

Report of the Assistant Director - Regeneration

Development Control Performance Quarter January – March 2006

RECOMMENDATION

1. To note the report

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- 2.1 This report is based on the contents of the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister's statistical report on planning applications and decisions relating to Derby for the period January to March 2006.
- 2.2 Our overall performance for that quarter was **77%** of applications dealt with in eight weeks. This was **1** percentage point lower than in the previous quarter.
- 2.3 The performance level for dealing with householder applications, at **85%** within eight weeks, was **3** percentage points lower than in the previous quarter.
- 2.4 We received **519** applications **137** more than in the previous quarter.
- 2.5 The number of decisions made was **411**, which was **30** fewer than during October December 2005.
- 2.6 Of all the decisions made, **89%** were made under delegated powers; this is the same as the previous quarter but lower than the **90%** target expected by the Government. If this trend continues we will have to revisit the delegation arrangements.
- 2.7 During January March 2006 our performance levels in dealing with major, minor and other types of applications were as shown below:

Туре	Government Target	Local Target	Performance in Quarter (change from previous quarter)
Major	60% in 13 weeks	57%	64% (+2%)
Minor	65% in 8 weeks	69%	68% (-)
Others	80% in 8 weeks	83%	85% (-1%)

2.8 Members will note that we continue to exceed the Government targets and were only 1 percentage point away from achieving all our own targets for each category of application. It was particularly satisfying to continue to exceed the target for major applications as we have concentrated a great deal of extra effort into dealing with those applications. To put this excellent performance into context the following table shows how we compare with similar neighbouring authorities including the number of applications received which, in terms of performance, workload is a major factor in output.

	Applications received in Quarter	Total Decisions in Quarter	Decision within 8 Number	weeks	within 1	ecisions 3 weeks ⁻ percent
Derby	519	411	317	77	14	64
City of Nottingham	441	384	315	82	14	70
Leicester	542	471	400	85	14	54
Stoke on Trent	383	281	241	86	18	82

- 2.9 The charts in Appendix 2 show the following:
 - Chart 1 Overall performance since 1990
 - Chart 2 Statistical distribution of national performance levels for the three categories of planning applications with this Authority's performances superimposed.
 - Chart 3 Total number of applications received.
 - Chart 4 Total number of decisions, split to show those that took less than and more than eight weeks.

For more information contact:	Paul Clarke Tel 01332 255942 e-mail paul.clarke@derby.gov.uk				
Background papers:	Office of Deputy Prime Minister Statistical Release – Planning Applications				
List of appendices:	Appendix 1 – Implications				
	Appendix 2 - Charts				

IMPLICATIONS

Financial

1. None..

Legal

2. None.

Personnel

3. None.

Corporate objectives and priorities for change

4. Our performance levels in dealing with planning applications have implications for delivering excellent services, performance and value for money (priority).