

# Derby's Local Area Agreement

Refresh for 2006-07

# **CONTENTS**

THE VISION, DEVELOPMENT AND DELIVERY OF DERBY'S LOCA AREA AGREEMENT3
1.1       The Vision – Derby's Community Strategy       3         1.2       Scope of the LAA       6         1.3       Developing Derby's LAA       9         1.4       Governance arrangements       11         1.5       Financial arrangements       12         1.6       Performance management framework       13         1.7       Taking the LAA forward       14
CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE – CYP152.1. Overview of the Children and Young People Block152.2. CYP - Outcomes, performance indicators and targets182.3. CYP - Details of supporting funding streams26
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENTERPRISE - EDE
HEALTHIER COMMUNITIES AND OLDER PEOPLE – HCOP37 4.1 Overview of the Healthier Communities and Older People Block37 4.2 HCOP - Outcomes, performance indicators and targets
SAFER AND STRONGER COMMUNITIES – SSC475.1Overview of the Safer and Stronger Communities Block475.2SSC - Outcomes, performance indicators and targets505.3SSC – Details of supporting funding streams54
ANNEX 1 COMMUNITY STRATEGY PRIORITIES AND LAA OUTCOMES55
ANNEX 2 NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL INDICATORS59
ANNEX 3 LOCAL PUBLIC SERVICE AGREEMENT 261

# THE VISION, DEVELOPMENT AND DELIVERY OF DERBY'S LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT

#### 1.1 The Vision – Derby's Community Strategy

For ten years, Derby City Partnership has been working to improve the quality of life of the city. Our 2020 Vision sets out the partnership's social, economic and environmental objectives for Derby. We aim to create integrated solutions in all these areas so that, together, they make a lasting and positive difference to the quality of life of communities, individuals and organisations in Derby, both now and in the future.

We have achieved a lot by working in partnership to improve our city, but we still have many challenges ahead. Our recently refreshed sustainable community strategy, now incorporating the neighbourhood renewal strategy, states our long-term vision for Derby, with two clear priorities for the next three years...

- 1. To create a city centre for all ages, where people of all ages and from all backgrounds will be able to enjoy the city centre at any time of the day.
- 2. To improve Derby's deprived neighbourhoods, so that opportunities for people living there are the same as for people living in the rest of the city.

These priorities reflect the importance placed on making sure disadvantaged neighbourhoods and groups get good services and opportunities and that the disparities between these communities and the rest of the city are reduced. The 2002 Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy identified twelve priority neighbourhoods, in addition to the Derwent NDC neighbourhood, where the gap in life chances was widest from the city average. As part of the preparation of the new community strategy, we have updated the baseline information about these neighbourhoods. Although the gap has generally narrowed in the period 2002 to 2005, there is still much to be done to narrow it further.

Following the updating of the baseline information, the partnership commissioned a piece of work to identify core neighbourhood renewal indicators and targets to be incorporated into the Local Area Agreement - LAA. The Neighbourhood Renewal Unit was consulted throughout the exercise, and the resulting agreed 'narrowing the gap' targets are now allocated to all the blocks of the LAA. We will track progress on all these indicators, by neighbourhood, using the data warehouse information system, which we are developing for this purpose.

We have placed special emphasis on five neighbourhoods, Osmaston, Normanton, Sinfin, Stockbrook and the Austin Estate. All of these, and the city centre, will benefit from a joined up approach to resident engagement, community safety and liveability, using a single pot of funding through the LAA. This work is being overseen by the partnership Area and Neighbourhood Project Board, which will also review the Council's Area Panels, to enhance councillors' community leadership roles, and which aims to create area partnership boards, responsible for strategic planning at locality level.

Partners in Derby share this commitment to focusing on deprived neighbourhoods and we want to use the opportunities provided by the LAA to deliver our 2020 Vision and aligned priorities more effectively. The outcomes we seek to agree with Government will reinforce the shared commitment that already exists across partners in the city. The priorities of the 2020 Vision will be taken forward by the outcomes included in the LAA, as demonstrated in **Annex 1**.

Since 2003, the partnership has published annual action plans showing how the community strategy is being delivered. This will continue for the refreshed community strategy. The action plans will incorporate activities delivered through the LAA, and those which take forward the major strategic plans underpinning the community strategy itself.

In 2006-07 the most significant new planning development will be the publication of Derby's first integrated children and young people plan. The Children and Young People's block of the LAA is a key delivery vehicle for achieving the outcomes within this plan, which in turn will help to achieve the overarching objectives of the community strategy. The plan is subject to consultation at the same time as the LAA. More details on this plan and other strategies supporting the community strategy can be found in the individual block sections.

#### Gaining more freedom and flexibilities through the LAA

We believe that the LAA will help partners to use their funding and other resources more flexibly and effectively to achieve agreed outcomes and rationalise and reduce monitoring, while maintaining effective performance management. However we were disappointed by the lack of progress made in the first year of the LAA. We would like to extend its coverage for the second year, to further rationalise monitoring and reporting – and ultimately lead to better outcomes.

In the first year of the LAA and during the refresh process for 2006, we have agreed the following freedoms and flexibilities...

- Sole reporting framework for Neighbourhood Renewal outcomes and funding.
- Flexibility to apply funds to appropriate projects with outputs targeted at our key Neighbourhood Renewal defined communities.
- Evaluation of Derby City Partnership in the context of the LAA.
- Pooling of CAMHS funding.
- Rationalisation of performance and financial reporting on crime.

We would like to propose the following freedoms and flexibilities going forward:

 SHA reporting - Sole reporting framework for targets that are consistent across a number of performance monitoring systems, for example not reporting LAA performance information to the strategic health authority (refer to page 41).

- Drug treatment Rationalisation of performance and financial reporting on drugs in partnership with the Drug Treatment Agency in order to reduce duplication and workload. We understand this been rejected by the Home Office, but we will continue to pursue this in future years (refer to page 49).
- Prolific offenders Reduction in performance reporting requirements for prolific offenders (refer to page 49).

#### 1.2 Scope of the LAA

We have based our LAA on four core blocks, but we have taken a broad view of the scope of each block ...

- Children and young people young people up to their 19<sup>th</sup> birthday and care leavers and young people with learning difficulties and disabilities to the age of 25 in terms of their educational achievement, social development and health, safety and fulfilment. A key feature of this block is to underpin integrated service delivery and to tackle disadvantage in line with our work on the Children Act 2004 and developing our Children and Young People's Plan.
- **Economic development and enterprise** sustainable economic development, regeneration and business growth based on strategies to promote skills, enterprise, innovation and investment in line with sub-regional and local economic plans.
- Safer and stronger communities community safety, a more attractive
  environment in which to live, active citizenship and reducing economic, social
  and environmental disparities between Derby's communities. This block takes a
  broad view of community safety, going beyond the traditional focus on crime and
  disorder.
- Healthier communities and older people all people from the age of 19, with particular reference to improved health outcomes and more independent living. The funding streams included are not extensive and our emphasis is on adding value through partnership working, with a focus on improved public health outcomes, preventive work and increased independence for adults and older people.

#### **Cross-cutting issues**

There are a number of issues and outcomes that will be addressed through more than one block of our LAA...

- Addressing inequalities and narrowing the gap between deprived communities and the rest are key cross-cutting outcomes across our LAA. All of the blocks use a range of funding streams for these purposes.
- Tackling wider issues regarding community empowerment and neighbourhood management. This is particularly relevant in light of the Area and Neighbourhood programme to improve the quality of life in Derby's neighbourhoods and deliver services at a more local level.
- Neighbourhood Renewal Funding will be split between the three blocks of children and young people, safer and stronger communities and healthier communities and older people to reflect current investment in achieving relevant outcomes. The funding will also contribute towards outcomes in the economic development and enterprise block although a specific allocation has not been made.

#### **Community cohesion**

Community cohesion is critical to the well-being and development of Derby as a multi-cultural, diverse and dynamic city and is central to the refreshed community strategy and the Local Area Agreement.

Primarily for the purpose of management community cohesion is located with the safer and stronger communities block. Clearly a cohesive community will be a safer and stronger community, the neighbourhood renewal, the 'Respect' and neighbourhood work will significantly contribute towards community cohesion outcomes.

Importantly all blocks and broader cross cutting activity will also contribute towards the creation of community cohesion and the achievement of related outcomes. The LAA contains activity and outcomes which tackles disadvantage in creating a safe, prosperous and sustainable city. Derby recognises and will meet head on challenges of equality, diversity and community cohesion in all of its aspects. In delivering this LAA, the Derby City Partnership will break down barriers and create opportunities for those in our communities who face disadvantage and inequality.

A community cohesion working group has conducted a review of activity and will develop and refresh indicators and outcomes of performance in order to strengthen the partnership's role.

#### Linking LAA to Community Strategy and Neighbourhood Renewal

The LAA includes all relevant performance indicators identified as part of the refreshed Community Strategy – these are referenced in the block outcomes tables.

We have undertaken an extensive exercise to identify a number of Neighbourhood Renewal core indicators across the key themes of housing, liveability, education, health, crime, worklessness and poverty. A list of these indicators is shown in **Annex 2**. Information will be collected through the new data warehouse and baselines will be confirmed by May 2006.

#### Linking LAA to LPSA2

Second generation Local Public Service Agreement targets have now been agreed and are incorporated within the LAA. They are directed to agreed local and central government priorities and cover three years from April 2005.

The table below shows the agreed LPSA2 targets and which blocks they will support. Further details are shown in **Annex 3**.

Target	Area	Block
1	Improve educational attainment	CYP
2	Improve attendance and inclusion in education	CYP
3	Reduce numbers Killed or Seriously Injured in road accidents	SSC
4	Reduce repeated domestic violence	SSC
5	Improve the quality of life of older people	HCOP
6	Improve the quality of life for disabled children and young people	CYP
7	Increase the amount of physical activity taken by children and young people	CYP
8	Reduce violent crime in the city centre	SSC
9	Reduce incidences of criminal damage in Derby	SSC
10	Reduce homelessness	SSC
11	Improve opportunities for employment and enhanced quality of life by removing financial barriers to employment and inclusion	EDE
12	Reduce smoking	HCOP

LPSA2 targets carry an additional element of performance reward grant – PRG – which does not come with the remaining LAA targets. In judging the success of the LAA arrangements alone, reference should be made to the 'without LPSA' targets to be found in Derby's LPSA2. However we have included the 'stretch' element as well to demonstrate our key targets.

#### 1.3 Developing Derby's LAA

The development of Derby's LAA has been characterised by a partnership approach from the outset and has been driven through DCP and overseen by DCP's Strategy Co-ordination Group – SCG. Consisting of senior officers from partner organisations and chaired by Derby City Council's Chief Executive, this has agreed the process and structures for developing the LAA and the outcomes and targets we wish to negotiate. The SCG role has facilitated partners' commitment to the LAA and effectively functions as a local public service board.

The LAA Steering Group, consisting of representatives from partner organisations, is responsible for managing the development of the LAA and refresh process. Two workshops were held in November 2005 to consider the future development of the LAA and revision of outcomes, attended by members of SCG and the Steering Group.

The project team for each block has at least two co-leads who are key stakeholders in delivering and securing services in that area. In this way, the involvement of a range of partners, including the voluntary sector, has been achieved. Partners have then taken the proposals back to their own organisations to obtain endorsement.

#### Consultation

In 2005 we carried out a survey about the top priorities and outcomes included in this new community strategy. We incorporated the work we had done in establishing priority outcomes for the LAA to inform that process and bring about increased alignment between the LAA and the 2020 Vision and between city-wide outcomes and the objective of narrowing the gap between deprived neighbourhoods and the rest of the city.

We have also consulted with partner organisations, including the voluntary and community sector, to obtain their views on the outcomes, indicators and funding streams proposed for the LAA.

#### **Voluntary and Community Sector**

We have strong existing networks for engaging the voluntary and community sector in the partnership, including the Community Network and the Derby Compact between the sector and the Council.

Derby Community Network ensures the interests of the voluntary and community sector are effectively represented in influencing and shaping the future of Derby via the Derby City Partnership. DCN representatives sit on the DCP Board, the Strategy Co-ordination Group, the External Funding Management Group, all of the City Executives as well as most other forums within the DCP. A representative has also sat on the LAA Steering Group throughout the process.

However we recognise there is room for improvement and will use these networks to develop more active engagement by the voluntary and community sector in the LAA process.

#### Principles guiding Derby's LAA

In general terms, the development of the blocks which make up Derby's LAA have been guided by the following principles. The content should:

- encourage/require partnership working while adding value and stretch
- be able to be sourced from objective measurable data and not reliant on perceptions of service providers or client groups, except where public or user perceptions are relevant to the outcome
- genuinely reflect outcomes rather than process, wherever possible, within the confines of mandatory indicators.

The outcomes, indicators and targets in the LAA will be reviewed on an annual basis. Where assumptions on the continuation of funding over subsequent years are not realised, it will be necessary to review those outcomes.

#### 1.4 Governance arrangements

Governance is an important aspect of the LAA and we are keen to strengthen the links between the Derby City Partnership and Local Area Agreement, developing management arrangements that add value through effective commissioning and evaluation. This will include establishing the important linkage in the CYP block to the developing children's trust arrangements for Derby.

#### Governance principles

As in previous years, any new governance arrangements will be based on principles that:

- give partners confidence in committing their resources to the achievement of LAA outcomes
- demonstrate clearly that funding is used through the LAA to achieve agreed outcomes
- provide for appropriate accountability and performance management responsibility, both at a partnership level and in individual agencies, taking account of the specific responsibilities on partners already in place, statutory or otherwise.

#### Decision-making in Derby City Partnership and within partner organisations

Alongside the decision-making process within DCP, partners will need to make appropriate authorisations and commitment to use resources to achieve the agreed LAA outcomes through their own processes. The refreshed LAA, including the management and use of resources described within it should be endorsed by key partners before it is formally agreed with Ministers in late March 2006.

The Strategy Co-ordination Group – SCG – will oversee the LAA on behalf of DCP, monitoring progress on a regular basis and holding partners to account for the progress they are making towards the agreed outcomes and the commitments they have made in the use of funding streams making up the LAA. However, we are reviewing the structure of DCP to make sure that it is 'fit for purpose' for the partnership and LAA going forward.

#### 1.5 Financial arrangements

The making of payments and financial accountability through Derby's LAA will reflect the broader governance arrangements to be developed and advice provided by Government on the operation of a single pot.

#### Single pot

We recognise the huge opportunity that a single pot arrangement may bring, providing greater freedom to tailor our resources to the delivery of outcomes. We intend to make use of our single pot to support our Area and Neighbourhood programme through flexible use of both mainstream and SSCF funding – totalling around £2 million.

Over the next few months, we will explore what the single pot means for Derby and consider how a single pot could be managed effectively. Linked to the development of the single pot, we are keen to examine the linkages between the use of funding streams and the resulting outcomes to develop a more sophisticated model of prioritising resources.

#### **Pooled funding**

The Council will continue to act as the accountable body for receiving pooled funding, which will be paid to partners on the basis of agreed allocations to specific outcomes, as outlined in the block sections. This includes pooling of funding for Connexions Derbyshire who have agreed to make available 28% of its funding through Derby's LAA, with the remaining 72% contributing to the Derbyshire LAA.

#### Budgets aligned to the LAA

Resources may still be used to help bring about improved outcomes and specific targets in support of the LAA without being subject to pooling arrangements. In these circumstances, funding will continue to be provided by the existing agency without going through the Council as the accountable body. These are referred to as 'aligned budgets' in terms of the LAA and will include funding streams put forward by the Central and Greater Derby Primary Care Trust.

#### 1.6 Performance management framework

As demonstrated in our six monthly review, our performance management framework provides an effective mechanism for monitoring and more importantly, managing our performance. However, we are looking to make improvements for year two.

DCP has developed its performance management arrangements associated with the Community Strategy and Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy, including the establishment of a dedicated post. The Council has also strengthened its performance management team as part of the LPSA2 pump-priming package in support of the delivery of both LPSA2 and LAA targets and this resource will be made available to the wider partnership.

Partner organisations have their own performance management arrangements in place as part of the drive to improve public services. The Council, DCP and the Community Safety Partnership have access to an on-line performance information system 'Performance Eye' which can be used to capture, monitor and report and actively manage performance and we will look to extend this to other partners in the LAA. Other partners are also exploring the potential offered by 'Performance Eye'. This system makes timely performance information widely available.

The final refreshed framework will include agreed definitions for each of the indicators included in the block outcome tables, with nominated compiling and accountable officers in place. A clear methodology outlining calculations and sources of evidence will also be confirmed. This exercise will be completed by April 2006. Data for Neighbourhood Renewal indicators will be gathered using a data warehouse, providing disaggregated information at local level for many of the Neighbourhood Renewal indicators.

The bodies overseeing the delivery of each block will receive performance reports on a regular basis and SCG will receive six monthly reports as part of its role in holding partners to account. As required, Government Office will also be provided with six monthly performance reports examining progress against the indicators, outcomes and targets detailed in the block sections.

#### 1.7 Taking the LAA forward

A comprehensive review of Derby City Partnership is currently taking place. The Derby City Partnership review is currently taking place. As part of this, two workshops considered the future governance arrangements for the partnership and LAA, including:

- the purpose and structure of the partnership
- the management and commissioning arrangements for the LAA.

The approach to embedding not only the LAA concept into the DCP and the detail of the current LAA will be outlined in the review report. This report will be considered at the DCP awayday on 31 March. An implementation plan for the LAA and future governance, accountability and performance management arrangements will be available during late April/early May.

LAA principles have been applied to other areas of joint commissioning. Derby's Supporting People funding has been aligned in three blocks that parallel the CYP, SSC and HCOP arrangements of the LAA. In each SP block, key commissioners agree on the outcomes to be achieved and the focusing of Supporting People funding to achieve targets. It is hoped that Supporting People can more formally become part of the LAA in future years, but Derby is using the LAA "toolkit" to develop joint commissioning even outside of the current Agreement.

There is a real appetite amongst partner organisations in Derby to extend the scope of the LAA beyond the four blocks – to encompass other areas such as housing, sustainability and culture and we will look to consider this during 2006-07.

#### CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE - CYP

#### 2.1. Overview of the Children and Young People Block

#### **Aims**

Derby City Council and its partners are currently moving forward on the integrated Children's Services agenda and now consulting on the first single Children and Young People's Plan. The shared vision behind our plans is that Derby Children and Young People's Partnership has a commitment to:

Building a brighter future for children and young people that provides a healthy, safe and happy childhood, with the opportunity to achieve their full potential.

A key feature of this block is to underpin integrated service delivery and to tackle disadvantage in line with our work on the Children Act 2004 and this developing vision and plan. The LAA block is one key dimension in bringing services and funding streams together, where it is possible and prudent to do so, in order to address local priorities relating to the five outcomes for children and young people more effectively. This will support the development of teams piloting multi-agency working. Narrowing the gap between the advantaged and disadvantaged neighbourhoods of the city is an important aim of the Community strategy and the LAA. In the CYP Block, this is approached by the selection of priorities and indicators which will in many cases, by their very nature and targeting, contribute to this.

An important aspect of development is to reflect our commitment to the greater involvement of the voluntary and community sectors at both strategic and operational levels – using our existing strong links through Derby City Partnership and other capacity building initiatives, for example, through Connexions and the Youth Service.

#### Progress in year one

The GOEM six-monthly review reported that, broadly, the progress in the Children's and Young People's block had been good.

Highlights included...

- Significant progress against the GCSE 5 A\*-C target in 2005.
- Overall, educational floor targets rated as GREEN, though with some problems re KS1 in disadvantaged areas and on FE progression.
- Noteworthy KS4 performance, particularly in the priority neighbourhoods, narrowing the gap in a very real sense.
- Behaviour, attendance and exclusions all improving with amber/green probably the most appropriate descriptor.

- The combined secondary and primary school absence ahead of target, influenced by a strong Behaviour Improvement Programme.
- The role of the Children's Fund in the LAA to date has been positive with support contributing towards the being safe and youth offending agenda.
- Good progress being made overall against Sure Start indicators.
- Steady progress with reducing teenage conceptions, and getting teenage parents into employment, education or training.
- The pooling of Connexions funding (and now meeting the challenging targets for NEET reduction in 2005).

#### Key changes for year two and subsequent years

In common with other blocks, the aim has been to reduce the number of indicators and targets, and to group them more coherently, focusing on those that relate to our identified risks and issues of concern, and national developments, for example:

- weaknesses at KS1 and 2, particularly in narrowing the progression rates into post-16 learning
- children's centre and extended school targets, as important means of delivering better integrated services to children, young people and their families
- positive activities and opportunities for young people.

#### **Funding streams**

The funding streams included in the plan are again a range of Standards Funds, Sure Start Revenue funding and the Children's Fund, which, in addition to other funding streams, make a contribution to addressing the outcomes.

The aim is to provide flexibility within the major initiatives, rather than compromising current action and success. For example, Sure Start local programmes will be funded over the duration of the agreement and the Children's Fund budget for 2006-07, supporting the 2005-8 plan, has been agreed. Plans and programmes may be revised through appropriate decision-making processes where this will lead to better outcomes.

Funding streams have been noted against the outcomes to which they most directly apply. However, some make a contribution to most, if not all, of the indicators. This is particularly the case with funding for early years developments, Sure Start programmes (incl Children's Centres), Extended Schools and the Children's Fund. In targeting fundamental issues, these programmes will have a longer-term effect on indicators such as attainment and reducing numbers not in employment, education or training – NEET. All are vital to our development work to integrate children and young people's services.

Likewise, Connexions makes a contribution across all five outcomes and brings experience of successful partnership working. Although primarily focused on 13-19 year-olds, it can support wider family and community services.

Neighbourhood Renewal Funding supports a number of projects which make a direct contribution to the outcomes for the CYP Block and bringing this together with other funding streams will better integrate action and focus and rationalise reporting mechanisms.

Where appropriate, Learning and Skills Council funding will be aligned to the priorities and outcomes in the agreement. Partnership arrangements fully involve the local LSC and their contribution is vital in relation to work to address the NEET targets, providing enterprising activities for all 13 - 19 year olds and more generally, narrowing gaps through neighbourhood renewal. Where appropriate, the intention is for discretionary Learning and Skills Council funding (such as Neighbourhood Learning in Deprived Communities) to be pooled where it supports the priorities and outcomes in the agreement. As a minimum, relevant funding will be aligned by involving partners and the neighbourhoods concerned in the decision making about its use.

The Regional Arts Council will be looking to align managed funds on a regional basis with LAA priorities and funding streams. In Derby, we will explore the appropriate means of contributing to the Children and Young People block outcomes through the Creative Partnership links and broader arts coordination work, for example, Artsmark and the new young people's arts awards.

#### 2.2 CYP - Outcomes, performance indicators and targets

Performance indicators included in the Community Strategy (CS) and those to be monitored at a neighbourhood level (NR) have been identified in the outcomes table below.

Local Public Service Agreement (LPSA2) targets have also been identified, with 'stretch' targets shown in brackets.

New indicators for 2006-07 or those with amended definitions have been highlighted as \*. They do not therefore include a target for 2005-06.

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Targets				
			Baseline	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Beyond
Be Safe							
CYP1 To provide safe	1.1 Percentage of schools reporting bullying incidents*		70% (04/05)	n/a	90%	100%	
environments for children and young people	1.2 Number of domestic violence incidents*	LPSA T4	Refer to SSC	1			
young people	1.3 Number of re-registrations on the child protection register		22.5% (04-05)	17%	18%	16%	
	1.4 Number of children 0-15 killed or seriously injured in road traffic accidents/collisions (measured on a calendar year)*		31 (95-98 average)	n/a	21	20	19
	1.5a % of children in need – citywide*	NR	Definition and baseline to be developed during 2006-07 in view of the work on integrating services that have different thresholds of 'need'				
	1.5b % of children in need – neighbourhood*	NR	Target centred around narrowing the gap between neighbourhoods				urhoods

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links		Targets					
			Baseline	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Beyond		
Be healthy									
CYP2 To improve the health of	2.1 Number of weeks waiting time for access to specialist CAMHS services*		26 weeks (04-05)	n/a	18 weeks	13 weeks	13 weeks		
children and young people	2.2 % of schools accredited to the healthy schools standard*		n/a	n/a	50%	60%			
CYP3 Fewer teenage pregnancies and support to teenage parents	3.1 Reduction in under 18 conception rate (* future targets are projections set by the Teenage Pregnancy Unit DFES Feb 2005)		-17.3% (2003 – drop from 1998 DFES baseline)	-28.2% (2005*)	-33.4% (2006*)	-38.8% (2007*)	-55% (2010 Government target)		
	3.2 Proportion of mothers who continue to smoke during pregnancy citywide*		TBC	n/a	16% city wide	TBC			
	3.3 Proportion of mothers in Sure Start local programme areas who continue to smoke during pregnancy		TBC	2% reduction from baseline	6% reduction from baseline	TBC			
	3.4. Proportion of mothers in Sure Start local programme areas breastfeeding at 6 weeks		28%	30%	32%	TBC			
	3.5 % of families in Surestart local programme areas with new babies visited in first two months of their babies' life and given information about the services and support available to them		100% (04-05)	100%	100%	100%			

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Targets					
			Baseline	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Beyond	
Enjoy and achie	eve	,						
CYP4 A good start to children's education and development	4.1 The number of schools involved in delivering the core offer for the extended schools strategy to provide a wide range of sporting, cultural and leisure activities for local people and to raise achievement*		n/a	n/a	38	73	All	
	4.2 The number of young people in school years 4, 8 and 10 participating in at least 7 hours of moderate intensity sport and physical activity each week, expressed as a % of all children and young people in these school years (HCOP also)	LPSA T7 CS	Baseline will be established in June 2006	n/a	Baseline % + % at 95% confidence interval + 2% (13.5%)	Baseline % + % at 95% confidence interval + 2% (13.5%)	To be measured in June 2009 survey	
	4.3 No of occasions on which disabled children and young people access community based social and leisure opportunities with significant help from the city council and partners (to be measured from 1/4/06 to 31/3/08)	LPSA T6	1,683 from 1/10/04 to 30/9/05	n/a	1,800 (3,840)	1,800 (3,840)		
CYP5 Improved attainment at KS1, 2 and 3 with particular focus on pupils	5.1 % of children who attain Level 2B or better at the end of Key Stage 1 in reading	LPSA T1	70% (all summer 2004)	n/a	71% (72%) (All summer 2006)	72% (73.5%) (All summer 2007)	73.5% (75.5%) (All summer 2008)	

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Targets					
			Baseline	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Beyond	
in the bottom quartile	5.2 % of children who attain Level 2B or better at the end of Key Stage 1 in writing	LPSA T1	63%	n/a	64% (65%)	65% (66.5%)	66% (68%)	
	5.3 % of children who attain Level 2B or better at the end of Key Stage 1 in maths	LPSA T1	74%	n/a	74.5% (75.5%)	75% (76.5%)	75.5% (77.5%)	
	5.4 % of children who achieved level 2C at the end of Key Stage 1 in Reading in Summer 2004 who go on to achieve level 4 or better at the end of Key Stage 2 in English	LPSA T1	54%	n/a	58% (59%)%	62% (64%)	66% (69%)	
	5.5 % of children who achieved level 2C at the end of Key Stage 1 in Writing in Summer 2004 who go on to achieve level 4 or better at the end of Key Stage 2 in English	LPSA T1	58%	n/a	62% (63%)	67% (69%)	72% (75%)	
	5.6 % children who achieved level 2C at the end of Key Stage 1 in Maths in Summer 2004 who go on to achieve level 4 or better at the end of Key Stage 2 in Maths.	LPSA T1	31%	n/a	34% (35%)	38% (40%)	42% (45%)	
	5.7 %The percentage of children who achieved level 3 or below at the end of Key Stage 2 in Summer 2005 who go on to achieve level 5 or better at the end of Key Stage 3 in English	LPSA T1	27%	n/a	28% (29%)	29% (31%)	30% (33%)	

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Targets					
			Baseline	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Beyond	
	5.8 % of children who achieved level 3 or below at the end of Key Stage 2 in Summer 2005 who go on to achieve level 5 or better at the end of Key Stage 3 in Maths	LPSA T1	33%	n/a	34% (35%)	34% (36)	35% (38%)	
	5.9 % of children who achieved level 3 or below at the end of Key Stage 2 in Summer 2005 who go on to achieve level 5 or better at the end of Key Stage 3 in Science	LPSA T1	6%	n/a	8% (9%)	10% (12%)	11% (14%)	
	5.10 % achieving level 4 and above in English at the end of KS2		73%	n/a	78%	79%		
	5.11 % achieving level 4 and above in Maths at the end of KS2	CS	70%	n/a	78%	79%		
	5.12 % achieving level 5 and above in English at the end of KS3	CS	68%	n/a	76%	77%		
	5.13 % achieving level 5 and above in maths at the end of KS3		71%	n/a	75%	76%		
	5.14 % achieving level 5 and above in science at the end of KS3		62%	n/a	73%	74%		
	5.15 % achieving level 5 and above in ICT at the end of KS3		65% (actual for 05-06)	n/a	74%	75%		

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links			Targets		
			Baseline	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Beyond
	5.16a % achieving 5 + A* to C GCSE – city wide	NR	TBC	Targets to be developed. Narrowing the gap between Derby average and NR areas			ap between
	5.16b % achieving 5 + A* to C GCSE – neighbourhood	NR	TBC				
	5.17a % achieving Level 4 and above for Key Stage 2 (English and Maths – city wide	NR	TBC	Targets to be developed. Narrowing the gap between Derby average and NR areas			ap between
	5.17b % achieving Level 4 and above for Key Stage 2 (English and Maths) - neighbourhood	NR	TBC				
Achieve econor	nic well-being						
CYP6 Better opportunities and outcomes for young people in the 14-19 phase of education	6.1a Decrease in % of 16-18 yr olds, including teenage parents, not in education, employment or training (mandatory where Connexions is included) – citywide	CS NR	9.5% (Nov 2004)	Non teenage mothers – 9% Teenage mothers – 33% Combined 8.2%	8.0% combined	7.8%	7.4% (November 2010)
	6.1b % of 16-18 yr olds not going into education, employment or training – neighbourhood*	ing into education, narrowing the gap between nployment or training –		, ,	•		
	6.2 Number of unsuitably accommodated 16 – 19 year olds i.e. emergency/temporary accommodation, B & B, friends' floor*		TBC	n/a	101	86	69

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Targets					
			Baseline	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Beyond	
	<ul> <li>6.3 Stock and take up of affordable childcare</li> <li>i) Maintain and sustain 90% of stock of childcare places in each area panel across 3 year span*</li> <li>ii) Increase in the stock of high quality child care places for all 0-14 year olds whose parents want one with a focus on out of school and childminding provision*</li> <li>NB – targets split 50% advantaged and 50% disadvantaged areas for both childminders and out of school</li> </ul>		Establish baseline of childcare stock by 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2006 and baseline of vacancies	n/a	TBC  225 (100 out of school places and 125 childminding places)	TBC  225 (100 out of school places and 125 childminding places)		
Make a positive	places							
CYP7 Greater involvement and inclusion of	7.1 Number of young people involved in consultative forums* (see narrative below)		504 (04/05)	n/a	750	TBC		
children and young people	7.2 % of total absence (authorised and unauthorised) for primary schools, as measured by half day sessions missed (measured in summer 2007 and 2008)	LPSA T2	5.43% (03/04)	5.23%	5.1%	5.1% (5.0%)	5.0% (4.9%)	

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Targets				
			Baseline	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Beyond
	7.3 % of total absence (authorised and unauthorised) for secondary schools, as measured by half day sessions missed (measured in summer 2007 and 2008)	LPSA T2	8.26% (03/04)	8.1%	8.0%	8.0% (7.9%)	7.9% (7.8%)
	7.4 Number of confirmed permanent exclusions for all schools (cumulative over 3 years)	LPSA T2	100 (03/04)	n/a	80 (64)	70 (52)	60 (42)
	7.5 Participation in enterprising activities for all 13 - 19 yr olds:						
	i) Enterprise Education*		2093	n/a	2156	2220	2290
	ii) Work Experience*		3000	n/a	3090	3185	3285
	iii) All young people participating in existing accredited schemes including D of E Award*		1380	n/a	1530	1610	1690

<sup>\*</sup> For 7.1, the consultative forums that contribute to these targets are:

- Events in relation to policy proposals (eg development of Integrated Children's Services and the Children and Young People's plan consultation on Youth Matters)
- Work with the Youth Forum
- Consultation in Voices in Action and related groups as part of involvement of young people in the Connexions Service
- Consultation with Muslim young people
- Consultation about issues at a local level within particular projects or communities.

Whilst we will expect a gradual increase in these numbers, it will not necessarily be linear, as it will depend on the issues for consultation.

# 2.3 CYP - Details of supporting funding streams

Note - funding streams are to be pooled unless otherwise indicated.

Funding stream	Allo	cation £000	Outcome(s)	Comments
	2006/07	2007/08		
CAMHS	TBC		CYP 2	
Children's Fund	829		CYP 1	
Connexions	2,342		CYP 2,3,6 & 7	(05/06 grant + 0.3%)
Education health partnerships	27	27	CYP 2	The current funding through Standards funds provides only 37% of the funding needs of the current programme with additional funding coming from the PCT and other partner agencies. There is a current and future budget pressure on this programme
Extended schools (standards fund)	368	368	CYP 4, 5	
General Sure Start revenue grant	3,042	3,077		
Sure Start Local Programmes	2,497	2,174	CYP 4, 5	
Secondary strategy – behaviour and attendance	68	68	CYP 7	
Secondary strategy Central Coordination	167	161	CYP 4, 5, 7	
LPSA2 monies	124 60 80 80	76 60 81 84	CYP 4, Target 7 CYP 4, Target 6 CYP 5, Target 1 CYP7. Target 2	Aligned
NRF	1,117		CYP 1, 5	To be split between outcomes

Funding stream	Allo	ocation £000	Outcome(s)	Comments		
	2006/07	2007/08				
Active England Sports Lottery	35	30				
PE National Strategy	20	20				
Positive activities for young people	400		CYP 7	New LAA pooling – 2005/6 funding is approx £92k to Derby YOS and £300k to Connexions Derbyshire - (05/06 grant + 2%)		
Primary strategy central coordination	162	139	CYP 4, 5			
School travel advisers	28	28	CYP 1			
Teenage pregnancy	141	141	CYP 3	*DFES teenage pregnancy grant confirmed till 07/08 to support joint DH/DFES PSA. 2005/6 allocation is 151k and includes 10k ring fenced for teacher SRE certification. From 2006/7 DFES with hold this 10k centrally for local areas to bid into i.e. local allocation remains static.		
Childrens services	785	989		Replaces vulnerable children, adoption support and special guardianship, and choice protects		
TOTAL	3,032	1,297				

#### **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENTERPRISE - EDE**

#### 3.1 Overview of the Economic Development and Enterprise Block

#### Aims

Our Enterprise and Development Block is a local derivative of sub-regional, regional and national policies and strategies. The performance indicators and targets for the EDE Block have been drawn primarily from our Prosperous City Action Plan, part of the current Community Strategy, which is being redrafted for 2006-09. The Prosperous City element will draw upon our recent work on our City Growth Strategy, which fed into our recent Local Enterprise Growth Initiative - LEGI bid.

Our Prosperous City Action Plan follows the same prioritisation model as the emda Regional Economic Strategy and the Derby & Derbyshire Economic Partnership - DDEP - business plan. As with the RES, the current PC Action Plan has three strands:

- Improve, increase and maintain the employment and skills of the Derby workforce
- Stimulate a climate for investment in the local economy
- Help to create and sustain enterprise and innovation.

Derby City Partnership is totally supportive of emda in the preparation and implementation of the RES. We have commented in detail on the current draft RES, and in particular have asked emda to ensure that they deal adequately with the key clusters described in our City Growth Strategy.

Our attention in recent months has been on the preparation of our City Growth Strategy. This will be launched by Alun Michael MP on 10 March 2006. The research underpinning City Growth is the most thorough and comprehensive that we have ever undertaken. It identifies the key economic clusters that we will support, to attract and retain investment and jobs for our target communities. The key clusters are high value engineering, retail, tourism and creative industries.

This in turn fed into our unsuccessful bid for LEGI funds for 2006/07 and beyond. We are currently in discussion with emda and DDEP regarding the resources needed to implement some of the early City Growth ideas and projects in 2006/07. We shall also review the reasons for our failed bid with GOEM, and our partner cities of Leicester and Nottingham, and decide on the most appropriate way to prepare a LEGI bid for 2007/08. We hope and anticipate that this will build on our growing 3 Cities cooperation and hence will be a 3 Cities LEGI bid once again. The LEGI bid articulated our vision for Derby, and for the 3 Cities' sub-region. The growth of enterprise is at the heart of this vision, and is an aspiration that is cascaded down into the three City Growth Strategies for our cities. These strategies set out in detail how we will put enterprise across all communities at the heart of economic growth. Our ambition for LEGI is to unleash the full economic potential of the target disadvantaged areas and communities across the three cities.

There is very strong overlap between the aspirations of our Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy, our emerging City Growth frameworks and future years' LEGI programmes. The LEGI approach remains the natural delivery and implementation vehicle for large elements of our Neighbourhood Strategy, and City Growth projects in 2006/07, leading to a LEGI programme in 2007/08 and beyond. These will enable us to achieve the outcomes below...

- Increasing total entrepreneurial activity.
- Supporting the growth, and reducing the failure, of locally owned businesses.
- Attracting appropriate inward investment opportunities, making use of local labour resources.

Our vision will be realised through the implementation of 10 Action Areas, based on our City Growth Strategy and Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy. These are as follows:

- Stimulating the role of disadvantaged areas in cluster development.
- Developing and realising markets through procurement and franchising opportunities.
- Building bridges to enterprise.
- Developing an entrepreneurial culture.
- Providing access to appropriate finance at all stages of the business cycle.
- The promotion of first rate, secure business accommodation across a range of types, sizes, tenures and costs.
- Strengthening the operating environment.
- Providing the skills and support for enterprise.
- Advice and guidance.
- Providing better connections.

The approach to monitoring and evaluation is firmly rooted in our current Local Area Agreement. The indicators and targets developed to chart the success of City Growth, NRS and then LEGI will be entirely consistent with those emerging from our LAA work. We anticipate tracking performance against the output indicators on a six monthly basis and the selected outcome indicators on an annual basis. Our monitoring framework will also include assessments of the contribution to relevant PSA targets.

Our focus will be very much on tracking the longer term outcome changes in the 3 cities brought about by LEGI activities. Whilst outputs can give a sense of what is being delivered, it is these outcome indicators that will be key in understanding the impact being achieved.

The full range of partners likely to be involved in the roll out of LEGI have been involved in the preparation of the bid. These include representatives from the three local authorities, GOEM, EMDA, Jobcentre Plus, local Learning and Skills Councils, Business Link franchises holders, SEEM, MEEM, our universities, SSP's, the three City Growth teams and a plethora of localised organisations already involved in implementation of enterprise support services.

#### **Funding Streams**

In February 2004 DCP sought the freedom and flexibility to align Derby's Objective 2 ERDF and ESF, and URBAN II ERDF Community Initiative funds into the Derby Local Area Agreement. This flexibility was applied for to allow us to streamline the management arrangements and systems associated with implementing the Objective 2 and URBAN II Action Plans in Derby and to have more local responsibility for the delivery of the intended outcomes of the Action Plans. As a result GOEM agreed to pursue independently the freedoms and flexibilities for the regional Objective 2 programme and to consider separately the URBAN case. The freedoms and flexibilities for Objective 2 did not result in any change for Derby and so the Objective 2 funds are not aligned to the LAA in any way.

The business case put forward for URBAN resulted in several significant gains. The funds are now aligned which means that the funding and outcomes are reported directly to GOEM and not through the LAA. There is significant partner activity on the URBAN programme that contributes to economic development in the City. The URBAN programme is seen as a test bed for development activity and a provider of best practice examples for the city. Relevant projects and those in development for 2006-07 include:

- Livelihood Project to provide unemployed people, particularly women and young people and people from minority ethnic groups, with enhanced information, advice and guidance on learning and work
- Men into Childcare to provide men with a non-traditional career pathway
- ICT for Homeless People project to address the lack of take-up by hostel dwellers of mainstream provision of training and development activity by delivering life skills and ICT training directly to them in the hostel. The aim is to develop skills to help and encourage the resident to go on to access mainstream provision confidently.
- Interpreting Service providing a service for Normanton and also to improve the interpreting and translating skills of residents of the Normanton regeneration area. Residents can then be paid to provide interpreting and translating services to public organisations and the private sector and help people to access employment and training opportunities
- Security grants awarded to businesses located in the Normanton regeneration area for security measures as identified by the Community Safety Officer
- Youth Mentoring Programme- to provide a co-ordinated mentoring programme to young people between the ages of 14-18. The programme will be targeted at young people who are under achieving or at risk of under achieving and help them into training or employment.
- Small Change Enhancing Crèche facilities- to support community and voluntary sector organisations, not for profit nurseries and not for profit training providers to improve crèche facilities. The aim is to remove barriers for local people, especially women and lone parents, to accessing training, employment and volunteering opportunities

New projects in development this year will include:

- Business Energy Efficiency grants
- Connecting Derby and Normanton
- ICT Business Infrastructure for Success.

In February 2004 DCP provided the business case for a freedom and flexibility to pool Derby's SRB Merged Delivery Plan into the Derby City Local Area Agreement. This flexibility would allow Derby City Partnership to streamline the management arrangements and systems associated with implementing the SRB merged delivery plan in Derby and to have more local responsibility for the delivery of the intended outcomes of the Plan. Emda agreed that the funds could be locally pooled and other freedoms and flexibilities were granted. Derby submits financial and non-financial returns directly to emda and not through the LAA. The SRB programme is matched to the URBAN programme and many of the projects listed for URBAN are also relevant to SRB.

DCP also sought a freedom and flexibility to pool Derby and Derbyshire Economic Partnership (DDEP) funding into the Derby City Local Area Agreement. This flexibility would also allow Derby City to manage the funding through an Action Plan approach and to undertake the individual project appraisals in line with Single Programme Appraisal and Guidance (SPAG), without further approval from DDEP. Approval to locally pool the funding was agreed although the full benefits of this have not yet been felt by DCP. There is significant partner activity on the DDEP programme that contributes to economic development in the City. The DDEP Action Plan includes development activity and some potentially best practice examples for the city. Relevant projects and those in development for 2006/07 include:

- Revive the project supports the capital construction costs of a new centre to deliver integrated health and community services.
- Derby Cathedral Commercial Development the project aims to develop the
  commercial potential of the Cathedral through the creation of a servery in the
  southwest corner of the cathedral to serve refreshments on the paved area.
  A commercial manager will be employed to enhance the Cathedral's
  commercial operations by developing activities such as letting space within
  the Cathedral to service the local business community, develop the coffee
  shop and shop and deliver a programme of cultural activities.
- Creative Industries Dirty Workspace -the conversion of a large industrial unit at Brian Clough Business Centre to provide shared studio space for 6 creative industry companies.
- Small Change- a grant scheme will run as part of 'Small Change', which is
  Derby City Partnership's small grants scheme. The scheme will provide
  grants of up to £40,000 to allow local community and voluntary organisations
  to carry out activities or events which will remove barriers preventing women
  returners to work and people over 45 years taking up employment
  opportunities.
- Derby Marketing the project aims to support the development of Derby Marketing through the appointment of a Marketing Officer. Derby Marketing will promote the city and co-ordinate branding, improve the image of Derby to potential investors.

Supporting Derby - the project aims to maximise the benefits to City residents
of new developments such as the Eagle Centre extension. A new innovative
approach has been developed to make sure residents of Derby have the
skills to access new employment opportunities through tailored training
programmes that will be directly linked to employer skill needs and
vacancies.

#### 3.2 EDE - Outcomes, performance indicators and targets

Performance indicators included in the Community Strategy (CS) and those to be monitored at a neighbourhood level (NR) have been identified in the outcomes table below.

Local Public Service Agreement (LPSA2) targets have also been identified, with 'stretch' targets shown in brackets.

New indicators for 2006-07 or those with amended definitions have been highlighted as \*. They do not therefore include a target for 2005-06.

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Baseline	Targets			
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond
EDE1 To increase entrepreneurial activity in sustainable enterprise	1.1 Number of new businesses created*		77 (04/05)	n/a	83	84	
	1.2 Number of businesses surviving 24 months*		70	n/a	74	75	
	1.3 Net change in business stock (registrations – de-registrations ONS) – citywide only	NR	TBC	To be develop			
	1.4 Number of business receiving grant support (Derwent NDC)*		TBC	n/a	TBC	TBC	
EDE2 To raise the skill levels of the local population with	2.1 Number of people of working age gaining basic skills as part of the skills for life strategy*		1371 (academic year Sept – Aug) LSC funded	n/a	1385	TBC	

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Baseline	Targets				
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond	
clear reference to local business need	2.2 Number of people of working age who are supported in achieving at least a full first level 2 qualification or equivalent*		No baseline  – to be confirmed at end of 05-06		Target to be set	Target To be set		
EDE3 To improve the attractiveness of Derby to investors	3.1 Public and private infrastructure investment levered (£million/%private)*	Proxy estimates based on Cityscape priority projects	TBC	n/a	£19.47m	£23.411m		
EDE4 To support growth and productivity in each of the 4 city growth clusters – high value engineering, retail, tourism, creative industry	4.1 Gross Value Added per capita (using earnings data to proxymeasure – LFS)*	CS	4%	n/a	4.75%	5%		
EDE5 To maximise the benefits of investment for local labour and businesses	5.1a % of households with incomes under £15k – citywide	NR	TBC	To be developed				
	5.1b % of households with incomes under £15k – neighbourhood	NR	TBC	Narrowing the gap between best and worst neighbourhoods				
	5.2 Unemployment rate (claimant count) ONS – citywide	NR	To be determined	To be developed				

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Baseline	Targets			
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond
	5.2 Unemployment rate (claimant count) ONS - neighbourhood	NR	To be determined	Narrowing the gap between best and worst neighbourhoods			
	5.3 Number of tender opportunities advertised on Source Derbyshire*		26 (04/05)	n/a	50	75	
	5.4 Number of jobless residents gaining sustained employment (measured over three year period)	LPSA T11	Nil	0 (0)	0 (75)	0 (100)	
	5.5 Number of residents under notice of redundancy gaining sustained employment (measured over three year period)	LPSA T11	Nil	0 (0)	0 (20)	0 (30)	

# 3.3 EDE - Details of supporting funding streams

Note - funding streams are to be pooled unless otherwise indicated.

Funding Streams	Allocations £000		Outcomes	Comments		
	2006-07	2007-08				
DDEP	300	0		Not pooled, all reporting direct to emda/DDEP not through the LAA		
Derwent NDC (project expenditure)	ТВС	твс	EDE 2	To be decided following review of commissioning process		
SRB	1,544	1,782		Funding locally pooled and reported directly to emda not through the LAA		
Kickstart/Bus challenge projects	435	335	EDE 3	Estimated funding profile based on funding commitments for the Airport Bus project – this will be aligned and reported directly to DfT		
TOTAL	1,979	2,117				

### **HEALTHIER COMMUNITIES AND OLDER PEOPLE – HCOP**

### 4.1 Overview of the Healthier Communities and Older People Block

### **Aims**

The core business of this block is "preventive initiatives relating to Public Health and maximising independence that individual agencies would be engaged with on their own but, for which, working in partnership provides added value". This emphasises that partnership agencies are not being asked to develop new sets of performance indicators or targets, but are aligning resources, virtual or otherwise, to meet their many common objectives. The immediate emphasis is upon indicative use of existing PCT and Social Services budgets. The block has significant added value, although fewer funding streams have been identified for inclusion and the value of funding streams is less than in the other three blocks of the LAA. Mainstream delivery of social care and health services has not currently been identified within this block, although the direction of travel towards more integrated arrangements for commissioning and service delivery should be reflected in the LAA over time. At present the block concentrates on those aspects of health improvement where closer partnership working will add value.

The block significantly enhances the ability of the partnership to deliver the objectives of Derby's Public Health Strategy 'Improving Our Health in Derby', The East Midlands Strategy 'Investment for Health' and the "Choosing Health" White Paper. In view of the complexity of the factors that determine health, it is also clear that the other blocks also make significant contributions to developing healthier communities and to achieving the objectives of the Public Health Strategy.

Addressing health inequalities is another area where this block aids delivery through more efficient use of funding and increased partnership working. The focus of this work is in the neighbourhood renewal areas, where life expectancy is lower and premature mortality higher than the rest of the city. Smoking prevalence is also higher than the rest of the city and it is more difficult to make healthy eating choices.

There will be an explicit 'neighbourhood' focus to make sure that historical inequalities in health and well-being across the city are tackled systematically. The neighbourhood focus will also allow voluntary sector resources, many of which have developed over time as particular responses to localised needs, to be tapped into and co-ordinated with wider initiatives. Derby already has excellent local services staffed by committed and knowledgeable workers from a variety of backgrounds, some paid and some volunteering. There has been significant partnership working with the voluntary and community sector to develop this block and its aims: City Council and PCT funding of the voluntary and community sector has been aligned with the aim of delivering more clear and strategic commissioning of older peoples services. The focus on volunteering represents another partnership approach with the Voluntary and Community sector in Derby.

We will work with Jobcentre Plus and the Pensions Service to help jobseekers enter employment and reduce the duration of claims for incapacity benefit, to help people achieve economic well being.

The block will overlay a more strategic approach to build on these sound neighbourhood foundations. This will enable more stability, transparency and sustainability for initiatives that deliver benefits to the city's overall health as well as the independence and well-being of its most vulnerable individuals.

Practically, the chief benefits will be:

- providing an identifiably improved partnership 'preventive' focus, linked to a sub-group on the City of Opportunity Executive
- drawing heavily on voluntary sector involvement to enable PCT and Social Services commissioning of the voluntary sector in a more strategic and accountable way
- enabling a more systematic, swift and efficient way of commissioning Public Health and 'maximising independence' initiatives
- mainstreaming key principles including Lifelong Learning and Equal Opportunities that will drive commissioning and investment.

### **Progress in 2005-06:**

During the first half of this year, we have made significant progress against all of our year 1 performance indicators and are on track to hit or exceed all of the year end targets.

We have had particular success with regard to smoking and, in particular, have achieved smoke-free premises at the Council and PCT offices. This has also been extended to schools, concert halls and residential homes. We have also improved the maternity hand held records and therefore improved the recording of smoking status for pregnant women, which makes referrals to Fresh Start easier.

The Physical Activity Strategy was launched at the end of October 2005 and a joint post has been established between Sport & Leisure and the PCTs. A community nutritionist has also been recruited and is working closely with the school meals service and community food workers. As a result of this post being filled, health staff are now receiving nutrition training.

During this year, it has been identified that there are factors that could hinder the progression of the HCOP block relative to the other blocks. The major issue has been that the structure and governance arrangements that exist in CYP and SSC are not present to the same extent. In order to address this, initial proposals have been drawn up by Derby City Council in consultation with key partners and these should be acted upon during the course of next year.

Outcomes for next year remain unchanged as they are still the major health and social concerns affecting the city. Performance Indicators therefore remain largely unchanged as well, although slightly more refined and relevant than this year.

### Key changes for year two and subsequent years

In common with other blocks, the aim has been to reduce the number of indicators and targets, and to ensure the indicators better reflect the measurement of outcomes.

# Measurement of Derby's Local Area Agreement Targets at Neighbourhood Renewal Level

A priority of the LAA is to reduce inequalities between the deprived areas compared with Derby City. To reach this outcome, monitoring of premature mortality from cerebrovascular disease and all cancers, which are known to be higher in more deprived areas, are to be used. There has been some debate as to the most useful indicators to use to monitor the reduction of health inequalities beside those already listed. Life expectancy, although not ideal was chosen. One traditional indicator is infant mortality, but the annual occurrence of this is becoming very small. Indeed across the whole of Derby City there were less than 20 deaths under one year in 2004. Accidental deaths are also a good measure of inequality, however, again across Derby City there are an average of less than 50 deaths per year due to accidents. This would be impossible to monitor at small area level. The principle behind the LAA monitoring, in terms of Neighbourhood Renewal (NR) is that there would be one data collection and analysis to serve the monitoring of the small areas through the Derby Data Warehouse. Further detail of Neighbourhood Renewal indicators can be found in the attached table.

### **Funding streams**

The HCOP approach to funding streams very much reflects the current stage of Health and Social Care development in Derby. Partnership working between Derby's PCTs and Social Services is excellent and a movement toward more integrated commissioning approaches that allow more efficient and targeted use of resources is very much on the agenda and continues to develop.

In developing the HCOP indicators, there has been agreement that, as far as possible, indicators should be used where there are clearly defined funding streams. This clarifies and prioritises indicators and provides optimum conditions for true partnership working, to ensure that targets are met. There are still, however, some indicators which will be delivered using existing mainstream funding within each of the partner sectors.

It is also recognised that there are many other funds attached to work which supports the HCOP outcomes, e.g Supporting People and mainstream Council funding in Housing and Leisure. Although these funding areas are not felt to be viable components of the LAA at present, the challenge is to identify how we may incorporate these funds in the future.

### Freedoms and flexibilities

The key freedom requested is the sole reporting framework for targets that are consistent across a number of performance monitoring systems (for example, not reporting LAA performance information to the strategic health authority).

### 4.2 HCOP - Outcomes, performance indicators and targets

Performance indicators included in the Community Strategy (CS) and those to be monitored at a neighbourhood level (NR) have been identified in the outcomes table below.

Local Public Service Agreement (LPSA2) targets have also been identified, with 'stretch' targets shown in brackets.

New indicators for 2006-07 or those with amended definitions have been highlighted as \*. They do not therefore include a target for 2005-06.

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Baseline		Ta	rgets		
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond	
HCOP1 To support older people and adults with chronic health problems to	1.1 The difference in the number of emergency unscheduled acute and community hospital bed days occupied by a person aged 75 or over in NHS hospitals in Derby City area (to be measured 1/4/07 to 31/3/08)	LPSA T5	67,039 (03-04)	-1% (-2.5%)	-2.5% (-5%)	-5% (-7.5%)		
stay out of hospital and long-term care and live independently	1.2 Admissions of supported residents aged 65 or over to residential / nursing care*		118.8 (04-05)	n/a	105.0	99.0		
HCOP2 To increase life expectancy and reduce mortality rates from stroke, heart	2.1 The number of people accessing a smoking cessation service in Derby who are confirmed to have quit at the four week stage and confirm they have remained non-smokers at the 52 week stage (to be measured between 1/4/06 and 31/3/08)	LPSA T12	340 (2005)	340	374 (486)	428 (559)	To be measured in June 2009 survey	

Final draft – February 2006

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Baseline		Targets			
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond	
disease and cancer	2.2a The number of people participating in smoking cessation programmes - citywide	NR	TBC	To be deve	loped.			
	2.2b The number of people participating in smoking cessation programmes - neighbourhood	NR	TBC	Reduction to neighbourh	oetween best oods	and worst		
	2.3 The number of young people in school years 4, 8 and 10 participating in at least 7 hours of moderate intensity sport and physical activity each week, expressed as a % of all children and young people in these school years	LPSA T7	See CYP 4.2					
	2.4 Halt the rise in adult obesity by reducing the number of adults with a BMI of greater than 30		23.8% (04-05)	24.3%	24.3%	24.3%		
HCOP3 To reduce health inequalities – both morbidity	3.1a Life expectancy at birth - reduce the gap between Derby and England/Wales by 10% by 2010 – citywide	NR	M 74.5 F 80.1 (95-97)	M 76.3 F 81.3	M 76.7 F 81.5	M 77.0 F 81.74	M 78.1 F 82.5 (2010)	
and mortality – between Derby population and	3.1b Reduce the gap between NR areas and Derby by 10% by 2010 - neighbourhood	NR	TBC		Reduction between best and worst neighbourhoods			
neighbourhoods	3.2a Premature mortality rates for cardiovascular disease - reduce the rate by 40% by 2010 – citywide	CS NR	146.9 (95-97)	117.5	111.6	105.8	88.1 (2010)	
	3.2b Reduce the gap between NR areas and Derby by 40% by 2010 – neighbourhood	NR	TBC	Reduction to neighbourh	between best oods	and worst		

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Baseline	Targets				
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond 108.9 (2010)	
	3.3a Premature mortality rates for cancer – reduce the rate by 20% by 2010 – citywide	CS NR	136.1 (95-97)	122.5	119.8	117.1		
	3.3b Reduce the gap between NR areas and Derby by 20% by 2010 - neighbourhood  3.4a DASR – all circulatory diseases – citywide  3.4b DASR – all circulatory diseases - neighbourhood		TBC	Reduction beighbourhed	oetween best oods	and worst		
			TBC	To be devel	loped.			
			TBC		Reduction between best and worst neighbourhoods			
	3.5 % of adults undertaking 30 minutes of exercise 5 times a week  3.6 % of adults participating in at least 30 minutes of moderate intensity sport and active recreation (including walking on 3 or more days per week*  3.7 % of population volunteering in sport and physical activity for at least one hour per week*		TBC in Apr 06	n/a	TBC	TBC		
			TBC in Apr 06	n/a	TBC	TBC		
			TBC in Apr 06	n/a				
HCOP4 To improve condition management as part of a holistic approach to rehabilitation	4.1 Number of incapacity benefit recipients referred to conditional management programme		141 (04-05)	240	300	375		

Outcome	Outcome Performance Indicators Links Ba		Baseline		Targets			
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond	
HCOP 5 Reduce mortality rates from suicide and undetermined injury	5.1 Reduction in mortality rates from suicides and undetermined injury – reduce the baseline by 20% by 2010*		8.11 (95-97)	n/a	7.14	6.98	6.49 (2010)	
HCOP 6 Increase in volunteering around older people	6.1 Number of prospective volunteers referred to older people's organisations by Derby City Volunteer Centre		n/a	60	120	120		

# 4.3 HCOP - Details of supporting funding streams

Note - funding streams are to be pooled unless otherwise indicated.

Funding Streams	Allocation £000		Outcomes	Comments
	2006-07	2007-08		
LPSA2	71	70	HCOP 1, T5	Aligned
	57	59	HCOP 2, T12	
NRF	395	TBC	HCOP 2, 3	
PCT Tobacco Control	21	21	HCOP 2, 3	Aligned
PCT – targeted voluntary sector funding	31	31	HCOP 1, T5	Aligned
City Council – targeted voluntary sector funding	153	153	HCOP 1, T5	Aligned
PCT Physical Activity Strategy Co-ordination	59	61	HCOP 2, 3	Aligned
Active England	5	5	HCOP 3	Aligned
TOTAL	792	271		

### SAFER AND STRONGER COMMUNITIES - SSC

### 5.1 Overview of the Safer and Stronger Communities Block

### **Aims**

The overall aim for this block is to integrate key agendas and work programmes in order to create safer and stronger communities across Derby.

This block develops the strategic and operational links between the key agendas of:

- the interventions directed by Derby Community Safety Partnership in reducing crime, drug misuse, anti-social behaviour, youth crime and improving community cohesion
- the cleaner, and greener agendas led by Derby City council.
- area and neighbourhood coordination and community development initiatives in Derby's most deprived neighbourhoods
- key elements of the Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy which are relevant to stronger and safer communities
- the major Regeneration programmes in particular, Derwent New Deal for Communities and the Normanton Regeneration Programme
- the expertise of the voluntary and community sector, in building local community capacity and problem solving skills.
- developing approaches to community cohesion and 'Respect'.
- managing public spaces and community management of public spaces agenda.
   We have found in implementing Derby's major regeneration programmes that environmental improvements are crucial in raising community pride and in fostering a strong sense of safety.
- the proposed Council Housing Revenue Account HRA Estate Sustainability Funding, which is aimed at enhancing safety and the environment on Council housing estates to complement the achievement of the Decent Homes Standard by April 2006. This fund – £3m a year for five years – should come on stream from 2006/07.
- a significant project under development is the regeneration of the city centre through Cityscape, Derby's Urban Regeneration Company, and we see clear links between the SSC block and this initiative, with improvements to public spaces and community safety improvements being developed alongside each other. We are already investing ERDF through the Objective 2 Action Plan into supporting the infrastructure and facilities to enhance the city centre development project.

### Progress in year one

Derby is in the fortunate position in that it has already merged its Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership, Drug and Alcohol Action Team, Anti-Social Behaviour Team and Youth Offending Service into Derby Community Safety Partnership. Year 1 LAA has focused on developing links between the CSP, the area and neighbourhood working and management of street scene/cleaner agendas. This has resulted in the establishment of a stronger and safer communities steering group, involving key strategic and operational officers. Work is now underway to integrate both strategic and operational planning into Area and Neighbourhood working structures, with year 2 focuses on further empowerment of local people, to take greater responsibility for involvement in service design and performance.

### Work programme Year 2

Year 2 will focus on three key work streams:

- 1. The development of Area and Neighbourhood planning and commissioning, in the service areas of:
  - Community safety
  - Street Scene services
  - Traffic Management
  - Community engagement and cohesion
- 2. The further development of Area and Neighbourhood Working to ensure that local elected members, in partnership with local people, are able to provide community leadership through effective area and neighbourhood planning structures
- 3. The development of integrated planning and commissioning practices across the SSC block, underpinned by robust performance management and effectiveness reviews

### **Funding Streams**

Funding streams to be incorporated for 2006/07 include...

### **Neighbourhood Renewal Funding**

Although we propose to use NRF alongside other external funding streams in a 'single pot' approach, we would highlight this fund as being particularly important in helping service providers achieve the overall outcome of 'narrowing the gap' between deprived neighbourhoods and the rest. In year one, 2005/06, we split Derby's allocation of NRF between the three blocks to reflect current investment, but we will develop the single pot approach for inclusion of this fund from year 2.

### **CDRP/Community Safety Funding streams**

Core funding streams for community safety, including the SSCF are included in this block.

### **SSCF Neighbourhood Element and Cleaner Greener**

These two new funding streams will be pooled with Neighbourhood Renewal Funding and other SSCF and mainstream funding to create our new partnership neighbourhood teams.

### Freedom and flexibilities

In order to fully realise the potential of the LAA, the following freedoms and flexibilities are sought...

- Drug treatment rationalisation of performance and financial reporting on drugs in partnership with the Drug Treatment Agency in order to reduce duplication and workload
- Prolific offenders reduction in performance reporting requirements for prolific offenders.

### 5.2 SSC - Outcomes, performance indicators and targets

Performance indicators included in the Community Strategy (CS) and those to be monitored at a neighbourhood level (NR) have been identified in the outcomes table below.

Local Public Service Agreement (LPSA2) targets have also been identified, with 'stretch' targets shown in brackets.

New indicators for 2006-07 or those with amended definitions have been highlighted as \*. They do not therefore include a target for 2005-06.

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Baseline		Targets			
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond	
SSC1 To reduce crime, the harm	1.1 Overall BSC comparator crimes	CS	21,474 (03-04)	18,502	17,833	17,165		
caused by illegal drugs and to reassure the public, reducing	1.2 Violent crime (city centre) - (based on target of 4,017 (3,843) over 3 years)	LPSA T8	1,456 (04-05)	1,339 (1,281)	1,339 (1,281)	1,339 (1,281)		
the fear of crime and ASB	1.3 Domestic violence – number of recorded incidents (based on target of 13,156 (13,580) over 3 years)	LPSA T4	4,244 (04-05)	4,385 (4,526)	4,385 (4,526)	4,385 (4,526)		
	1.4 Domestic violence - % of repeat incidents (over three years)	LPSA T4	37.2% (04-05)	37.2% (33.5%)	37.2% (33.5%)	37.2% (33.5%)		
	1.5a Domestic burglary - number of recorded incidents- citywide	NR	3,052 (03-04)	1,831	1,831	1,831		
	1.6 Criminal damage (based on target of 16,395 (15,945) over 3 years)	LPSA T9	5,980 (04-05)	5,465 (5,315)	5,465 (5,315)	5,465 (5,315)		
	1.7a Incidents of anti-social behaviour based on police calls for assistance - citywide	CS NR	10,966 (04-05)	10,235	9,504	8,773		
	1.8 Provision of intensive family support for anti-social behaviour cases*		0	n/a	30	40		

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Baseline		Tar	Targets			
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond		
	1.9 Number of adult problematic drug users receiving treatment		685 (03-04)	889	TBC	TBC			
SSC2 To empower local people to have a greater voice and influence over local decision making and delivery of services	2.1 Proportion of adults who feel able to influence decisions affecting their local area – citywide only	CS NR	38%	40%	42%	44%			
	2.2 % of residents who affirm that they carried out voluntary work in an organisation once a month or more in the past year*		To be established in Jan 2007	n/a	n/a	TBC			
	2.3 Number of forums where local residents are involved in decision making*		TBC	Definition and baseline to be developed during 2006- 07					
	2.4 % of people who consider their local area is a place where people from different backgrounds get on well together*		57% (04/05)	n/a	67%	69%			
	2.5 % of residents who think that for their local area, over the past three years cultural facilities have got better or stayed the same (for example, cinemas, museums)*	CS	58% (03/04)	n/a	70%	n/a (survey undertaken every 3 years)			
	2.6 % of residents who think that for their local area, over the past three years sport and leisure facilities have got better or stayed the same*	CS	60% (03/04)	n/a	68%	n/a (survey undertaken every 3 years)			
SSC3 To have cleaner, greener and safer public spaces	3.1 % of relevant land and highways assessed as having combined levels of detritus that falls below an acceptable level – citywide only	CS NR	25% (03-04)	20%	19%	17%			
	3.2 % of relevant land and highways from which unacceptable levels of graffiti are visible*		n/a	n/a	7%	6%			

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Links	Baseline		Targets			
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond	
	3.3 % of relevant land and highways from which unacceptable levels of fly posting are visible*		n/a	n/a	4%	3%		
	3.4 Yearly reduction in the total number of incidents and increase in total number of enforcement actions taken to deal with fly tipping*		n/a	n/a	4	3		
	3.5 Reduce numbers killed & seriously injured in road accidents (three year average)	LPSA T3	125 (2002) 100 (2003) 117 (2004)	104 (99)	104 (99)	104 (99)		
	3.6 % of total tonnage of household waste recycled – citywide only	NR	14.95%	18%	19%	20.5%		
SSC4 To improve the quality of life in	1.1b Overall crime rate – neighbourhood	NR	TBC	Reduction in gap between worst and best neighbourhoods				
the most disadvantaged neighbourhoods	1.5b Domestic burglary – neighbourhood	NR	TBC	Reduction in gap between worst and best neighbourhoods				
and ensure service providers	1.7b Incidents of ASB - neighbourhood	NR	TBC	Reduction in gap between worst and best neighbourhoods				
are more	4.4a % vacant property - citywide	NR	TBC	+1%	+1%	+1%		
responsive to neighbourhood needs and	4.4.b % vacant property – neighbourhood	NR	TBC	Reduction in g	gap between wo	orst and best		
improve delivery	4.5a % of housing stock not meeting decent homes standard – citywide	NR	5.66% (04-05)	2.0%	1.8%	1.6%		
	4.5b % of housing stock not meeting decent homes standard – neighbourhood	NR	TBC	Reduction in gap between worst and best neighbourhoods				
	4.6a Annual % of properties being relet on Council housing estates – citywide	NR	TBC	To be develop	ped by May 200	6.		

Outcome	tcome Performance Indicators		Baseline				
				2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	Beyond
	4.6b Annual % of properties being relet on Council housing estates - neighbourhood	NR	TBC	Reduction in oneighbourhoo	gap between wo ds	orst and best	
	4.7 Number of eligible, unintentionally homeless and in priority need, acceptances (to be measured 1/4/07 to 31/3/08)	LPSA T10	1,048 (04/05)	1,048 (975)	1,048 (975)	1,048 (975)	
	4.8 Affordable dwellings completed as a % of housing completions on sites of 25 or more*	CS	25%	n/a	25%	25%	

# 5.3 SSC – Details of supporting funding streams

Note - funding streams are to be pooled unless otherwise indicated.

Funding Streams	Allo	cation £000	Outcome	Comments
	2006-07	2007-08		
Anti-social behaviour grant	60		SSC1	
Building Safer Communities	348		SSC1	
Community Development Partnership	120	120	SSC2	
Drugs intervention programme	422		SSC1	
Young persons substance misuse	361		SSC1	
Drugs strategy partnership grant	72		SSC1	
LPSA2	52	54	SSC1, T8	Aligned
	61	60	SSC1, T4	
	50	50	SSC1, T9	
			SSC3, T3	Also contributes to CYP 1.4
	49	64	SSC4, T10	
HO regional director	TBC		SSC1	
HRA Estate Sustainability	150		SSC2, 3	To be split between outcomes and area and neighbourhood working project
NRF	2,177		All	To be split between outcomes and area and neighbourhood working project
SSCF Cleaner Greener	970	1130	SSC3	Area and neighbourhood working
SSCF neighbourhood element	413	516	SSC2	Area and neighbourhood working
TOTAL	3,710	1,646		

### **ANNEX 1 COMMUNITY STRATEGY PRIORITIES AND LAA OUTCOMES**

Community strategy Priorities	Local Area Agreement Outcomes			
To create a 'city centre for all ages', putting in place a	actions to improve the city centre and to make it accessible to all			
C1 - Improve city centre safety by reducing levels of	CYP1 – To provide safe environments for children and young people			
crime and anti-social behaviour	EDE3 - To improve the attractiveness of Derby to investors			
	SSC1 - To reduce crime, the harm caused by illegal drugs and to reassure the public, reducing the fear of crime and anti-social behaviour			
	SSC3 - To have cleaner, greener and safer public spaces			
C2 - Make sure people from all parts of the city and all	CYP1 – To provide safe environments for children and young people			
communities can get to enjoy the city centre	EDE3 - To improve the attractiveness of Derby to investors			
	SSC3 - To have cleaner, greener and safer public spaces			
C3 – Celebrate the river and realise its potential	EDE1 - To increase entrepreneurial activity in sustainable enterprise			
	EDE3 – To improve the attractiveness of Derby to investors			
	CYP2 – To improve the health of children and young people			
	SSC3 - To have cleaner, greener and safer public spaces			
C4 – Use opportunities to develop health promotion	CYP2 – To improve the health of children and young people			
initiatives in the city centre	EDE3 – To improve the attractiveness of Derby to investors			
	HCOP2 - To increase life expectancy and reduce mortality rates from stroke, heart disease and cancer			
	SSC3 - To have cleaner, greener and safer public spaces			
C5 - Support the skills needs of city centre employers	CYP4 – A good start to children's education and development			

Community strategy Priorities	Local Area Agreement Outcomes			
	CYP6 – Better opportunities and outcomes for young people in the 14-19 phase of education			
	EDE2 - To raise the skill levels of the local population with clear reference to local business need			
	EDE4 – To support the growth and productivity of the local population in each of the four Citygrowth clusters			
C6 - Improve the quality and range of arts and culture in the city centre	EDE4 – To support the growth and productivity of the local population in each of the four Citygrowth clusters			
C7 - Support the growth of the local economy focussing on retail, tourism, creative industries and manufacturing engineering	EDE1 - To increase entrepreneurial activity in sustainable enterprise			
	EDE3 - To improve the attractiveness of Derby to investors			
	EDE4 - To support growth and productivity in each of the four city growth clusters			
	EDE5 – To maximise the benefits of investment for local labour and businesses			
C8 - Deliver a sufficient mix and balance of	EDE3 - To improve the attractiveness of Derby to investors			
accommodation for city centre living	SSC4 - To improve the quality of life in the most disadvantaged neighbourhoods and ensure service providers are more responsive to neighbourhood needs and improve delivery			
To improve Derby's deprived neighbourhoods, so that the gap in opportunities between people living there and the rest of the city is reduced				
N1 – Improve neighbourhood safety by reducing crime	CYP1 – To provide safe environments for children and young people			
and anti-social behaviour	EDE3 – To improve the attractiveness of Derby to investors			
	SSC1 - To reduce crime, the harm caused by illegal drugs and to reassure the public, reducing the fear of crime and anti-social behaviour			
	SSC3 – To have cleaner, greener and safer public spaces			

Community strategy Priorities	Local Area Agreement Outcomes	
	SSC4 - To improve the quality of life in the most disadvantaged neighbourhoods and ensure service providers are more responsive to neighbourhood needs and improve delivery	
N2 - Increase opportunities for residents to get involved	CYP7 - Greater involvement and inclusion of children and young people	
in decisions about their neighbourhoods	HCOP6 - Increase in volunteering around older people	
	SSC2 - To empower local people to have a greater voice and influence over local decision making and delivery of services	
N3 - Make sure people in areas of high unemployment get access to job opportunities	CYP6 – Better opportunities and outcomes for young people in the 14-19 phase of education	
	EDE2 - To raise the skill levels of the local population with clear reference to local business need	
	EDE5 - To maximise the benefits of investment for local labour and business	
N4 - Promote learning and raise achievement to make	CYP4 – A good start to children's education and development	
sure people from all neighbourhoods have the best opportunities	CYP5 - Improved attainment at KS1, 2 and 3 with particular focus on pupils in the bottom quartile	
	CYP6 - Better opportunities and outcomes for young people in the 14-19 phase of education	
	EDE2 – To raise the skill levels of the local population with clear reference to business needs	
N5 - Improve life expectancy and reduce health	CYP2 - To improve the health of children and young people	
inequalities between neighbourhoods and groups	CYP3 – Fewer teenage pregnancies and support to teenage parents	
	CYP4 – A good start to children's education and development	
	HCOP1 – To support older people and adults with chronic health problems to stay out of hospital and live independently	

Community strategy Priorities	Local Area Agreement Outcomes	
	HCOP2 - To increase life expectancy and reduce mortality rates from stroke, heart disease and cancer	
	HCOP5 – Reduce mortality rates from suicide and undetermined injury	
N6 - Protect and enhance the built and natural	SSC3 - To have cleaner, greener and safer public spaces	
environment in local neighbourhoods	EDE3 – To improve the attractiveness of Derby to investors	
N7 - Provide varied and attractive cultural and sporting	CYP4 – A good start to children's education and development	
opportunities for everyone within their local neighbourhood	CYP6 - Better opportunities and outcomes for young people in the 14-19 phase of education	
	HCOP2 - To increase life expectancy and reduce mortality rates from stroke, heart disease and cancer	
	HCOP6 – To increase volunteering around older people	
	SSC3 – To have cleaner, greener and safer public spaces	
	SSC4 - To improve the quality of life in the most disadvantaged neighbourhoods and ensure service providers are more responsive to neighbourhood needs and improve delivery	
N8 - Make sure that existing and future housing is	EDE3 – To improve the attractiveness of Derby to investors	
appropriate to meet the housing needs of all parts of the	SSC3 – To have cleaner, greener and safer public spaces	
community	SSC4 - To improve the quality of life in the most disadvantaged neighbourhoods and ensure service providers are more responsive to neighbourhood needs and improve delivery	

## **ANNEX 2 NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL INDICATORS**

Core indicators to be included in Derby's LAA. Indicators will be monitored at both citywide and neighbourhood levels unless otherwise indicated.

Theme and LAA PI ref	Indicator	Data Source		
Housing	"			
SSC 4.4	% vacant property	Derby CC		
SSC 4.5	% of housing stock not meeting decent homes standard	Derby CC		
SSC 4.6	Annual % of properties being re-let on Council housing estates	Derby CC		
Liveability (all	City Wide)			
SSC 2.1	% Percentage of adults who feel they can influence decisions affecting their local area	Derby CC		
SSC 3.1	% of relevant land and highways assessed as having combined levels of detritus that falls below an acceptable level	Derby CC		
SSC 3.6	% total tonnage of household waste recycled	Derby CC		
Education				
CYP 5.16	GCSE Attainment	LEA		
CYP 5.17	Key Stage 2 (English & Mathematics)	LEA		
CYP 6.1	% of school leavers not going into Education, Employment & Training	LEA / Connexions		
Health				
HCOP 2.2	Participation in smoking cessation programmes	Derwent Shared Services		
HCOP 3.1	Life expectancy	Derwent Shared Services		
HCOP 3.2	Premature mortality rate for cardiovascular diseases	Derwent Shared Services		
HCOP 3.3	Premature mortality rate for cancer	Derwent Shared Services		
HCOP 3.4	DASR (all circulatory diseases)	Derwent Shared Services		
CYP 3.1	Teenage conception rate (City wide)  Derby City Teenage Pregnancy Coordinator			
Crime				
SSC 1.1	Overall crime rate	Com. Safety Part		
SSC 1.5	Domestic Burglary	Com. Safety Part		

Theme and LAA PI ref	Indicator	Data Source	
SSC 1.7	Incidents of anti social behaviour	Com. Safety Part / Police Calls for Service	
Worklessness			
EDE 1.3	Net change is VAT registrations and deregistrations (citywide only)	ONS / NOMIS	
EDE 5.2	Unemployment (Claimant Count)	ONS / NOMIS	
Poverty			
CYP 1.5	% of children in need	Derby CC	
EDE 5.1	% of households with income under £15k	Derby CC	

# **ANNEX 3 LOCAL PUBLIC SERVICE AGREEMENT 2**



**Derby City Council** 

Local Public Service Agreement Second Generation

### LOCAL PUBLIC SERVICE AGREEMENT BETWEEN DERBY CITY COUNCIL AND THE GOVERNMENT

### Introduction

- 1. Derby City Council and the Government have made this Local Public Service Agreement (Local PSA) with the intention of further improving the services to local people that Derby City Council provides. This agreement covers the period 1 April 2005 to 31 March 2008.
- 2. The agreement records the present intentions of the Council and the Government. It is entered into by both in good faith, but it is expressly recognised that neither can fetter the future discretion of the Council or of Ministers and Parliament. The agreement is therefore not intended to create legal relations. Subject to that, the following points are agreed.

### The Intentions of Derby City Council

3. Derby City Council will use its best endeavours to achieve more demanding performance targets than those it would be expected to achieve in the absence of this Local Public Service Agreement. These enhanced targets are specified in Schedule 1 to this agreement.

### The Intentions of the Government

- 4. The intentions of the Government set out in this agreement are subject to the outcome of any statutory consultations and any necessary approval of the Treasury and Parliament.
- 5. The Government will implement the changes to statutory and administrative requirements set out in Schedule 2 to this agreement, subject to confirmation of the legal and operational feasibility of the changes described and to Parliamentary approval of any necessary legislation. The Government will also undertake the discussions with the Council described in Schedule 2.
- 6. It is recognised by Derby City Council that, on further investigation, it may be necessary to modify the detail of the changes set out in Schedule 2 in ways that seek so far as possible, to give substantially the same extent of benefit to the Council. It is recognised by the Government that, if a change set out in Schedule 2 proves infeasible, it will use its best endeavours to provide an alternative that corresponds as closely as possible and has, so far as possible, substantially the same effect.
- 7. The Government will pay Derby City Council a grant of £983,219.00 in 2005 in support of the expenditures described in Schedule 3 to this agreement. The conditions attached to this "pump-priming" grant are also set out in Schedule 3.
- 8. The Government will pay a performance reward grant to Derby City Council, as set out in Schedule 4 to this agreement, if it achieves all the enhanced targets ("performance target with Local PSA") specified in Schedule 1. Schedule 4 also sets out the grant it intends to pay if the Council secures a substantial improvement in performance that falls short of the target. The Schedule also stipulates the intended timing of payments of the grant. Derby City Council undertakes to provide audited information confirming the extent of improvement in their performance relative to the Local PSA performance targets set out in Schedule 1, as a precondition for the determination and payment of the performance reward grant.

Date of Agreement: 21/11/2005

For Derby City Council

For Her Majesty's Government

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Councillor Chris Williamson

On behalf of Derby City Council

Phil Woolas MP

Minister of State, Office of the Deputy Prime

Minister

.....

Ray Cowlishaw
Chief Executive

The Right Hon Des Browne MP

Chief Secretary to Her Majesty's Treasury

The above ministers sign on behalf of their colleagues listed below:\*

The Right Hon John Prescott MP

Deputy Prime Minister

The Right Hon Gordon Brown MP

Chancellor of the Exchequer

The Right Hon Patricia Hewitt MP

Secretary of State for Health

The Right Hon Ruth Kelly MP

Secretary of State for Education and Skills

The Right Hon Charles Clarke MP

Home Secretary

The Right Hon Tessa Jowell MP

Secretary of State for Culture, Media and

Sport

The Right Hon John Hutton MP

Secretary of State for Work and

Pensions

The Right Hon Alistair Darling MP Secretary of State for Transport

## **SCHEDULE 1: PERFORMANCE TARGETS**

## **SUMMARY TABLE**

Target	Heading	Page No.	
Create a stimulating and high quality learning environment			
1	Improve education attainment	5-7	
2	Improving attendance and inclusion in education	8	
Have he	althy, safe and independent communities		
Create a	diverse, attractive and healthy environment		
3	Reduce numbers Killed or Seriously Injured in road accidents	9	
4	Reduce repeated domestic violence	10	
5	Improving the quality of life of older people	11	
6	Improving the quality of life for disabled children and young people	12	
7	Increasing the amount of physical activity taken by children and young people	13-14	
8	Reduce violent crime in the city centre	15	
9	Reduce incidences of criminal damage in Derby	16	
10	Reduce homelessness	17	
11	Improving opportunities for employment and enhanced quality of life by removing financial barriers to employment and inclusion	18	
12	Reduce smoking	19	

# Target 1 Raising the attainment of under-attaining pupils across all key stages.

### Indicator by which performance will be measured

- 1. The percentage of children who attain Level 2B or better at the end of Key Stage 1 in reading in Summer 2008.
- 2. The percentage of children who attain Level 2B or better at the end of Key Stage 1 in writing in Summer 2008.
- 3. The percentage of children who attain Level 2B or better at the end of Key Stage 1 in maths in Summer 2008.
- 4. The percentage of children who achieved level 2C at the end of Key Stage 1 in Reading in Summer 2004 who go on to achieve level 4 or better at the end of Key Stage 2 in English in Summer 2008.
- 5. The percentage of children who achieved level 2C at the end of Key Stage 1 in Writing in Summer 2004 who go on to achieve level 4 or better at the end of Key Stage 2 in English in Summer 2008.
- 6. The percentage of children who achieved level 2C at the end of Key Stage 1 in Maths in Summer 2004 who go on to achieve level 4 or better at the end of Key Stage 2 in Maths in Summer 2008.
- 7. The percentage of children who achieved level 3 or below at the end of Key Stage 2 in Summer 2005 who go on to achieve level 5 or better at the end of Key Stage 3 in English in Summer 2008.
- 8. The percentage of children who achieved level 3 or below at the end of Key Stage 2 in Summer 2005 who go on to achieve level 5 or better at the end of Key Stage 3 in Maths in Summer 2008.
- 9. The percentage of children who achieved level 3 or below at the end of Key Stage 2 in Summer 2005 who go on to achieve level 5 or better at the end of Key Stage 3 in Science in Summer 2008.

### **Current performance (Summer 2004)**

- 1. 70%
- 2. 63%
- 3. 74%
- 4. 54%
- 5. 58%
- 6. 31%
- 7. 27%
- 8. 33%
- 9. 6%

### Performance at the end of the LPSA (Summer 2008)

### Performance expected without the Local PSA

- 1. 73.5%
- 2. 66%
- 3. 75.5%
- 4. 66%
- 5. 72%
- 6. 42%
- 7. 30%
- 8. 35%
- 9. 11%

### Performance target with the Local PSA

- 1. 75.5%
- 2. 68%
- 3. 77.5%
- 4. 69%
- 5. 75%
- 6. 45%
- 7. 33%
- 8. 38%
- 9. 14%

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

- 1. A 2% points improvement
- 2. A 2% points improvement
- 3. A 2% points improvement
- 4. A 3% points improvement
- 5. A 3% points improvement
- 6. A 3% points improvement
- 7. A 3% points improvement
- 8. A 3% points improvement
- 9. A 3% points improvement

### The Performance Reward Grant for each sub-target will be allocated as follow:

- 1. 12.5%
- 2. 12.5%
- 3. 12.5%
- 4. 6.25%
- 5. 6.25%
- 6. 12.5%
- 7. 12.5%
- 8. 12.5%
- 9. 12.5%

### Special conditions:

1. The Performance Reward Grant for indicator 4 will be lost if the overall percentage of pupils attaining Level 4 or better at Key Stage 2 English in the academic year ending Summer 2008 is less than 0.7% points above the statutory target set for Summer 2008.

- 2. The Performance Reward Grant for indicator 5 will be lost if the overall percentage of pupils attaining Level 4 or better at Key Stage 2 English in the academic year ending Summer 2008 is less than 0.7% points above the statutory target set for Summer 2008.
- 3. The Performance Reward Grant for indicator 6 will be lost if the overall percentage of pupils attaining Level 4 or better at Key Stage 2 Maths in the academic year ending Summer 2008 is less than 0.7% points above the statutory target set for Summer 2008.
- 4. The Performance Reward Grant for indicator 7 will be lost if the overall percentage of pupils attaining Level 5 or better at Key Stage 3 English in the academic year ending Summer 2008 is less than 0.5% points above the statutory target set for Summer 2008.
- 5. The Performance Reward Grant for indicator 8 will be lost if the overall percentage of pupils attaining Level 5 or better at Key Stage 3 Maths in the academic year ending Summer 2008 is less than 0.6% points above the statutory target set for Summer 2008.
- 6. The Performance Reward Grant for indicator 9 will be lost if the overall percentage of pupils attaining Level 5 or better at Key Stage 2 Science in the academic year ending Summer 2008 is less than 0.5% points above the statutory target set for Summer 2008.

### Target 2 Improving attendance and inclusion in education

### Indicators by which performance will be measured

- 1. The percentage of total absence (authorised and unauthorised absence) for primary schools, as measured by half-day sessions missed.
- 2. The percentage of total absence (authorised and unauthorised absence) for secondary schools, as measured by half-day sessions missed.
- 3. The percentage of total absence (authorised and unauthorised absence) for primary schools, as measured by half-day sessions missed.
- 4. The percentage of total absence (authorised and unauthorised absence) for secondary schools, as measured by half-day sessions missed.
- 5. The number of confirmed permanent exclusions for all schools.

### Current performance (academic year 2003/04)

- 1. 5.43%
- 2. 8.26%
- 3. 5.43%
- 4. 8.26%
- 5. 100

Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (academic year ending Summer 2007 for indicators 1 and 2, academic year ending Summer 2008 for indicators 3 and 4, three year cumulative for 2005/2006, 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 ending academic year ending Summer 2008 for indicator 5)

### Performance expected without the Local PSA

- 1. 5.1%
- 2. 8.0%
- 3. 5.0%
- 4. 7.9%
- 5. 210

### Performance with the Local PSA

- 1. 5.0%
- 2. 7.9%
- 3. 4.9%
- 4. 7.8%
- 5. 158

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

- 1. A 0.1% point improvement
- 2. A 0.1% point improvement
- 3. A 0.1% point improvement
- 4. A 0.1% point improvement
- 5. 52

### **Conditions**

The PRG will be shared between the four indicators above in the following proportions:

- 1. 12.5%
- 2. 12.5%
- 3. 12.5%
- 4. 12.5%
- 5. 50%

### Target 3 Reduce numbers killed and seriously injured in road accidents

### Indicators by which this will be measured

Number of people killed and seriously injured (KSI) STATS 19 average over the years 2005 to 2007 calendar years.

### **Current performance (three year average 2002-2004 calendar years)**

1994/98 average	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
143	128	110	133	125	100	117

Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA (three year average for 2005, 2006 and 2007, ending 31 December 2007)

### Performance expected without the Local PSA

104 KSI on average a year

### Performance with the Local PSA

99 KSI on average a year

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

A reduction of 15 people killed or seriously injured over the three year period.

### Target 4 Reduce repeated domestic violence

### Indicators by which performance will be measured

- Number of recorded incidents of domestic abuse as per the ACPO definition\*
  using Police Calls For Service: SE1 domestic incident between adults occurring
  in a public or private place.
- 2. The percentage of recorded domestic violence that are repeat incidents in the previous 12 months\*\*
- \* Any incident of threatening behaviour, violence or abuse (psychological, physical, sexual, financial or emotional) between people who are or have been intimate partners or family members, regardless of gender
- \*\* This indicator measures the percentage of incidents where the incident occurred in the same location as a previous incident (where the previous incident took place not more than 12 months before the incident to be counted).

### **Current performance (year ending 31 March 2005)**

- 1. 4.244
- 2. 37.2%

Performance at the end of the LPSA (three year cumulative for 2005/2006, 2006/2007 and 2007/2008, ending 31 March 2008)

### Performance expected without the Local PSA

- 1.13,156
- 2.37.2%

### Performance with the Local PSA

- 1. 13,580
- 2. 33.5%

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

- 1. An additional 424 incidents reported
- 2. A reduction of 3.7% points in repeat incidents

### Note on calculations

Performance in indicator 2 will be measured as an average over the three years 2005/2006, 2006/2007 and 2007/2008, based on the following formula:

<u>A2005/2006 + A2006/2007 + A2007/2008</u> x 100

T2005/2006 + T2006/2007 + T2007/2008

where, for example, A2006/2007 is the number of repeat incidents in the year and T2006/2007 is the total number of incidents in the year.

### Target 5...Improving the quality of life of older people

### Indicator by which performance will be measured

The difference in the number of emergency unscheduled acute and community hospital bed days<sup>1</sup> occupied by a person aged 75 or more in NHS hospitals in the Derby City Council area<sup>2</sup> between:

- a) the period 1/4/2003 to 31/3/2004 and
- b) the period 1/4/2007 and 31/3/2008
- expressed as a percentage of the figure at a).

### **Current Performance (year ending 31 March 2004)**

67,039.\*

### Performance at the end of the period of the Local PSA

This will be measured over the period 1 April 2007 to 31 March 2008

### Performance expected without the Local PSA

A decrease of 5%.

### Performance target with the Local PSA

A decrease of 7.5%.

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

2.5% improvement.

### **Notes and Conditions:**

- \* Current performance is provisional and subject to Department of Health agreement of the Strategic Health Authority Local Delivery Plan submission. The without and with PSA percentage improvements will be applied to the Department of Health agreed / finalised emergency unscheduled acute and community hospital bed days performance for the year ending 31 March 2004.
- <sup>1</sup> Defined in the Department of Health guidance for Local Delivery Plans 2005-2008. In-year bed-days of Finished Consultant Episodes (FCEs) where the admission method is reported as emergency (HES field admimeth, codes 21, 22, 23, 24, 28) and where in-year bed days are defined as the difference between the date at the end of the episode and the date at the start of the episode, or 1st April of the data year (whichever is later). Data is on a commissioner basis.

### **Exclusions**

The target excludes emergency bed-days with the following primary diagnosis and external cause codes:

Primary diagnosis codes

A00-B99, relating to infectious and viral diseases.

External cause codes

V01-V99, relating to vehicular accidents

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To count in this target, a person aged 75 or more must be registered with a GP in the Central Derby and Greater Derby PCT areas. In the absence of information to allocate patients to their responsible GP, and therefore PCT, the patient's postcode is used to allocate patient to PCTs.

# Target 6 Improving the quality of life for disabled children and young people Indicators by which performance will be measured

The number of occasions on which disabled<sup>1</sup> children and young people<sup>2</sup> access community based social and leisure opportunities<sup>3</sup> with significant help from Derby City Council or its partners.

### **Current performance**

1,683 episodes from 01/10/2004 to 30/09/2005

### Performance at the end of the LPSA period

Period of measurement 1/4/2006 to 31/3/2008

### Performance expected without the Local PSA

3,600

### Performance target with the Local PSA

7.680

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

4,080

### **Notes**

<sup>1</sup> Disabled for the purposes of this target is defined as the problems caused by a global development delay or a permanent and significant impairment. This would be applicable to children and young people who have a moderate or severe learning disability, a physical disability, a sensory disability or who are at the more severe end of the autistic spectrum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Children and young people for the purpose of this target are defined as people who are under 19 years of age at the time they are helped to access the community based social or leisure opportunity mentioned above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Community based social and leisure opportunities include community-based sport and physical or cultural activities such as arts and crafts, drama and dance, or social activities such as going shopping or for a meal undertaken with individual support arranged by Derby City Council and/or LPSA partnership agencies. This list of opportunities is likely to be characteristic but only indicative. It will be essential that the young person defines the social and leisure opportunities that would make a substantial difference for them in terms of improving quality of life, but which they will need initial support to access. These activities will last a minimum of 3 hours to count toward achievement of this target.

# Target 7 Increasing the amount of physical activity taken by children and young people

### Indicators by which performance will be measured

The number of young people in school years 4, 8 and 10 participating in at least seven hours of moderate intensity sport and physical activity each week, expressed as a percentage of all children and young people in these school years.

### **Current performance**

X% - Baseline will be established in June 2006

### Performance at the end of the LPSA

To be measured in June 2009 survey.

### Performance expected without the Local PSA

X% + Y% + 2%

### Performance target with the Local PSA

X %+ Y% + 13.5%

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

11.5%

#### **Notes**

Y = the confidence interval at a 95% confidence level achieved in the June 2006 survey.

The baseline (X%) will be established by a random sample survey in June 2006 of pupils in schools maintained by Derby City Council who are in school years 4, 8 and 10 in the 2005/2006 academic year.

With LPSA performance will be established by a random sample survey in June 2009 of pupils in schools maintained by Derby City Council who are in school years 4, 8 and 10 in the 2008/2009 academic year.

In conducting these surveys only those activities of at least moderate intensity will be counted as contributing towards the 7 hour target, which may include brisk walking, cycling, swimming, most sports or dance and that such activities may be carried out as part of transportation, physical activity, games, sport, recreation, work, structured and unstructured exercise and active play. (Biddle, Cavill and Sallis (1998) Policy Framework for young people and health enhancing physical activity, Chapter 1 in Health Education Authority Young and Active: Young people and health-enhancing physical activity – evidence and implications, Health Education Authority, London, pp3-16) referenced in Spring 2004 University College London Centre for Transport Studies "Increasing Children's Volume of Physical Activity Through Walk and Play" (Mackett and Paskins) contribution to the Department of Culture Media and Sport and Department of Health Consultation on "Choosing Health, Choosing Activity: A consultation on how to increase physical activity."

Derby City Council and its partners in this target will ensure that data gathering and survey methods are robust and reliable and follow accepted standards for the conduct of random sample surveys (e.g. National Audit office - A practical Guide to Sampling / Guidance for undertaking the Best Value Surveys). The 2006 and 2009 surveys must use the same methods to gather data.

### **Special conditions**

No Performance Reward Grant will be payable in respect of this target unless the 2009 survey achieves a confidence interval, at a 95% confidence level, of the same or less as that achieved in the 2006 survey.

No Performance Reward Grant will be payable in respect of this target if:

- a) data gathering for the first survey has not been completed by 15/7/2006.
- b) data gathering for the second survey has not been completed by 15/7/2009.

Should the June 2006 baseline survey result be higher than:

- 84%: the target with LPSA will be 100%, otherwise no performance reward grant will be payable on this target.
- 92%: then no reward will be payable on this target.

### Target 8 Reduce violent crime in the city centre

### Indicator by which performance will be measured

The number of violent crimes recorded in Derby city centre\*, measured by Police recorded crime (violent crime composite of common assault; robbery; serious wounding; other wounding; other assault; sex offences).

\* Incidents will be recorded by point location. The City centre in Derby is defined by the official ODPM town centre boundary 310. This area broadly encompasses the city centre ring road and Friargate.

### **Current performance (year ending 31 March 2005)**

1,456

Performance by the end of the period of the Local PSA (three year cumulative for 2005/2006, 2006/2007 and 2007/2008, ending 31 March 2008)

### Performance expected without the Local PSA

4,017

### Performance target with the Local PSA

3,843

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

A reduction of 174 violent crimes in Derby City Centre

### **Special condition**

The Performance Reward Grant for this target will be forfeit if the number of violent crimes recorded Derby City-wide (including the city centre) exceeds 6,214 in the financial year ending 31 March 2008.

### Target 9 Reduce incidences of criminal damage in Derby

### Indicators by which performance will be measured

The number of incidents of criminal damage<sup>1</sup> recorded in Derby city (Police recorded crime).

### **Current performance 1/4/2004 to 31/3/2005**

5,980

### Performance by the end of the period of the Local PSA

Performance will be counted over the period 1/4/2005 to 31/3/2008.

### Performance expected without the Local PSA (3 year cumulative)

16,395

### Performance target with the Local PSA (3 year cumulative)

15,945

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

450

### **Special condition**

The Performance Reward Grant for this target will be forfeit if the number of incidents of criminal damage exceeds 5,208 in the financial year ending 31 March 2008.

### Note

1. Police recorded criminal damage is composed of: arson; damage to dwelling; damage not dwelling; damage other; damage to vehicle (all broken down by 'endangering life'/ 'not endangering life'); possession of explosives with intent to damage; possession of item with intent to damage; threat to commit damage.

### **Target 10 Reduce homelessness**

### Indicator by which performance will be measured

The number of eligible, unintentionally homeless and in priority need, acceptances.

### Current performance 1/4/2004 to 31/3/2005

1,048

### Performance at the end of the LPSA period

Performance will be measured from 1/4/2007 to 31/3/2008

### Performance target without the Local PSA -

1,048

### Performance target with the Local PSA -

975

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

73

### **Conditions**

- 1. The indicator is based on the current definition used to complete the P1E, the target area is subject the end of LPSA performance being calculated using the same definition unless both parties agree a change.
- 2. To ensure there is no duplication in the payment of the PRG the perpetrators supported in this programme will be excluded from the figure reported in target 4.

# Target 11 Improving opportunities for employment and enhanced quality of life by removing financial barriers to employment and inclusion

### Indicator by which performance will be measured

- 1) The number of jobless Derby residents\* with financial barriers to work, gaining sustained employment\*\* with the help of Derby City Council.
- 2) The number of Derby residents under notice of redundancy, and with financial barriers to work, gaining sustained employment\*\* with the help of Derby City Council.

### **Definitions**

- \* Clients who are in receipt of Jobseekers Allowance, Incapacity Benefit, Severe Disablement Allowance and Income Support; Jobless Ione parents people who have no partner, have a dependent child under 16 and are not working or working less than 16 hours per week.
- \*\* A job of at least 16 hours per week for 13 consecutive weeks or more.

### Current performance based on 5 April 2004 to 4 April 2005

- 1. Nil
- 2. Nil

### Performance at the end of the LPSA period (March 2008)

### Performance expected without the Local PSA

- 1. 0
- 2. 0

### Performance target with the Local PSA

- 1. 175 cumulative over the LPSA period
- 2. 50

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

- 1. 175
- 2. 50

### **Conditions**

- 1) Sustained Jobs may be claimed for up to 13 weeks after the agreement expires.
- 2) The performance reward grant shall be apportioned for each indicator as follows:
  - 1) 85%
  - 2) 15%

### Target 12 Smoking cessation

### Indicators by which performance will be measured

The number of people accessing a smoking cessation service in Derby who are confirmed to have quit at the four week stage and confirm they have remained non-smokers at the 52 week stage.

**Current performance** (1/4/2004 to 31/3/2005)

Χ

### Performance at the end of the LPSA

People reaching the 52-week stage between 1/4/2006 and 31/3/2008 will be counted.

### Performance expected without the Local PSA

235.7% of X (rounded to the nearest whole number).

### Performance target with the Local PSA

307.1% of X (rounded to the nearest whole number).

### **Enhancement in performance with the Local PSA**

71.4% of X (rounded to the nearest whole number).

### **Conditions**

X = the number of the 1,361 four week quitters in the period 1/4/2004 to 31/3/2005 who confirm that they have remained non-smokers at 52 weeks. This will be established by a random sample of 100 people in this group who confirm that they have remained non-smokers at the four week quit stage between 1/4/2004 and 29/1/2005. The percentage of 52 week quitters confirmed among the group surveyed will be multiplied by 1,361 and this number will replace X in calculating the PRG.

X is to be established by 31/12/05 or no performance reward grant will be paid for this target.

Derby City Council and its partners in this target will ensure that data gathering and survey methods are robust and reliable and follow accepted standards for the conduct of random sample surveys (e.g. National Audit office - A practical Guide to Sampling / Guidance for undertaking the Best Value Surveys).

# **SCHEDULE 2: FREEDOMS & FLEXIBILITIES**

No freedoms and flexibilities were requested by Derby City Council as part of this agreement.

### **SCHEDULE 3: PUMP PRIMING GRANT**

### IN SUPPORT OF INVEST TO SAVE OR INVEST TO IMPROVE PROJECTS

To assist in achieving the targets set out in this Agreement, the Government will make a pump priming grant of £983,219.00 to Derby City Council as a contribution towards expenditure of an "invest to save" or "invest to improve" nature. This grant will be paid no later than the financial year following that in which this agreement was concluded.

The grant is intended to assist the authority in achieving the targets set out in the agreement. Conditions protecting the proper use of public funds will apply.

### **SCHEDULE 4: PERFORMANCE REWARD GRANT**

The provisions of this Schedule are subject to any additional provisions on the performance reward grant elsewhere in the Agreement.

### THE TOTAL POTENTIAL GRANT

The total potential grant is equivalent to 2.5% of the authority's net budget requirement for 2004/2005. It is divided equally among the targets. Where a target has subtargets, the amount for the target is sub-divided equally among the sub-targets unless otherwise specified. The relevant net budget requirement was £251,890,000.00.

### THE REWARD FOR ACHIEVEMENT OF A TARGET

The proportion of the potential grant attributed to a target or sub-target that is payable is the same as the proportion of the 'enhancement in performance with the Local PSA' specified in Schedule 1 that the authority achieves, subject to a maximum proportion of 100% and a minimum of 60%. If the authority achieves less than 60% of that enhancement in performance, nothing is included in the grant in relation to that target or sub-target.

### **PAYMENT OF THE GRANT**

The grant will be paid in two equal instalments in the financial year following that in which the end date of the Local PSA falls, and the next financial year.

Half of each instalment of the grant will be paid as a capital grant, and half as a revenue grant.