Derby project once the sale of Connecting Derby land at 2-8 St Helen's Street had taken place.

69/07 Council Performance Monitoring – 2007/08 Quarter 1

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Council Performance Monitoring – 2007/08 Quarter 1.

The purpose of quarterly performance reporting was to underpin performance management within the Council in terms of monitoring the achievement of the corporate priorities and targets included in our Corporate Plan 2007-2010 and Best Value Performance Plan 2007/08. The report focused on Council performance in the first quarter of 2007/08 – 1 April 2007 to 30 June 2007. Summary performance results from quarter one were as follows...

- 78% of Corporate Plan measures and milestones were on track, with 14% failing to meet quarterly target by more than 5% or major slippage occurring.

- 60% of Best Value Performance Indicators achieved their quarterly target, with 17% of indicators missing targets by more than 5%.

The report also included an update on the 2007 Manifesto Commitments. As in 2006/07, a separate quarterly monitoring report was produced for Cabinet on Partnership / Local Area Agreement performance.

Decision

1. To note the performance of the Council against the targets included in its 2007/08 Best Value Performance Plan and 2007-10 Corporate Plan, falling due to be reported in the first quarter.
2. To request action plans for highlighted areas of underperformance from Heads of Service for service improvements with key delivery milestones and regular updates in reporting against these plans.
3. To note that, where appropriate, Performance Surgeries would be held to explore reasons for poor performance and action planning for improvements.

70/07 Local Area Agreement Performance 2007/08 Quarter 1

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Local Area Agreement Performance 2007/08 Quarter 1. The purpose of the quarterly performance report was to underpin performance management within the Council in terms of monitoring the achievement of our Local Area Agreement - LAA - and Local Public Service Agreement - LPSA2 - targets. The LAA included all targets from our LPSA2, which runs from April 2005 to March 2008. Successful achievement against all targets would result in the Council receiving £6.3 million reward monies. As in 2006/07, separate performance reports would continue to be prepared on Council and Partnership performance in 2007/08. Whilst there were many shared priorities and outcomes between the Council and its partners, a separate report on Partnership areas of performance would allow greater coverage of partnership funding issues and more efficiency in the reporting to Government Office and partner organisations. The report focuses on performance and financial outturn information against Partnership outcomes in the first quarter of 2007/08 – 1 April to 30 June 2007. The supporting information tables showed a summary of performance for each city as well as a more detailed view of both performance and funding information under their related city and then portfolio. Of the 166 LAA indicators, 59 were due to be reported in quarter one. Of the 46 indicators for which quarter one data and quarterly targets were available, 52% were green, denoting that they were on course to meet or exceed the quarterly target and 33% were red, meaning they were 5% adverse to the quarterly target.

Decision

1. To note the performance of the LAA indicators against the 2007/08 quarter one targets including the Local Public Service Agreement quarterly targets.
2. To give particular attention to those areas where performance was below target, particularly those that were red - more than 5% adverse to quarter one targets.

71/07 Contract and Financial Procedure Matters Report

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Contract and Financial Procedure Matters. The report dealt with the following items that required reporting to and approval by Council Cabinet under contract and financial procedure rules:

- Capital receipt for improvements to Alvaston Park and Racecourse Park
- Ford Street Car Park
- Land charges fees update
- Transfer of revenue budgets between departments
- BT Featurenet contract
- Funding for Climate Change Board
- A new funding allocation for the Children and Young People's – CYP - department for 2007/08.
- To seek a waiver of CPR 15 tendering requirements to enter into contracts with the National Childminders Organisation (NCMA) to the value of £56,500, Amber Valley PCT £23,150, Best Beginnings £30,000 and Building Schools for the Future (BSF) project management consultant.
- A bid by the CYP department to the Big Lottery's Family Learning Programme
- To approve a bid to the Department of Health and the Department of Children, Schools and Families.
- Extension of the IT FM contract
- Extension of Adult Social Care transport contracts
- Appointment of building consultants
- Multi-systemic therapy pilot external funding

- Department of Works and Pensions grant bid for the roll out of local housing allowance.

Decision

1. To authorise the submission of bids to the Football Foundation and other external funding bodies for the construction of new changing rooms and associated facilities at Racecourse and Alvaston Parks.
2. To allocate the £695,000 capital receipt from the sale of the former Wilmorton Primary School to Alvaston Park to be used as match funding towards external funding secured for improvements to the park.
3. To approve an allocation of £32,000 from the car park income reserve towards the Ford Street car park scheme and amend the capital programme 2007/8.
4. To confirm that the fee for commons registration searches should remain at £14 per item in line with regulations.
5. To agree that the £133,790 budget for the insurance team's staffing and running costs should be transferred from corporate budgets to the Resources Department, and that £34,000 should be transferred from the Children and Young People's Department to the Resources Department for capital monitoring.
6. To approve the entering into a new 1 year contract with BT for Featurenet telephony services at a cost of £360k for line rental charges and standard external call rates of 1.1p per minute.
7. To approve the earmarking of £200k from the Modernisation Board and delegation to the Climate Change Board to allocate to approved schemes.
8. To approve the new funding allocation of £8065 for Extended Rights to Free Travel Arrangements for Primary Age Children as an addition to the revenue budget.
9. To approve a waiver of Contract Procedure Rule 15 for contracts with:
 - The National Childminding Association for the provision of a childcare service £56,500 for 2007/08
 - Amber Valley Primary Care Trust for the provision of speech and language therapy £23,150
 - Best Beginnings for the provision of family visitor services £30,000
 - BSF project management consultant up to £50,000.

10. To approve the full bid for £290,000 revenue funding to the Big Lottery Fund's Family Learning Programme.
11. To approve the extension of the existing IT FM contract with Capita for six months until April 2009, on the same terms and conditions as the existing arrangements.
12. To approve a nine month extension to the Translinc Ltd and Derby Community Transport moderate needs transport contracts, on the same terms as the existing arrangements.
13. To approve the entering into an Access Agreement with Nottinghamshire County Council under the Framework Agreement for the appointment of building consultants for additional building maintenance work and to approve a waiver of Contract Procedure Rule 15.
14. To approve an initial phase one bid to secure a declaration of interest of revenue funding to the Department of Health and the Department for Children, Schools and Families for a multi-systemic therapy pilot.
15. To approve the addition of a £199k DWP grant to Resources department customer services revenue budget for the roll-out of the national local housing allowance.

72/07 DAVIS/JAYEX Advertising Screen

The Council Cabinet considered a report on DAVIS/Jayex Advertising Screen. The DAVIS electronic advertising screen on the Co-op building started operation in October 2005, and for the reasons described in the report, it had failed to generate sufficient income to meet the running costs and to repay the borrowing. The manufacturers, Jayex, had offered to buy the DAVIS screen from the Council, for £10,000 + VAT. Jayex would recondition it, and sell it on to Cardiff City Council to replace Cardiff's existing board.

Decision

To sell the DAVIS screen to Jayex for £10,000 + VAT.

Performance Management

73/07 Corporate Assessment – Self Assessment

The Council Cabinet considered a report which stated that as part of the preparations for the CPA Corporate Assessment in October 2007 the Council were required to submit a self assessment document to the Audit Commission in advance of the assessment.

Decision

1. To approve the draft self assessment document ready for submission to the Audit Commission on 10 September 2007.
2. To delegate authority to make any final amendments to the Chief Executive and Corporate Director of Resources, and to finalise the Self Assessment in consultation with the Leader of the Council

Other Matters

74/07 From Areas to Neighbourhoods

The Council Cabinet considered a report on From Areas to Neighbourhoods. Following the approval of Cabinet and full Council, in July 2007, to the principle of transforming Area Panels into Neighbourhood Boards and Forums, the report set out the proposed detailed arrangements. The report sought approval to necessary amendments to the Constitution and to a Good Practice Guide for the new Neighbourhood Boards and Forums. Full Council will also be asked to appoint the chairs of the proposed Neighbourhood Boards. The Community Commission raised issues about the neighbourhood working arrangements at its meeting on 23 July and it was hoped that the Good Practice Guide addressed those issues. The Commission held a further meeting on 29 August to examine the detailed proposals and a report from the Commission setting out their comments and suggested amendments to the Good Practice Guide was considered. It was noted that partners would be consulted through the Area and Neighbourhood Board.

Recommendations of the Community Commission to Council Cabinet	Recommendations of Council Cabinet to Full Council
1. The Commission supports the distribution of the Area Panel budget equally amongst all wards as this is the fairest method of dividing the Area Panel budget.	Agreed
2. The Commission has concerns about the level of funding currently being made available to Boards and feels this is insufficient to address all their local priorities. The Commission recognises that neighbourhood working is a new process which enables elected members to strengthen their leadership role and address a range of local issues. However, the level of resources available to each area can influence how quickly and effectively some of the local issues can be tackled. The Commission therefore anticipates more resources will be made available to Neighbourhood Forums in the future.	Agreed in principle
3. All Neighbourhood Boards should be required to develop criteria for their community budget which should reflect their local priorities. However, the Boards should be given the freedom and flexibility to decide how the budget should be spent within their respective neighbourhoods.	Not agreed

Members felt the existing criteria was too restrictive and that, for example, religious organisations should be eligible to bid for funding providing they do not spend the money on promoting religious activities.	
4. The Commission recommends details of the funding available to each ward in the current year be circulated to all Councillors as an addendum to the Cabinet report.	Agreed
5. The Commission feels the procedure for appointing resident members on to the Board is too onerous and bureaucratic and should be made simpler. It recognises the need to make the process open, transparent and accountable but feels requiring each nominee to be nominated by a minimum of ten residents from ten separate households is excessive. This is greater than for example the requirement to stand as a ward councillor. The guidance for candidates and agents published by the Electoral Commission requires nomination paper to be signed by 10 registered electors in the ward but does not specify the number of households.	The requirements for 10 separate households will not be applied but replaced with a requirement for the ten residents to come from more than one household.
6. The Good Practice Guidance is unclear about how resident members of the Board can be selected in the first year. It states that a selection of the nominated persons will be made by members of the Board. Since there is no Board to begin with for some wards, resident members cannot be selected. The Commission suggests that in the first year resident members be appointed by the Neighbourhood Forum.	Agreed
7. The Commission supports setting a minimum requirement of 50% of resident and community representatives to live in the neighbourhood as this will ensure local accountability.	Agreed
8. Section 9.3.1 lists partner organisations that can be Board members. The Commission suggests only the representatives of the partner organisations should be given a position on the Board and the word <i>officers</i> be deleted (9.3.1). And since the City Council is represented by the three councillors and it should also be removed from the list of partner organisations.	Not agreed
9. There is currently no set maximum number of people for each Board. The Commission believes that this could be made clearer and the numbers could be set at a maximum of 20. These could include 3 ward members, 7 agency representatives and up to 10 local/community representatives. The Board could be allowed from time to time to co-opt individuals with specific expertise to assist them with their work as and when required.	Agreed
10. Some members felt there was confusion on the number of forums that could be held per ward and asked for greater clarity. The Commission suggests that the Council Cabinet should take into account whether there is administrative capacity to support more than one forum per ward.	This is for each ward to decide - not agreed that the Council Cabinet should restrict wards to one forum

11. Members felt that in their experience there had been occasions when relevant officers had not attended Area Panel meetings and consequently issues could not be progressed as quickly as they could have done. The Commission recommends that relevant officers and/or Council Cabinet member should be required to attend Board meetings when requested and that this requirement is referenced in the Good Practice Guide.	Lead Council Officer will be Neighbourhood Manager but other officers will attend subject to each department being able to be flexible with regard to representation.
12. There was considerable debate on whether the Board meetings should be open to the public or held in private and there were strong arguments on both sides. The Commission suggests that this be left up to each Neighbourhood Board to decide.	Agreed
13. Tackling cross boundary issues such as parking and traffic congestion were raised by some members. The Commission believes these could be addressed by establishing sub groups between the neighbourhoods affected.	This is for each ward to decide
14. Members felt the scope of the forums should be broad and include all matters that affect residents and not be restricted to clean, safe and green issues nor Council centric.	Agreed
15. Neighbourhood Forums are expected to produce local priorities. It is unlikely that all of these could be funded through the Community budget. The Commission recommends a robust mechanism to be established that enables Neighbourhood priorities to be fed up to the City Council and partners to ensure that Neighbourhood Priorities are reflected in partner budgets.	Agreed
16. The Commission accept the recommendations for petitions.	Agreed
17. The minimum number of meetings for Forums/Boards needs to be made clear in the guidance-it is not clear in the guidance reviewed by the Commission.	It is suggested that there be at least four meetings per year, but each Board/Forum could decide to hold more.
18. Neighbourhood Managers were mentioned at the meeting, this information should be circulated as an addendum to this report along with the budget information.	Agreed

Decision

To recommend Council to:

1. approve the arrangements for Neighbourhood Boards and Neighbourhood Forums set out in the report.
2. approve the amendments to the Constitution set out in Appendix 2.

3. approve the Good Practice Guide at Appendix 3 subject to the amendments described in the table above and to authorise the Cabinet Member for Neighbourhood, Social Cohesion and Housing Strategy to approve any further minor amendments prior to formal publication.
4. agree that the Area Panel budget of £99,000 be divided equally between each ward (equivalent to £5,823 a ward) and allocated to the Neighbourhood Board to be used to help meet neighbourhood priorities.
5. appoint the following chairs of Neighbourhood Boards for the remainder of the current municipal year and agree that the other two ward councillors would act as vice chairs:

(Names of councillors to be submitted at the Council meeting)

Neighbourhood (Ward)	Chair
Abbey	
Allestree	
Alvaston	
Arboretum	
Blagreaves	
Boulton	
Chaddesden	
Chellaston	
Darley	
Derwent	
Littleover	
Mackworth	
Mickleover	
Normanton	
Oakwood	
Sinfin	
Spondon	

MINUTES END