

Closure of Public Toilets

Grounds for call-in

- b) Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers
- c) Respect for human rights
- d) A presumption in favour of openness
- e) Clarity of aims and desired outcomes

And that other relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into consideration

Reasons why signatories believe principles have been breached

- b. Insufficient or no consultation took place with the public and other interested bodies and the professional advice was flawed
 - c. There will be a disproportionate effect on vulnerable groups such as elderly and disabled whose rights will be infringed
 - d. As no public consultation took place there was no openness and charge payers are aggrieved that they could not contribute to the final decision
 - e. No desired outcomes of any note were known apart from cost saving issues. Aims were not clear or understandable
- Other There are substantial public health issues not addressed and the effects that will arise for the travelling public, late night licensing and vulnerable groups

DRR 7 October 2008.