

TO: ALL MEMBERS OF THE DERBY CITY COUNCIL

You are summoned to attend a meeting of the Derby City Council in the Council Chamber at the Council House, Derby on Wednesday 20 January 2010 at 6 pm.

A G E N D A

1. To receive apologies.
2. To receive Declarations of Interest.
3. To approve the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 18 November 2009 **DOCUMENT 3**

Motion - That the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 18 November 2009 be approved as correct record, confirmed and signed by the Mayor.

4. To receive any announcements from The Mayor.
5. To receive any Statements from Members of the Council Cabinet.
6. To receive any questions from the public to members of the Council Cabinet and the answers to those questions.
 - a. Brenda Longworth - Boulton Neighbourhood Board
 - b. Tony Dunn - Elvaston Castle access
 - c. Tony Dunn - Bus Station opening hours
 - d. Steve Hassall - Chaddesden Library
 - e. Richard Butler - Market rent for 40 West Avenue
 - f. Richard Butler - Land adjacent to the Seven Stars Public House
 - g. Simon Bacon - Sure Start unit on Oaklands Avenue
 - h. Colin Underhill - Air quality management areas
 - i. Colin Underhill - Connecting Derby works

(Note – unless the Council decides otherwise, the maximum period of time for questions by the public is thirty minutes. Any questions not answered at the end of thirty minutes will be answered in writing).

7. To receive any written questions about the business or functions of the Derbyshire Police Authority, Derbyshire Fire Authority and Derby Homes and the answers to those questions.

There are no questions

8. To receive any written questions from non-Council Cabinet Members to Members of the Council Cabinet and the answers to those questions.
9. To consider the following minutes and recommendations of the Council Cabinet dated 12 January 2010 requiring the approval of the Council.

DOCUMENT 9

(Please note there are no minutes of the Council Cabinet meetings on 24 November or 15 December 2009 that require the approval of the Council).

155/09 Review of Council Leisure Facilities

DOCUMENT 9A

To recommend to Council that the proposals for new leisure facilities are approved and that provision for the funding required is made provisionally within the Council's Revenue Budgets and Capital Programme from 2010/11.

156/09 Council Tax Base for 2010/11

DOCUMENT 9B

To recommend Council In accordance with the methodology and estimates shown at Appendices 2 and 3 to approve this calculation.

Motion – To approve the above minutes and recommendations of the Council Cabinet dated 12 January 2010.

10. To consider a report of the Director of Corporate and Adult Services concerning Urgent Non-Executive Decisions made by the Chief Executive.

DOCUMENT 10

Motion – To note the report

11. To consider the following notices of motion:

NOTICES OF MOTION DEFERRED FROM THE NOVEMBER 2009 MEETING

- (1) Councillor Williamson to move, Councillor Dhindsa to second:

“Council supports the 10:10 campaign to reduce the UK's carbon emissions by 10% in 2010 and calls on the Council Cabinet to ensure the Council reduces its carbon emissions by 10% in 2010.”

- (2) Councillor Holmes to move, Councillor Jennings to second:

“That Council recognises construction and building developments can cause significant disruption and disturbance to local residents. Therefore, Council agrees to the implementation of a considerate building / contractors scheme that would control and limit working hours and control other disturbances in or close by to residential areas.

The Planning and Control Committee would be asked to consider the procedures involved and ensure that it will be low-cost for the Council to operate and exclude householder development.

While Council understands any scheme will cover a wide range of detail to be decided upon by the Planning and Control Committee, it strongly recommends that where residential dwellings are likely to be affected by noise, the hours of noisy works must be restricted to:
Monday to Friday: 8am to 6pm

Saturday: 8am to 1pm

Sunday and bank holidays: no noisy activities on site

Vehicles and plant arriving at and leaving the site must also comply with the stated hours of work.”

NOTICES OF MOTION SUBMITTED FOR THIS MEETING

- (3) Councillor Carr to move, Councillor Jennings to second:

“Council is aware of the decision of the Personnel Committee of 7 December 2009 on the Travel Plan following the recommendations of the Disputes Resolution Sub Committee. However, with a view to bringing a speedy resolution to the matter Council agrees to the following:

1. In the absence of a collective agreement, to make a compensation offer directly to affected non-schools based staff in exchange for their voluntary agreement to vary their contractual terms and conditions. There would be a fixed time period for this (mid-Feb to mid-March 2010).
2. To offer compensation of £1200 (gross) to essential users and to casual users £120 (gross).
3. To ask employees to sign a COT3 agreement in exchange for the compensation, with the assistance of ACAS being sought in this process.
4. If there are employees remaining who have not voluntarily agreed to the changes, to serve notice of dismissal from existing contracts and re-engagement on new contracts on 1 April 2010 on these individuals. To serve 12 weeks notice to all, irrespective of whether their contractual notice entitlements are less, with no compensation being awarded.

5. To apply an implementation date of 1 July 2010 to all employees, whether they have voluntarily agreed to the changes or not.
6. To make Pool cars available as a priority to high mileage/daily users where a sufficient number of individuals in teams volunteer to participate in a pilot arrangement, but Pool cars will not be imposed upon individuals and they will still be authorised to use their own vehicles, provided they comply with the requirements of the Driving at Work Policy.
7. To run Pool pilots teams / groups of volunteers who do average mileage/travel less frequently, where a sufficient number of individuals volunteer and where there is an economic case for conducting a pilot.
8. To invite Trades Unions representatives to contribute to the development and assessment of pool pilots.
9. To note the current national recruitment and retention pressures in social care and to take these into account when responding to the recommendations of the National Social Work Taskforce Review.”

(4) Councillor Bayliss to move, Councillor Banwait to second:

“Council calls on the Council Cabinet to implement as soon as possible, in negotiation with the bus companies and the Royal Hospital Trust, a ‘ring road’ bus service that would serve both the hospital employees, patients and visitors.”

(5) Councillor Poulter to move, Councillor Holmes to second:

“Council recognises that many pavements across the City are in an increasingly poor state of repair and notes with concern that the revenue footway renewal programme has again been removed and reallocated by the current administration.

This and other reallocations of funding will further reduce the amount of footway renewal schemes undertaken, add to the ever increasing preparation pool and result in considerably more LTP capital provision being spent on maintenance, thereby threatening this councils “excellent” status in relation to the LTP.

Council therefore calls for the cabinet to evaluate the extent of urgent works required and re-instate a suitable programme of renewal and planned maintenance.”

- (6) Councillor Wood to move, Councillor Grimadell to second:

“This Council welcomes the imminent completion of Derby’s Inner Ring Road, and recognises the right of its citizens to choose all forms of transport, including the motor car, for safe and efficient movement throughout the City.

Council therefore calls upon the Cabinet to recognise this right in framing future policies and also, where required, to reconsider relevant policy taking into account the need for car users to park within reasonable distance of their work place, local facilities and developments.”

- (7) Councillor Hussain to move, Councillor Williamson to second:

“Council notes the three bin recycling system has reduced the amount of waste going to landfill.

But Council is concerned that the current policy prohibiting ‘side waste’ is causing a major problem in the city’s high density neighbourhoods.

Council recognises that there are many larger households in these areas and the current policy had led to a massive increase in fly-tipping of household waste.

Council is alarmed that the introduction of the policy prohibiting side waste has had a negative impact on community relations and property prices in the areas affected

Council believes that urgent action is required to address the fly-tipping epidemic that the no ‘side waste’ policy has generated.

Council therefore instructs the chief executive to draw up proposals for the next council cabinet meeting to ensure that ‘side waste’ is collected in future.”



Michael Foote
Deputy Chief Executive and
Corporate Director of Corporate and Adult Services

COUNCIL MEETING 18 NOVEMBER 2009

Present: The Mayor (Councillor Marshall) (In the Chair)
Councillors Allen, Banwait, Baxter, Bayliss, Berry, Bolton, Care, Carr, Chera, Davis, Dhindsa, Ginns, Graves, Grimadell, Harwood, Hickson, Higginbottom, Hird, Holmes, Hussain, Ingall, Jackson, Jennings, Jones, Keane, F Khan, R Khan, Latham, Leeming, Lowe, Naitta, Nath, Poulter, Rawson, Redfern, Repton, Richards, Roberts, Shanker, Skelton, Troup, Turner, Webb, Williams, Williamson, Winter, Wood.

Apologies for Absence

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Batey and Tuplin

Declarations of Interest

Councillor Ingall declared a personal and prejudicial interest in Motion 1 under Minute No. 68/09 as his son worked at Royal Mail. Councillor Berry declared a personal and prejudicial interest in Motion 1 under Minute No. 68/09 as he was a Post Office pensioner.

Announcements from the Mayor

The Mayor mentioned that Rosemary Jackson, Members' Secretary, was due to retire shortly. He extended to her best wishes for a long and happy retirement.

56/09 Minutes of the Previous Meetings

Resolved that the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 9 September 2009 and the extraordinary meeting of the Council held on 4 November 2009 be approved as correct records, confirmed and signed by the Mayor.

57/09 Statements by Members of the Council Cabinet

There were no statements from Members of the Council Cabinet.

58/09 Public Questions

Questions from members of the public were asked as follows:

1. By Simon Bacon, concerning waste tonnages, answered by Councillor Carr, Council Cabinet Member for Direct and Internal Services.
2. By Simon Bacon, concerning the Joint Waste Agreement, answered by Councillor Carr, Council Cabinet Member for Direct and Internal Services.
3. By Tony Dunn, concerning democratic processes at the Planning Control Committee, answered by Councillor Wood, Chair of the Planning Control Committee.
4. By Tony Dunn, concerning the Waste Treatment Plant Contract, answered by Councillor Carr, Council Cabinet Member for Direct and Internal Services.
5. By Linda Winter, concerning Brindley Court, answered by Councillor Troup, Council Cabinet Member for Housing and Environment.
6. By Penny DeAbreu, concerning an empty property in the Strutt's Park conservation area, answered by Councillor Care, Council Cabinet Member for Planning and Transport.
7. By Penny DeAbreu, concerning security at 40 West Avenue, answered by Councillor Care, Council Cabinet Member for Planning and Transport.
8. By Dorothy Skrytek, concerning the Waste Framework Directive, answered by Councillor Carr, Council Cabinet Member for Direct and Internal Services.
9. By Colin Underhill, concerning dual bins in the city centre, answered by Councillor Carr, Council Cabinet Member for Direct and Internal Services.
10. By Colin Underhill, concerning Cathedral Green, answered by Councillor Naitta, Council Cabinet Member for Leisure and Culture.

59/09 Written questions about the business or functions of the Derbyshire Police Authority, Derbyshire Fire Authority or Derby Homes Limited

A question concerning the reduced service at the Ascot Drive Fire Station was asked by Councillor Graves and answered by Councillor Allen, Vice Chair of Derbyshire Fire Authority.

60/09 Written questions from Non-Council Cabinet Members

Written questions from Non-Council Cabinet Members to Members of the Council Cabinet or Committee Chairs were asked as follows:

1. By Councillor Roberts, concerning the Derby and Derbyshire Economic Partnership funding, answered by Councillor Jones, Leader of the Council and Council Cabinet Member for Policy, Partnerships and Economic Development.
2. By Councillor Roberts, concerning an employment tribunal, answered by Councillor Jones, Leader of the Council and Council Cabinet Member for Policy, Partnerships and Economic Development.
3. By Councillor Bayliss, concerning the Alvaston District Centre, answered by Councillor Care, Council Cabinet Member for Planning and Transport.
4. By Councillor Bayliss, concerning the Durley Close site, answered by Councillor Troup, Council Cabinet Member for Housing and Environment.
5. By Councillor Holmes, concerning school meals, answered by Councillor Carr, Council Cabinet Member for Direct and Internal Services.
6. By Councillor Holmes, concerning the backlog of road repairs, answered by Councillor Care, Council Cabinet Member of Planning and Transport.
7. By Councillor Banwait, concerning the CCTV camera outside Enthusiasm, answered by Councillor Jones, Leader of the Council and Council Cabinet Member for Policy, Partnerships and Economic Development.
8. By Councillor Dhindsa, concerning the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000, answered by Councillor Jones, Leader of the Council and Council Cabinet Member for Policy, Partnerships and Economic Development.
9. By Councillor Turner, concerning Sinfon Children and Young People's Centre, answered by Councillor Allen, Deputy Leader of the Council and Council Cabinet Member for Children and Young People.

61/09 Minutes and Recommendations of the Council Cabinet

The Council considered the minutes and recommendations of the Council Cabinet, requiring the approval of the Council, set out in Appendix A to these minutes.

A question and Rule CP21, in relation to Council Cabinet Minute No. 83/09, was asked by Councillor Holmes and answered by Councillor Troup, Council Cabinet Member for Housing and Environment.

Resolved, on the motion of Councillor Jones, to approve the minutes and recommendations of the Council Cabinet dated 29 September and 27 October 2009.

62/09 Minutes and Recommendations of the General Licensing Committee

The Council considered the minutes and recommendations of the General Licensing Committee, requiring the approval of the Council, set out in Appendix B to these minutes.

Resolved, on the motion of Councillor Redfern, to approve the minutes and recommendations of the General Licensing Committee dated 14 October 2009.

63/09 Minutes and Recommendations of the Audit and Accounts Committee

The Council considered the minutes and recommendations of the Audit and Accounts Committee, requiring the approval of the Council, set out in Appendix C to these minutes.

Resolved, on the motion of Councillor Roberts, to approve the minutes and recommendations of the Audit and Accounts Committee dated 20 October 2009.

64/09 Urgent Executive Decisions made by the Council Cabinet

In accordance with Rule A127, the Council considered a report of the Director of Corporate and Adult Services on Urgent Executive Decisions made by the Council Cabinet.

Resolved, on the motion of Councillor Jones, to note the report.

65/09 World Cup 2018 (or 2022) – Host City Submission

The Council considered a report of the Corporate Directors of Regeneration Community, Resources and Corporate and Adult Services on World Cup 2018 (or 2022) – Host City Submission.

Resolved, on the motion of Councillor Jones:

- 1. To authorise the Chief Executive and Director of Corporate and Adult Services to sign the Host City Agreement and the Intellectual Property Rights Assignment Agreement on behalf of the Council.**
- 2. To authorise the Chief Executive to issue a Letter of Comfort to Derby County Football Club regarding the Stadium Agreement as described in para 4.5.**
- 3. To authorise the Chief Executive to issue Letters of Comfort to the Training Ground owners regarding the costs associated with implementing the Venue Specific Training Site Agreements.**
- 4. To agree the payment of £250,000 in financial year 2010/11, to the FA, as a Host City contribution to the costs of promoting the bid to FIFA and authorise the Chief Executive and Director of Corporate and Adult Services to sign the necessary agreement with England 2018.**

5. **To submit a bid to the FA, comprising the bid document, Host City Agreement, Intellectual Property Rights Assignment Agreement, and the Venue Specific Training Site Agreements.**

66/09 Corporate Restructure – Position of Housing and Advice Services

The Council considered a report of the Chief Executive on the Corporate Restructure – Position of Housing and Advice Services.

Resolved, on the motion of Councillor Jones:

1. **That the part of Housing and Advice Services engaged in delivering enforcement activity within the private rented sector and associated activities, be located within Environmental Health and Trading Standards, in the newly created Neighbourhoods Department.**
2. **That the remaining Housing and Advice Services be located in the Adults and Health Department.**
3. **To note that further consideration may be needed following the decision on the future of Derby Homes, after its contract expires in March 2012.**

67/09 Urgent Non-Executive Decisions made by the Chief Executive

The Council considered a report of the Director of Corporate and Adult Services concerning Urgent Non-Executive Decisions made by the Chief Executive since the last meeting of the Council.

Resolved, on the motion of Councillor Jones, to note the report.

68/09 Notices of Motion

1. *Postal Services*

It was moved by Councillor Williamson, and seconded, that this Council recognises the enormous efforts made by the City's postal workers over many years, which have given reliable service to communities throughout Derby.

Council is disturbed about the recent movement of work by Royal Mail, taking up to 750,000 items from Derby to Nottingham, without any consultation with the public and only 6 days notice to the workforce.

Council is concerned that the removal of this work from the City's sorting office could represent the thin end of the wedge and has the potential to decimate jobs and services in Derby.

Council therefore resolves to instruct the Council's Chief Executive to write to Royal Mail seeking assurances that:

- no further work will be transferred out of Derby to Nottingham or any other location
- every effort will be made to protect Derby's postal services and jobs in the future.

In accordance with Rule CP68 it was demanded by at least 10 Members that a recorded vote be taken on the motion.

The following Members voted for the motion:

Councillors Banwait, Baxter, Bayliss, Bolton, Dhindsa, Graves, Higginbottom, Hussain, Jackson, Rawson, Redfern, Repton, Roberts, Shanker, Turner, Williamson (16).

The following Members voted against the motion:

Councillors Allen, Care, Carr, Ginns, Harwood, Hird, Jones, Keane, F Khan, R Khan, Lowe, Naitta, Skelton, Troup, Winter (15).

The following Members abstained:

The Mayor (Councillor Marshall), Councillors Chera, Davis, Grimadell, Hickson, Holmes, Jennings, Latham, Leeming, Nath, Poulter, Webb, Williams, Wood (14).

Councillor Richards was absent from the Council Chamber when the recorded vote was taken.

The motion was declared carried.

Resolved that this Council recognises the enormous efforts made by the City's postal workers over many years, which have given reliable service to communities throughout Derby.

Council is disturbed about the recent movement of work by Royal Mail, taking up to 750,000 items from Derby to Nottingham, without any consultation with the public and only 6 days notice to the workforce.

Council is concerned that the removal of this work from the City's sorting office could represent the thin end of the wedge and has the potential to decimate jobs and services in Derby.

Council therefore resolves to instruct the Council's Chief Executive to write to Royal Mail seeking assurances that:

- **no further work will be transferred out of Derby to Nottingham or any other location**
- **every effort will be made to protect Derby's postal services and jobs in the future.**

Having declared interests, Councillors Berry and Ingall withdrew from the meeting during consideration of the above Minute.

2. *Private Car Parks*

It was moved by Councillor Williamson, and seconded, that Council is alarmed by the complaints from Derby residents about the tactics employed by City Parks Management Limited, the private wheel clamping company that manages the Crompton Street car park.

These complaints have led Council to conclude that the behaviour and tactics of this company's operatives is causing considerable stress and anxiety to local people who have been clamped.

Council notes with concern that, according to the Security Industry Authority website, Mathew Rutherford who runs this company has been refused a licence to clamp.

Council therefore requests the Council Cabinet to instruct its Highways and Legal Officers to:

- examine what legal remedies are available to curb the activities of private clamping companies in the city, including the possibility of Anti-Social Behaviour Orders against clampers
- draw up a protocol on the management of private car parks in the city, including the possibility of creating an independent Traffic Penalty Tribunal, administered by the Council or another independent body, to adjudicate on appeals against penalty charge notices imposed by the operators of private car parks in the city.

It was moved by Councillor Care, and seconded, that the motion be amended by the deletion of the third paragraph and all words after the second bullet point, and the insertion of the words after the second bullet point "ask the Government to provide local councils with more powers to control the operation of privately run public car parks in their area."

(The effect of the amendment if approved was that the motion would read as follows:

"Council is alarmed by the complaints from Derby residents about the tactics employed by City Parks Management Limited, the private wheel clamping company that manages the Crompton Street car park.

These complaints have led Council to conclude that the behaviour and tactics of this company's operatives is causing considerable stress and anxiety to local people who have been clamped.

Council therefore requests the Council Cabinet to instruct its Highways and Legal Officers to:

- examine what legal remedies are available to curb the activities of private clamping companies in the city, including the possibility of Anti-Social Behaviour Orders against clampers
- Ask the Government to provide local councils with more powers to control the operation of privately run public car parks in their area.")

Resolved, on the motion of Councillor Jennings, that the Council adjourn for the Political Groups to consider the above amendment.

The Council then adjourned for 12 minutes.

When the Council reconvened, Councillor Care sought the Council's consent to alter her amendment of the motion. The Council consented to this alteration.

It was moved by Councillor Care, and seconded, that the amendment above be altered so that the motion was amended additionally by the deletion of the words from the first paragraph "City Parks Management Limited, the private wheel clamping company that manages the Crompton Street car park," and the substitution of the words "these companies"" for the words "this company's" in the second paragraph.

(The effect of this alteration was that the amended motion, if approved, would read as follows:

"Council is alarmed by the complaints from Derby residents about the tactics employed by some private car park operators in the city.

These complaints have led Council to conclude that the behaviour and tactics of these companies' operatives is causing considerable stress and anxiety to local people who have been clamped.

Council therefore requests the Council Cabinet to:

- instruct its Highways and Legal Officers to examine what legal remedies are available to curb the activities of private clamping companies in the city, including the possibility of Anti-Social Behaviour Orders against clampers
- ask the Government to provide local councils with more powers to control the operation of privately run public car parks in their area")

In accordance with Rule CP68 it was demanded by at least 10 Members that a recorded vote be taken on the amendment.

The following Members voted for the amendment:

The Mayor (Councillor Marshall), Councillors Allen, Berry, Care, Carr, Chera, Davis, Ginns, Graves, Grimadell, Harwood, Hickson, Hird, Holmes, Ingall, Jennings, Jones, Keane, F Khan, R Khan, Latham, Leeming, Lowe, Naitta, Nath, Poulter, Richards, Skelton, Troup, Webb, Williams, Winter, Wood (33).

The following Members voted against the amendment:

Councillors Banwait, Baxter, Bayliss, Bolton, Dhindsa, Higginbottom, Hussain, Jackson, Rawson, Redfern, Repton, Roberts, Shanker, Turner, Williamson (15).

The amendment was declared carried.

Resolved that Council is alarmed by the complaints from Derby residents about the tactics employed by some private car park operators in the city.

These complaints have led Council to conclude that the behaviour and tactics of these companies' operatives is causing considerable stress and anxiety to local people who have been clamped.

Council therefore requests the Council Cabinet to:

- **instruct its Highways and Legal Officers to examine what legal remedies are available to curb the activities of private clamping companies in the city, including the possibility of Anti-Social Behaviour Orders against clampers**
- **ask the Government to provide local councils with more powers to control the operation of privately run public car parks in their area.**

3. Dropped Kerbs

It was moved by Councillor Roberts, and seconded, that Council accepts that the number of dropped kerbs around the city is inadequate, creating problems for users of mobility scooters, wheel chairs and parents with pushchairs, which is exacerbated by inconsiderate parking on pavements.

Council therefore demands action from the current administration before the end of this financial year to:

- clear the backlog in dropped kerbs
- launch an education campaign to alert drivers to the difficulties inconsiderate parking creates for disabled people and parents with young children.

It was moved by Councillor Care, and seconded, that the motion be amended by the deletion of all words after the word “therefore” in the second paragraph and the insertion in their place of the words “1) encourages Neighbourhood Boards to consider using some of their funds to install dropped kerbs where they think they are a priority and 2) welcomes the progress being made by the Council’s Parking Officers to use National Regulations introduced earlier this year to enforce access to dropped kerbs.”

(The effect of the amendment, if approved, was that the motion would read as follows:

“Council accepts that the number of dropped kerbs around the city is inadequate, creating problems for users of mobility scooters, wheel chairs and parents with pushchairs, which is exacerbated by inconsiderate parking on pavements.

Council therefore:

- 1) encourages Neighbourhood Boards to consider using some of their funds to install dropped kerbs where they think they are a priority
- 2) welcomes the progress being made by the Council’s parking officers to use national regulations introduced earlier this year to enforce access to dropped kerbs”)

The amendment was put to the meeting and carried.

Resolved that Council accepts that the number of dropped kerbs around the city is inadequate, creating problems for users of mobility scooters, wheel chairs and parents with pushchairs, which is exacerbated by inconsiderate parking on pavements.

Council therefore:

- 1) encourages Neighbourhood Boards to consider using some of their funds to install dropped kerbs where they think they are a priority**
- 2) welcomes the progress being made by the Council's parking officers to use national regulations introduced earlier this year to enforce access to dropped kerbs**

4. *Extradition Treaties*

It was moved by Councillor Graves, and seconded, that Derby City Council calls upon the Government to take urgent action in respect of extradition treaties.

Since the episode concerning two Derby men where they were forced to spend over 10 weeks in a prison described as uninhabitable by humans before a trial date was set, it has become apparent that the rules surrounding extradition treaties are woefully inadequate in protecting innocent British people.

Council requests the Government to review the extradition rules swiftly and urgently to ensure:

1. British people are given some protection around severity of offence so that extradition is not sought for minor offences.
2. Extradition is not granted until a court date is set to give alleged offenders security in knowing their case will soon be heard.
3. Extradition takes place within one week of the court date to reduce any unwarranted prison time.
4. British courts are to be satisfied that there is a valid reason for extradition in regard to the charges.

It was moved by Councillors Carr and Roberts that the motion be amended by the deletion from the first paragraph of the words "innocent" and "people" and the addition of the word "subjects" after the word "British" in the first paragraph and after the word "British" in sub paragraph 1.

The amendment was put to the meeting and carried.

Resolved that Derby City Council calls upon the Government to take urgent action in respect of extradition treaties.

Since the episode concerning two Derby men where they were forced to spend over 10 weeks in a prison described as uninhabitable by humans before a trial date was set, it has become apparent that the rules surrounding extradition treaties are woefully inadequate in protecting British subjects.

Council requests the Government to review the extradition rules swiftly and urgently to ensure:

- 1. British subjects are given some protection around severity of offence so that extradition is not sought for minor offences.**

2. **Extradition is not granted until a court date is set to give alleged offenders security in knowing their case will soon be heard.**
3. **Extradition takes place within one week of the court date to reduce any unwarranted prison time.**
4. **British courts are to be satisfied that there is a valid reason for extradition in regard to the changes.**

It was moved by Councillor Hickson, and seconded, that, in accordance with Rule CP10 the meeting be extended beyond four hours. The motion was put to the meeting and lost.

5. *10:10 Campaign*

Resolved, on the motion of Councillor Dhindsa, that the motion on the agenda relating to the 10:10 Campaign be deferred for consideration at the next meeting of the Council.

6. *Considerate Building Scheme*

Resolved, on the motion of Councillor Holmes, that the motion on the agenda relating to the Considerate Building Scheme be deferred for consideration at the next meeting of the Council.

7. *Street Pastors*

It was moved by Councillor Latham, and seconded, that Council recognises the voluntary work carried out by the Street Pastors as they celebrate their first year working from 10pm to 4am on Friday and Saturday evenings in the City helping to keep people safe.

Council also congratulates the Street Pastors on their recent Community Achievement Award and is committed to supporting their work in liaison with the local police and security personnel.

It was moved by Councillor Dhindsa, and seconded, that the motion be amended by the addition of the words “and welcomes the extension of this scheme to other areas such as Normanton, Alvaston and Sinfen.”

The amendment was put to the meeting and carried.

Resolved that Council recognises the voluntary work carried out by the Street Pastors as they celebrate their first year working from 10pm to 4am on Friday and Saturday evenings in the City helping to keep people safe, and welcomes the extension of this scheme to other areas such as Normanton, Alvaston and Sinfen.

Council also congratulates the Street Pastors on their recent Community Achievement Award and is committed to supporting their work in liaison with the local police and security personnel.

Mayor

<p>MINUTES OF COUNCIL CABINET REQUIRING THE APPROVAL OF COUNCIL</p>
--

**COUNCIL CABINET
12 JANUARY 2010**

Present: Councillor Jones (Chair)
Councillors Allen, Care, Carr, Naitta, Skelton and Troup

In attendance Councillors Dhindsa and Holmes

This record of decisions was published on 14 January 2010. The key decisions set out in this record will come into force and may be implemented on the expiry of five clear days unless a key decision is called in.

Key Decisions

155/09 Review of Council Leisure Facilities

The Council Cabinet considered a report on a Review of Council Leisure Facilities. The report outlined proposals for the most exciting and significant leisure developments for the city in the last 40 years. It would deliver two iconic sporting hubs complemented by a network of neighbourhood sports facilities.

The new facilities would provide sporting, cultural, economic and regeneration benefits that would have a considerable impact on the city and its residents. It would change the landscape of sporting provision in the city, provide a wider range of cultural opportunities for local people, including major sporting events, concerts, and exhibitions and attract additional visitors and businesses to Derby. In the wake of London 2012 it would provide an exciting and innovative legacy for Derby which would support wider participation and motivate and inspire current and future generations of local people to be active. The two hub facilities would be of county and regional significance providing outstanding facilities for both local and visiting athletes, spectators, coaches, volunteers, clubs and officials. These proposals would build on Derby's sporting heritage, placing it firmly back 'on the map' whilst also contributing to the local economy by helping to regenerate areas where the new facilities are located.

Options Considered

There were no options considered.

Decision

1. ...

2. To recommend to Council that the proposals for new leisure facilities are approved and that provision for the funding required is made provisionally within the Council's Revenue Budgets and Capital Programme from 2010/11.
3. ...

Budget and Policy Framework

156/09 Council Tax Base for 2010/11

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Council Tax Base for 2010/11. The Council had to calculate its 'council tax base' for 2010/11 as a means of raising council tax income for the 2010/11 financial year from Derby council tax payers. The tax base was an estimated figure of the number of domestic properties in terms of 'Band D' in the Council's area during the year. It was used to determine a headline charge for Band D properties in the city. Charges for other bands were calculated as defined fractions of the headline charge. The proposed council tax base for 2010/11 was 71,114.57 Band D equivalent properties compared with the 2009/10 council tax base of 70187.03. This represented an increase of 927.54 Band D equivalent properties.

The reasons for this increase were:

- An increase in the number of domestic properties within the city at the time of calculating the proposed council tax base for 2010/11 when compared to the figure used for calculating 2009/10 council tax base. The actual increase in domestic properties was 544.
- A reduction in the number of long term empty homes within the city from 2070 in 2009/10 to 1633 in 2010/11.
- When the council tax base was calculated for 2009/10 it included a forecasted reduction to take account of outstanding work at that time. Existing workload was up to date so no forecast was necessary for the 2010/11 council tax base calculation.

Decision

1. ...
2. To recommend Council In accordance with the methodology and estimates shown at Appendices 2 and 3 to approve this calculation.