



DERBY CITY COUNCIL

Appendix 2



Overview and Scrutiny

Annual Report 2012/2013

Contents

Page

4	Foreword
5	Corporate Scrutiny and Climate Change Board
7	Adults and Public Health Board
9	Children and Young People Board
11	Corporate Parenting Sub Board
13	Neighbourhoods Board
15	Planning, Housing and Leisure Board
17	Resources and Governance Board
18	Call-In
18	Budget Scrutiny
19	Member Training
20	Member Satisfaction Survey
21	Overview and Scrutiny Membership 2012-2013

Foreword

Overview and scrutiny plays an important and active part in local government's decision making process. It acts as a critical friend to the Council Cabinet by challenging decisions where appropriate, and helping to improve services through policy development, service reviews and working in alignment with the Council's performance management structure. The scrutiny function has helped the Council to continue to improve services for the local population, and continues to do so through these more challenging times.

At the May 2012 Annual General Meeting, Council revised its structure for overview and scrutiny. It increased the number of scrutiny committees from five to six and renamed them *boards*. The remit of these boards was also revised to broadly mirror the Cabinet Member portfolios, although both the Planning, Housing and Leisure Board and the Climate Change Board covered more than one Cabinet Member portfolio.

Overview and scrutiny had another busy year with each board scrutinising a wide range of items and holding decision makers to account. The boards routinely selected items from the Forward Plan and asked searching questions related to policy as well as performance. Members went on fact finding visits locally to look at service delivery and learn first-hand how these were being affected by budget savings. Members have also sought views from other cities to consider good practice and fed findings through topic reviews and performance surgeries to support policy development or service improvement.

Achieving this good work would not have been possible without the support of Cabinet Members, Strategic Directors, Council Officers and the dedicated scrutiny team and citizens of Derby who provided evidence to the Board who all deserve my thanks. I also wish to extend my thanks to Scrutiny Chairs, Vice Chairs and board members for all their hard work and thoughtful and sensible contributions throughout the year, which have delivered successful results.



**Councillor Mark Tittley,
Chair Corporate Scrutiny and Climate Change
Board 2012-13**

Corporate Scrutiny and Climate Change Board

The CSCC Board carefully balanced its scrutiny work programme between regeneration and climate change, the two principle areas within its remit. On regeneration issues, the board looked at the proposals for major new developments in the city. The board received regular updates on a range development projects and scheme including Castleward Urban Village, the Magistrates Court, Osmaston Regeneration Project and the Global Technology Centre and Innovation Campus on Sinfin Moor which on completion will have attracted hundreds of millions of pounds of investment into the city.

The board considered the launch of the draft Climate Change strategy which requires long term, cross party support to address challenges of climate change.

The board also considered options for developing further hydro -power plants at various sites along the river Derwent, and building on the success of current scheme on the weir. It also looked at the case for operating trams and trolley buses in the city. The board found that both suggested schemes are not financially or commercially viable at this time, and was able to provide a strong and informed steer to advise against developing these schemes in the city for those who had been considering them as an option.



A major topic review was also carried out by the CSCC Board on long standing vacant sites in the city. Although the city is making good progress, Derby (along with many cities across the country) is experiencing difficulties in developing vacant sites and keeping its high streets fully occupied. This situation is not helped by some sites such as the Friar Gate Goods Yard and Duckworth Square which have remained vacant and derelict even during better times. The board felt that not only were these sites having a detrimental impact on the image of the city and could be making a useful contribution to the local economy.

The Corporate Scrutiny and Climate Change (CSCC) Board operates as a scrutiny committee in its own right and also acts as an umbrella board, co-ordinating and leading the work of other boards to avoid potential duplication of work. During one of its early meetings, the CSCC Board considered a report proposing changes to the process for conducting performance surgeries. Performance surgeries provide a valuable challenge on areas of under-performance, prompting review of action plans and ultimately supporting service improvements. Performance surgeries had previously been led by cabinet portfolio holders and had focused on council owned indicators.

In response, the board recommended that surgeries be chaired by relevant scrutiny chair in order to provide appropriate challenge. Adopting this process would require the cabinet member to consider and where appropriate, address under performance.

The board resolved to consider whether vacant sites shared common issues, and if so make recommendations on how these problems could be addressed. It received evidence from a range of individuals including major developers, officers and senior politicians and members of local resident groups, and based on the evidence received submitted a final report to Council Cabinet for its consideration.

Items scrutinised

- Remit and Work Programme
- Performance Management
- Policy Management
- Council Cabinet response to Scrutiny Reports and recommendations
- Development of some of the most challenging sites in city centre- Presentation
- Global Technology Centre and Innovation Campus - presentation
- Quarter One Performance Monitoring 2012/13 Cabinet Report – For Information
- ODOC & Transformation Programme
- Update on Magistrates Court
- Castleward Progress Update
- Review of Climate Change Strategy and project updates
- Revenue Budget Proposals 2013/14- 2015/16
- Hydro Power Generation Plants
- Sustainable Transport
- Update on Staff Return to Council House
- The Case for Trams in Derby
- ‘One Derby, One council’ Programme Progress Report
- Review of Challenging Regeneration Sites
- Response of the Council Cabinet to Scrutiny Reports and Recommendations
- Property Rationalisation Project Update
- Osmaston Vision – Developer Partner Procurement
- Draft city-wide Climate Change Strategy
- Urgent Item considered by Council Cabinet

Adults and Public Health Board

The remit of the Adults and Public Health (APH) Board covers three relatively large service areas which include adult social care, public health and NHS health services. During the past year the APH Board has scrutinised a number of issues from each of these areas.

Adult Social Care

In August the board considered a report on the Transformation of Housing Related Support Services (Supporting People Grant), which had been identified by the Council Cabinet to make a major contribution towards the directorate's savings target. The board also considered the impact of the changes to the eligibility threshold within the Fair Access to Care Services framework from moderate to substantial and above. The board noted that around a third of the 1293 customers reassessed under the new higher criteria were found to no longer meet the need.



The review allowed the board to consider a strategic approach to offering advice and information, prevention and early intervention. The board also considered proposed changes to short breaks adults with learning disability at their scheduled meeting as well as a subsequent call-in referral. The board's recommendation that the hostel providing services to this client base remain open until the alternative provision was fully operational was accepted by Council Cabinet.

NHS Health Services

This year saw significant changes in NHS health services. Primary Care Trusts wound down and eventually closed on 31 March 2013, whilst most of their responsibilities transferred to local Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCG's). These have had to go through a rigorous and robust assessment from the NHS Commissioning Board Authority and prove their ability to commission safely, manage finances, improve quality and reduce inequality. From April 2013 the Southern Derbyshire CCG's became responsible for assessing health needs, planning and paying for the local health services for Derby. In order to hit the ground the running and provide a seamless transition, the CCG produced a draft Commissioning Plan which specified allocation of its resources and address local health needs. This plan was considered by the board at their November meeting.

The APH Board also scrutinised other NHS services including those provided by the East Midlands Ambulance Service (EMAS). EMAS provides emergency 999 and urgent care to 4.8 million people in the East Midlands region. The board received regular presentations and updates on their performance in the city. The board submitted a response to consultation by EMAS on their proposals to improve local services which involves amongst other measures, proposals to closer smaller stations and establishing large community stations at strategic locations. These changes are expected to improve response times and also ensure patients receive the right care in the fastest time possible.



The board received a number of presentations from Derbyshire Healthcare NHS Trust which covered a number of different issues including psychotherapy services and its strategy for 2013-2016 titled 'Improving Lives, Strengthening Communities, Getting Better Together'.

Public Health

Public Health is responsible for improving the health of the local population through education and promotion of healthy lifestyles. It also has the responsibility for taking action to protect the health of the local population by conducting research for disease and injury prevention. Public Health is essentially a commissioners rather than providers of services and therefore works with a range of organisations to develop and deliver health improvement programmes. It operated in a shadow form before formally becoming part of Council on 1st April 2013.

Making sense of it all: Health Scrutiny Summit

A joint Health Scrutiny Summit was held with Derbyshire County Council's Improvement Scrutiny Committee which was attended by a range of people from health and social care organisations. The key note speech was delivered by Professor David Walker, Regional Director of Public Health, which focused on the history of changes to NHS health structures and explained how these reforms affect delivery of health services to the local population. Professor Walker also explained the importance of the health scrutiny function in local government and Government's proposals to confer power on council rather than specific committee. The Summit received a presentation from the Strategic Director of Adult, Health and Housing on the future integration of social care and health and recommended that this focuses on systems and not structures. The conference also received a joint presentation from the Derby City Council's Scrutiny Manager and Derbyshire County Council's Improvement and Scrutiny Officer on their scrutiny processes and practices, and how these act as critical friend to support cabinet decision making.

Children and Young People Board

This year the Children and Young People (CYP) Board has scrutinised many issues which impact on the welfare and prospects of children and young people in Derby.

The CYP Board started the year with a meeting with the Strategic Director of the children and young people department and his senior officers to discuss their priorities for the forthcoming municipal year. The board worked with the department and performance teams to ensure the work programme explored key issues in a timely and effective way.

The board's budget scrutiny took place in December where it put forward a number of recommendations to the Cabinet Member including:

- That the business model for Leopold Street should be reviewed to explore whether the service can be maintained and thus be prioritised;
- That the Cabinet Member should work with partners to maintain the services at SPACE in the city centre;
- That the Cabinet Member does not remove the primary mental health workers suggested in the budget and that the looked after children psychology service budget proposal be reviewed.



To ensure the views of young people were heard the chair attended the Voices In Action Budget meeting and fed their views back to the board.

The Chair of the CYP Board also chaired Children and Young People Performance Surgeries this year. These looked at a wide range of issues covering areas of underperformance and scrutinised action plans to ensure service improvements in the future. A wider performance monitoring programme was taken through the CYP Board so that performance across the department received sufficient scrutiny.

Review of Children's Homes

The CYP Board conducted a detailed and timely topic review reflecting on 'Are our Children's Homes in Derby fit for purpose now and in the future?' This complimented a departmental examination of the homes in Derby.

The review team, which consisted of the Chair and Vice Chair of the CYP Board held witness interviews with a wide range of individuals. These included senior officers in the CYP Department, social workers, Children's Home managers, people who worked in the homes with young people, a representative from Leicester City Council and the Children in Care Council in addition to considering a range of written information on the subject.

The board approved over 20 recommendations at its March meeting which were presented and supported by the Council Cabinet at their April meeting.

Items scrutinised

- Voices in Action Priorities 2012-13
- Terms of Reference and Remit of the Children and Young People Board
- Children and Young People Department Priorities 2012-13
- Children and Young People Board Work Programme 2012-12
- Topic Review 2011-12 – How can the Timescale for Adoption at Derby City Council be Improved
- Topic Review 2012-13
- Establishment of the Corporate Parenting Sub-Board
- Corporate Parenting Week- 25 June 2012
- Alternative Option for School Improvement
- Voices in Action
- Derby Safeguarding Children Board Annual Report
- OFSTED Inspection Frameworks 2102-13
- Children Poverty Unit- Children Poverty Review
- Youth Consultation Findings- Delivering Services to Young People
- Children and Young People Board Library
- Performance Surgery Planning
- Adoption Statement of Purpose
- School Place Planning- Update
- BSF- Update
- Revenue Budget Consultation
- Pupil Outcomes for 2012

- OFSTED Inspection for the Protection of Children
- Priority Families Update Report
- RPA & Participation in Education or Training and NEET 16-18
- Special Educational Needs Green Paper
- Looked After and Adopted Children and Young People Strategy 2012-15
- Residential Children's Homes
- Raising of the Participation Age (RPA)
- School OFSTED Inspection Outcomes- September 2012 Onwards
- Health and Well-being Commissioning Strategy for Children and Young People and Families
- Implementation of the Recommendations of the Munro Review of Children Protection
- Topic Review Draft Report- Are Out Children's Homes Fit for Purpose?

Corporate Parenting Sub-Board

The Corporate Parenting Sub-Board's work this year has gone from strength to strength. The sub-board has a much deeper understanding of issues facing children looked after by Derby City Council, and has worked hard with officers to drive up standards and develop working relationships where challenge is accepted and welcomed.

Children's Home Visits

A programme of children's home visits continued across the year with all homes receiving at least two councillor visits. The sub-board members have monitored the feedback from these visits and ensured any recommended actions are followed up on appropriately.

The sub-board is extremely keen to develop strong relationships with the managers in the homes and to support them in driving up the quality of care they provide.

Performance monitoring

The sub-board has carefully monitored the council's performance in relation to children looked after. The sub-board has used these figures to identify areas of concern in our performance. The board has carefully monitored the work being done to safely reduce the numbers of children in Derby City Council's care and has a good understanding of the work needed to continue to reduce our figures over the forthcoming year.

The sub-board has taken over the monitoring of the action plans for the Safeguarding, Looked After Children and the Fostering Service from the main Children and Young People Commission.

Children in Care Council

The Corporate Parenting Sub-Board has developed good links with the Children in Care Council, and working closely with them now forms a vital part of the board's work. The board is keen to hear the concerns and issues that are important to them. The Chair has attended one of their meetings whilst a member of the Children in Care Council has attended a CYP Board meeting. Having a young person at the board was extremely useful and the board would strongly recommend continuing with this arrangement into the future.

Items scrutinised

- Children in Care Council
- Learning Care Service
- Inspections of Children's Homes
- Looked After Children- Performance Update
- Visits to Children's Homes
- Adoption Score Card
- School Achievement for Looked After Children 2012
- Recruitment of Foster Carers
- Fostering Report
- Multi Systemic Teams
- Charter for Care Leavers
- Proposed Legislative Changes to the Post of Virtual School Head
- Member Visits to Children's Homes
- Fostering Agency Report

Neighbourhoods Board

This board's aim is to review and challenge council policies and decisions made which affect issues under the responsibility of the Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods and Streetpride. This includes scrutiny of the work of highways and engineering, waste, traffic and transportation, and grounds maintenance and cleansing. The board also covers the Communities and Neighbourhood Partnerships service, which delivers the work of the community safety partnership, as well as being responsible as the statutory "crime and disorder committee" under the Police and Justice Act 2006.

The board met six times during the municipal period to consider a wide range of items, and held an additional meeting to conduct scrutiny of the proposed budget.

Review of Anti-social Behaviour

The scrutiny board started an in-depth topic review to look at the causes of antisocial behaviour, with a view to considering how and when intervention measures could be applied. This is a lengthy and complex review, and work will continue on this up to autumn 2013.

The scrutiny board scrutinised a range of items during the year and made recommendations which asked the executive and senior officers to take the views, comments and suggestions from the board into consideration when developing services, policies and making decisions. One of the most notable recommendations was made during the budget scrutiny process when the board was considering proposals to reduce the community budgets from Neighbourhood Boards. The scrutiny board recommended review of the system by which the Neighbourhood Boards' devolved budgets were proposed to decrease.

The scrutiny board was concerned that the reduction would be divided equally between all Neighbourhood Boards, and would not take into account the differing deprivation levels particular to each ward. The Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods and Streetpride reviewed this recommendation and the Council Cabinet decided to redistribute funds for each ward based upon the national Multiple Indices for Deprivation. This decision was subsequently subject to a 'Call-In', which was not upheld by the scrutiny board.

Police and Crime Panels

The board has been working throughout the year to understand the implications of the replacement of the Police Authority with the office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC). Board members attended a workshop event to discuss the ways in which local authority scrutiny boards can develop relationships between their role as the statutory crime and disorder committee, and the role of the Police and Crime Panels (PCP) which holds the role of the PCC to account. The board recommended that the Chair of this board should be one of the Council's nominated representatives to sit on the PCP as a natural link between the two. This was subsequently agreed by Council. The board also agreed a method for communicating elected member questions through nominated representatives to the PCP.

Safety on School Transport

Following on from the Safety on School Transport topic review which was carried out during the previous municipal year, the board asked for an update on the actions arising from the recommendations to Council Cabinet. The board was pleased to learn that all of its recommendations had been accepted by Council Cabinet and were being implemented, and that the re-tender of contracts to provide transport from schools to both Moorways Sports Complex and Queen's Leisure Centre for swimming lessons, would include a specification requiring all vehicles to be fitted with seat belts.

Purple Flag Status

The board continued to contribute to work being done to obtain Purple Flag status for the city centre. During the previous year, board members and scrutiny officers joined those involved in working towards gaining Purple Flag status on a night-time city centre patrol, and gave feedback to those involved to help strengthen Derby's bid for accreditation. The board



was delighted to hear that following the hard work of all involved in working to achieve this, Derby was awarded Purple Flag status in April 2013.

Items scrutinised

- Police and Crime Panels
- Neighbourhood Working
- Changes to refuse collection services
- Tree Management
- Purple Flag and the Night Time Economy
- Crime and Disorder
- Highways Maintenance
- Safety on School Transport
- Anti-Social Behaviour
- Derby City Council Revenue Budget Proposals
- Police and Crime Panels
- Strategic Intelligence Assessment

Planning, Housing and Leisure Board

The Planning, Housing and Leisure (PHL) Board's remit covers services under the responsibility of the Cabinet Member portfolios for:

- Planning, Environment and Public Protection
- Housing and Advice
- Leisure and Culture

The PHL Board met eight times over the 2012-2013 municipal period, at which members considered a wide range of items for scrutiny including holding a special meeting to conduct budget scrutiny.

The board has had an on-going involvement in shaping services for the residents of Derby, and has made a number of comments, suggestions and recommendations over the municipal year which asked Council Cabinet, Cabinet Members and senior officers to take the views from the scrutiny board into consideration when developing services, policies and making decisions on the relevant items scrutinised.



Libraries

In monitoring the work carried out by the Neighbourhoods Commission in 2011-2012, the PHL Board paid particular attention to the provision and accessibility of library services across the city. A report from the Director of Leisure and Culture on library opening hours was received by the board in September 2012, along with a report on the proposed location of the local studies library. The board accepted budgetary pressures to revise opening hours and suggested alternating opening hours across different libraries could be an option.

Changes to library services are still on-going, with consultation being carried out on opening hours and how libraries will be staffed. Board members were asked to look at and comment on the proposed public consultation survey. Members suggested some amendments to the survey to ensure clarity and ease of use, and requested to see the consultation results at a future board meeting.

The board will continue to work with cabinet members and senior officers to shape the future of libraries over the next municipal year.

Homelessness

Towards the end of the municipal year, the PHL Board started an in-depth topic review of the provision for homelessness in Derby with a view to ensuring that we are doing what we can to support homeless providers following budget cuts, and ensure that those who find themselves homeless have access to services. So far this has involved the chair of the board and support officers visiting homeless providers such as Milestone House and the Padley Centre to look at how they deal with the need for emergency accommodation, particularly during the cold winter months. The Scrutiny Chair and support officers also visited the Derby Soup Run to find out more about the service they provide, and to help serve food to those in need of a hot drink or meal. This review is continuing and will be complete by summer 2013 with a view to ensuring recommendations can be made before the cold weather returns.



Items scrutinised:


- The Establishment of Derby Museums and Arts Trust
- PM10 Air Quality
- Private Sector Housing - Standards and Issues Related to Rented Properties
- Adoption of 'Our City Our River' Masterplan
- Core Strategy
- Housing Allocation Policy
- Homelessness
- Library Opening Hours
- Local Studies Library
- Disposal of Museum Reserve Collections
- Planning Peer Review
- Housing Allocations Policy- Consultation Results

Resources and Governance Board

The remit of the Resources and Governance Board mirrors responsibilities of the Cabinet Member for Resources and Governance. The board scrutinised a range of items within its portfolio, including a revision to the petitions scheme which had been introduced in 2010. The revision not only proposed a reduction from 8500 to 4000 in the number of signatories required to trigger a council debate but also extended the choice for petitioners to present their petition to the Council Cabinet member as well as the Mayor, or the Chief Executive.

Sickness absence

The board also considered reports on customer management and exchequer services, corporate complaints and procurement policy and practice. The board looked at proposals for reducing sickness absence by addressing work place health. The board learnt that sickness absence costs the British economy £15 billion per year with 1.8 million people suffering from illness that is caused or made worse by work. The National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidance



recommends local authorities to improve workplace health of their communities by setting an example as a model employer, whilst also encouraging and helping other employers to improve the health of their employees. With the council regaining responsibility for Public Health, this offers an opportunity to build on the excellent work already put in place by Human Resources and Occupational Health services to address workplace

health. This should not only help to reduce sickness absence, but should also improve the health of staff generally, and in-turn improve productivity.

The electoral cycle

Derby City Council is a minority amongst large unitary authorities still operating a model of elections by thirds. In light of this position, the board was asked by Council to conduct a review of the local electoral cycle. In conducting this review, the board received evidence from a wide range of individuals which included amongst others, the three political group leaders. Evidence was also provided by senior officers including the Strategic Director of Resources who has worked within both systems. Views of the public were also sought at Neighbourhood Board meetings and through consultation on the web page and posters and leaflets distributed across council run buildings. A draft report has been compiled which shows strong, compelling arguments both in favour and against election by thirds and also to moving to whole council elections every four years.

Items scrutinised

- Review of the Electoral Cycle Scoping Report
- Petitions Scheme
- Review of the Electoral Cycle
- Customer Management and Benefits and Exchequer Services
- Corporate Complaints
- Procurement Policy and Practice
- Local Council Tax Support Scheme
- Revenue Budget Proposal
- Reducing Sickness Absence- Workplace Health
- Welfare Reform

Call-in

Councillors who are not in the Council Cabinet have the power to Call-in a decision of the Council Cabinet where they feel the decision has not been taken in accordance with the agreed principles of decision making. Following the publication of the Council Cabinet, members have five days to Call-in a decision which must then be heard by the appropriate scrutiny board with 10 days. However, the Council has taken a sensible approach to Call-in and exercised this power in exceptional circumstances. During the municipal year 2012-13 the following three decision were called-in.

1. **Short Breaks for Adults with Learning Disability** was called in by three members and considered by Adults and Public Health Board on 29 November 2012. The board did not uphold the Call-in. However the chair felt that the wording of the Council Cabinet decision which led to the Call-in should be reviewed at the next Council Cabinet meeting to provide clarity and strengthen the decision.
2. **Redistribution of Community Budgets** was considered at a specially convened meeting of the Neighbourhoods Board on 01 May. This item had been called-in by three signatories each from the Conservative Group and Liberal Democrats Group and taken together. The Neighbourhoods Board resolved that no decision making principles had been breached and therefore did not uphold the Call-in.
3. **Waste Management Contract** was also considered by the Neighbourhoods at the 01 May meeting. The board considered all the arguments for and against and decided not to uphold the Call-in as they felt no decision making principles had been breached.

Budget Scrutiny

Scrutiny Boards held special meetings during December and January to scrutinise the council's revenue and capital budget proposals. Following a detailed look of the Council Cabinet proposals, the boards made a series of recommendations which were considered by the Council Cabinet as part of its budget setting process.



Revenue Budget Proposals 2013/14 – 2015/16



Contact Details:

Roger Kershaw, Strategic Director of Resources
Martyn Marples, Director of Finance and Procurement

email: corporatefinance@derby.gov.uk

Derby City Council, Resources Directorate, Saxon House, Heritage Gate, Derby, DE1 1AN

Member Training

A training workshop was held early in the municipal year to inform members of the latest thinking and approaches in the scrutiny function. The programme included a quiz which not only served as an ice breaker but also challenged members on their knowledge of scrutiny. Members were briefed on the role of scrutiny in Council's decision making process, setting work programmes, and the practicalities of conducting scrutiny and process for making recommendations to the Council Cabinet.

The interactive workshop was well received with 19 scrutiny members attending the training workshop and taking a full and active part in discussions. The workshop was repeated in response to request from those who were unable to attend the first date.

Member Satisfaction Survey


The annual scrutiny member satisfaction survey gives councillors an opportunity to reflect on how well the scrutiny has performed over the past year. It enables them to consider relative strengths and weaknesses of the scrutiny support provision and comment on areas that have worked well but also identify areas for improvement.

Response to this year's questionnaire was the highest we have ever had with 25 councillors completing and returning their survey forms. This gives a response rate of just over 49%.

Analysis of the responses show that 92% of the respondents were very or fairly satisfied with the quality of research and technical support provided by the overview and scrutiny team. Although this is respectable, it is lower than 100% achieved last year. Members were also reasonably satisfied with the arrangements for review meetings and the quality of research provided by scrutiny with 88% of respondents indicating they were very or fairly satisfied. Overall, 88% of respondents were fairly or very satisfied with the overall support provided by the scrutiny team whilst 60% were very or fairly satisfied with the profile of overview and scrutiny in Derby.

In response to the concept of overview and scrutiny element of the survey, 71% were fairly or very satisfied with the time taken by scrutiny work, 68% with process for selecting subjects and 44% with conducting the annual budget scrutiny. Less than half of the members, 48% of the respondents stated they were fairly or very satisfied with responses of Council Cabinet to scrutiny board recommendations whilst 24% were fairly or very dissatisfied. Overall 56% of the respondents stated that they were fairly or very satisfied with the effectiveness of overview and scrutiny, 28% are also fairly or very dissatisfied.

Level of Member Satisfaction with Overview and Scrutiny Support



Overview and Scrutiny Membership 2012-2013

Corporate Scrutiny and Climate Change Board

Chair – Councillor Tittley

Vice Chair – Councillor Holmes

Councillors Afzal, Hickson, Hillier, Jones, Redfern, Whitby and Wood

Adults and Public Health Board

Chair – Councillor Hillier

Vice Chair – Councillor Jennings

Councillors Harwood, Martin, Pegg, Skelton, Turner, Whitby and Webb

Planning, Housing and Leisure Board

Chair – Councillor Redfern

Vice Chair – Councillor Troup

Councillors Allen, Hillier, Holmes, Ingall, Jackson, S Khan and Nawaz

Neighbourhoods Board

Chair – Councillor Afzal

Vice Chair – Councillor Poulter

Councillors Barker, Keith, S Khan, MacDonald, Naitta, Pegg and L Winter

Resources and Governance Board

Chair – Councillor L Winter

Vice Chair – Councillor Radford

Councillors Ashburner, Carr, Davis, Roberts, Sandhu, Stanton and Tittley

Children and Young People Board

Chair – Councillor Whitby

Vice Chair – Councillor Williams

Councillors Atwal, Bailey, Bolton, Campbell, J Khan, Martin and F Winter