

SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT COMMISSION **ITEM 9** 28 SEPTEMBER 2009

Report of the Corporate Director of Resources

Performance Support Group

RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 To note the role of the Performance Support Group in reviewing the performance of Derby City Partnership through the Local Area Agreement.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- 2.1 In June 2008 Derby City Partnership Management Group approved the introduction of a new Performance Support Group (PSG) on a pilot basis.
- 2.2 The purpose of the Group was to review performance and help drive improvement across Derby City Partnership (DCP). The terms of reference are shown in Appendix 2.
- 2.3 The PSG has focused on reviewing the indicators of the Local Area Agreement. A list of areas considered is shown in Appendix 3.
- 2.4 To make sure that there was no overlap between areas reviewed by the PSG and the Scrutiny Commissions, there has been ongoing liaison with Scrutiny Commission Chairs, who are members of the Group.
- 2.5 In advance of the last PSG meeting on 21 July 2009, an evaluation was carried out to assess the role and impact of the Group over the pilot period.
- 2.6 As part of the evaluation process, a short questionnaire was issued to all members and attendees of the Group. 59% of survey respondents felt that the PSG process was useful though it could be improved if better monitoring of actions and outcomes took place.
- 2.7 Benefits of the Group were felt to be...
 - Better understanding of issues and influences on performance.
 - Greater knowledge of partnership priorities.
 - More joined up scrutiny arrangements.

- 2.8 In addition, the Partnership has received positive feedback from the Audit Commission on the role of the PSG.
- 2.9 The survey results were discussed at the meeting held in July 2009 and it was agreed that the Group should continue.
- 2.10 On 20 August 2009 DCP Management Group agreed to support the continuation of the PSG. Furthermore, DCP Management Group agreed to increase the number of PSG meetings in the year from four to five in order to fit with the DCP 'city' structure.

| For more information contact: | Heather Greenan Tel: 01332 256259 | heather.greenan@derby.gov.uk |
|---|---|------------------------------|
| Background papers: List of appendices: | Appendix 1 – Implications Appendix 2 – Terms of Reference for Appendix 3 – Indicators reviewed by t | |

IMPLICATIONS

Financial

1. None directly arising.

Legal

2. None directly arising.

Personnel

3. None directly arising.

Equalities impact

4. The Local Area Agreement includes targets related to how well we are delivering services to different groups and whether people feel they are being treated fairly.

Corporate priorities

5. The Local Area Agreement reflects the key targets agreed with Government in relation to our corporate priorities and 2020 Vision.

Appendix 2

Performance Support Group – Terms of Reference

| Chair: Vice Chair: | Chair of Derby City Partnership / Leader of Derby City Council Member of Derby City Partnership State of the City Forum |
|-----------------------|--|
| | Member of Derby only I antiership offate of the only I offati |
| Membership: | State of the City Forum Representatives (5) Lead Cabinet Member for Performance (1) Representative from each Scrutiny Commission (6) |
| Support: | Council Performance Team |
| Frequency | Quarterly (on pilot basis for six months) |

Purpose

In April 2008 a new national performance framework was introduced for local partnerships. The framework includes a set of 198 performance indicators based around the Government's shared priorities. Local partnerships were asked to agree 35 priority indicators from this set to form their new Local Area Agreement. The performance of Derby City Partnership will be assessed against the whole national set as part of the new Comprehensive Area Assessment, but with focus placed on outcomes delivered through the LAA. This Group will help to oversee the review of areas of underperformance identified through performance monitoring of the LAA and national indicator set. It will also highlight areas of improvement across the Partnership.

Activities

- To receive quarterly highlight reports against set 'underperformance criteria' and recommendations from Derby City Partnership Management Group and Derby City Council Chief Officer Group...
 - Underperformance against target.
 - Deteriorating direction of travel.
 - Poor comparative performance (e.g. against other similar unitaries).
 - Missed milestones in delivery or action plans.
 - Significant under/over variances of relevant funding streams.
 - Data quality issues (e.g. consistently late data submission, classified as high risk during self assessment process).
- To consider underperforming indicators and challenge progress against delivery plans referring action back to City Groups, Scrutiny Commissions or partner organisations as necessary.
- To receive updates on indicators selected for performance review e.g. action plans, minutes of surgery meetings.
- To recognise areas of improvement and good performance across the Partnership.

Appendix 3

Indicators reviewed by the Performance Support Group

The indicators reviewed by the Performance Support Group their performance status at the time of the review and at the end of the PSG pilot are shown below.

| | Description | Reviewed at PSG | Target Status when reviewed | DoT when reviewed | Progress | |] |
|--------|--|------------------------|---|---|---|--------|---|
| Ref | | | | | Target Status Q4 | DoT Q4 | City |
| NI 047 | People killed or seriously injured in road traffic accidents | 11 March 2009 | * | Target for 2010/11 forecast to be missed | | | City for Stronger, Safer, Cleaner Communities |
| NI 039 | Rate of hospital admissions for alcohol related harm | 17 December 2008 | Reported annually no performance update/forecast available | | No colour rating as target not set | | Healthy City |
| NI 72 | Achievement across the Early years Foundation Stage | 21 July 2009 | | Quarter 4 data | N/A | N/A | City for Children and Young People |
| NI 92 | Narrowing the gap between the lowest achieving 20% in the Early years Foundation Stage Profile and the rest | 21 July 2009 | | Quarter 4 data | N/A | N/A | City for Children and Young People |
| NI 116 | Proportion of children in poverty | 17 December 2008 | No performance update is available as the final definition is still awaited | | | | City for Children and Young People |
| NI 135 | Carers receiving needs assessment or review and a specific service or advice and information | 9 October 2008 | Quarter 1 data | Quarter 1 data | * | 1 | Healthy City |

| | Description | Reviewed at PSG | Target Status when reviewed | DoT when reviewed | Progress | | |
|------------|--|------------------------|---|---|---------------------|-------------|--|
| Ref | | | | | Target Status Q4 | DoT Q4 | City |
| NI 141 | Number of vulnerable people who have achieved independent living | 21 July 2009 | Quarter 4 data | 1 | N/A | N/A | Healthy City |
| NI 152 | Working age people on out of work benefits | 17 December 2008 | Quarter 2 data | Quarter 2 data | | ₽ | City Growth |
| NI 154 | Net additional homes provided | 17 December 2008 | Reported annually quarter 2 2008/09 forecast | Reported annually quarter 2 2008/09 forecast | | ₽ | City Growth |
| NI 186 | Per capita CO2 emissions in local authority area | 9 October 2008 | No performance update is available as the indicator is reported on an annual basis by Defra. Data expected to be released at the end of September 2009. | | | City Growth | |
| NI 195b | Improved street and environmental cleanliness (levels of detritus) | 21 July 2009 | Target not set for 2008/09 | Quarter 4 data | N/A | N/A | City for Safer, Stronger, Cleaner Communities |