

COUNCIL CABINET 15 January 2008

ITEM 9

Report of the Cabinet Members for Children and Young People and Planning and Transportation

School Transport

SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report presents the results of the consultation exercise recently carried out regarding revised charges for home to school transport, to take effect from September 2008.
- 1.2 In order to meet a number of significant new budget pressures, proposals to change the charging policy for transport to faith schools and to increase all other home to school transport charges have been suggested. A full consultation process has been carried out, the results of which are contained in this report at Appendix 2.
- 1.3 Subject to any issues raised at the meeting, I support the following recommendations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 To note the results of the latest school transport consultation exercise.
- 2.2 To approve the proposed charges as detailed in the report for implementation from September 2008.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 The City Council has to make budget savings over the next three years to meet a number of significant new pressures. The proposals for home to school transport are designed to assist in that budget process. Not approving the revised proposals would not produce the budget savings planned for in the Council's budget strategy for 2008/09 and beyond, and would require alternative savings to be made from elsewhere.
- 3.2 The Council consulted on prior proposals in the spring of 2007. A number of concerns were raised in response to the previous consultation. The rerun of that consultation and these latest proposals attempt to address those concerns, wherever possible, against the difficult financial background outlined above. The majority of respondents have again confirmed that they do want the Council to continue to provide both discretionary and faith school transport.



COUNCIL CABINET 15 January 2008



Report of the Corporate Directors for Children and Young People and Regeneration and Community

School Transport

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- 1.1 The City Council has to make budget savings over the next three years to address a number of significant new pressures. As part of these savings, the Council consulted earlier in the year on proposals to change the charging policy for transport to faith schools and to increase all other home to school transport charges. The latter apply to subsidised transport, which tends to be provided in areas where pupils have difficulty getting a direct commercial bus service to take them to school.
- 1.2 A number of general concerns emerged from respondents to the previous consultation:
 - the cost for each pupil was considered too high, ranging from £350 to £500 for faith pupils and £320 for other discretionary non-faith pupils
 - the maximum charge for each household was considered to be set at too high a level (capped at £1000) and unaffordable for larger households
 - there were not enough opportunities to pay the advance charges (a maximum of two payments a year was previously proposed)
 - the timescale for the consultation was thought to be insufficient.

Details of the responses received to the previous consultation are attached at Appendix 2.

- 1.3 In response to these concerns, set against the continued need to make savings in light of the financial situation facing the Council, a revised consultation process has been carried out on amended proposals, which are outlined below.
 - To introduce standard fares of £290 each year for primary age pupils and £350 each year for secondary age pupils who either:
 - o live within walking distance of their nearest suitable school, or
 - o who attend a faith school which is not their nearest suitable school.
 - To introduce larger discounts for households with more than one child up to age 16 travelling to school. Discounts to be 10% of the full charge for

the second child, 20% for the third child and any additional children, within a maximum charge for each household of £850 each year.

- To increase the number of payments options as follows:
 - a) one payment, by cash, cheque or postal order in July
 - two payments, one in July and one in February, by cash, cheque or postal order, if possible direct to Roman House Local Housing Office
 - c) one payment by cheque in July, followed by seven monthly direct debit payments between September and March.
- For faith pupils, to apply charges only for those starting at a faith school from September 2008. Other discretionary charges will apply to all pupils from that date.
- 1.4 Although the proposed new advance charges for discretionary home to school transport will not cover the full cost of providing the transport, they still offer a number of advantages, including:
 - the bus network will be maintained and pupils will still be able to travel safely and directly to school
 - the introduction of a 'fare for all' will avoid the complexity of calculating different fares on different routes
 - advance charging will provide more certainty of obtaining fares for travel
 - some limited financial savings will be achieved, which will help to protect other council services.
- 1.5 There are also disadvantages applying to both discretionary and faith school transport:
 - parents may choose to take their children to school by car, rather than paying, thereby having a negative impact upon congestion
 - the financial impact for families who will have to pay (more) for their child's transport from September 2008.

1.6 **Consultation**

The council has consulted with the following:

- parents of all pupils attending schools in Derby
- parents of children who live in Derby but who attend faith schools outside the city
- governors and headteachers of all Derby schools and neighbouring faith schools
- the Catholic diocesan education authority for Derby
- neighbouring local authorities

- the Confederation of Passenger Transport.
- 1.7 The closing date for responses was 3 December 2007 and an analysis has been carried out, alongside responses received from the initial consultation exercise in March/April.
- 1.8 The results of the latest consultation are attached as Appendix 2.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

A further reduced charge for both discretionary and faith transport would not produce any savings in view of the additional administrative costs incurred, particularly in relation to multiple payments.

For more information contact: Keith Forrest e-mail: keith.forrest@derby.gov.uk **Background papers:** School Transport – Your Views Questionnaire

List of appendices: 1. Implications

2. Summary of Consultation Responses – Spring 2007

IMPLICATIONS

Financial

- 1.1 The Council currently spends around £378,000, or £645 per child, every year to transport pupils free of charge to faith schools on supported school bus services.
- 1.2 In addition, around £450,000, or £475 per child, is spent every year providing subsidised school transport for pupils up to age 16 who attend their nearest suitable or faith school, but who live within walking distance of that school.
- 1.3 Set against these costs, the proposals are estimated to generate income of approximately £20,000 in the 2008/9 financial year, £35,000 in 2009/10 and approximately £180k a year once the faith charges apply to all year groups. It should be noted that the revised proposals will not generate the level of savings anticipated in the Council's three-year indicative budget. These amounted to £120,000 in 2008/09, leaving a shortfall of £100,000.

Legal

There are no direct legal implications for these proposals as they relate to discretionary transport.

Personnel

An additional staffing resource will be needed to implement these proposals, which may impact upon the projected savings. However, this may be linked to grant funding received for the additional responsibilities relating to transport in the Education and Inspections Act.

Equalities Impact

- 4.1 The current policy benefits pupils attending faith schools as free transport is not available to those who wish to attend schools for other reasons, such as their specialism.
- 4.2 The Education and Inspections Act 2006 increases entitlement to free transport for pupils whose families are entitled to free school meals or the maximum level of working tax credit. However, those on low incomes just above the threshold may find difficulty in affording increased or new charges.

Corporate Objectives and Priorities for Change

One of the Council's priorities for 2007-10 is "to give you excellent service and value for money". The income generated by this proposal enables other services to children, young people and the public to be maintained and developed.

Summary of latest consultation responses

In total there were 824 responses to this survey compared to 721 in the spring consultation. Of these 428 (52%) had also responded to the spring 2007 consultation.

Out of the 811 respondents who indicated where they live, 767 live in Derby City and 44 live outside Derby City. As with the previous consultation, all respondent's views are presented in the results.

Table 1 Respondent Type Responses were received from...

	n	%
Teacher / Head teacher	20	2.4
School Governor	40	4.9
Parent / Carer of a pupil that attends a faith school on faith grounds	222	27.2
Parent / Carer of pupil that attends their nearest suitable school	376	46.0
Parent / Carer of a pupil that attends a preferred school	212	25.9
Other	25	3.1

Some respondents selected more than one response to this question. In total 817 respondents completed this question, 7 did not give a response.

Where respondents selected 'other', further details given included; taxi driver, student, bursar, babysitter, parent / carer of a child attending the most appropriate special needs school, parent with one child still at primary school, nearest suitable nursery, parent of pupils attending their nearest available school, parent of a pupil attending a faith school not on faith grounds, parent who's child attends their second choice school, a parent of a pupil who attends their catchment area school and not their nearest suitable school, a parent who's children attend a school but not the nearest.

Of the 40 School Governors responding to the survey 3 were responding on behalf of their school's governing body.

Table 2 Travel Assistance Currently Received

	n	%
Free transport to a faith school	89	11.0
Free transport to a non-faith school	25	3.1
Transport to a faith school on payment of a fare	90	11.1
Transport to a non-faith school on payment of a fare	27	3.3
No travel assistance received	365	45.0
Not applicable	199	24.5
Other	53	6.5

Some respondents selected more than one response to this question. In total 811 respondents completed this question, 13 did not give a response.

Where respondents selected 'other', further details given included; none (5), transport to a special school (3). From the majority of respondents selecting 'other' a description of how they travel to school was given – which included walking (25), by car including taxi (5), bus (3).

Table 3 Do you think we should continue to provide school buses to...

·	Yes		N	lo	Don't kn		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
faith schools?	479	59.5	206	25.6	120	14.9	805	100.0
nearest suitable schools?	563	72.0	126	16.1	93	11.9	782	100.0

Table 4 Taking into account the Council's need to secure budgetary savings, please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with the following statements

	Agree		Neither Agree agree nor disagree			gree	Total		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
The proposed changes for each child are reasonable	232	29.0	238	29.8	329	41.2	799	100.0	
The maximum charge for each household is reasonable	205	25.8	237	29.8	353	44.4	795	100.0	
The range of payment options is reasonable	310	38.9	239	30.0	248	31.1	797	100.0	

Table 5 Which of the available payment options are you most likely to choose?

	n	%
One payment by cash, cheque or postal order in July	58	11.0
Two payments, one in July and one in February, by cash, cheque		
or postal order	111	21.1
One payment by cheque in July, followed by seven monthly direct		
debit payments between September and March	356	67.8
Total	525	99.9

Table 6 If your child/ren use the school bus and pay a fare, do you think they will continue to use the bus from September 2008 when you will have to pay in advance and the charges increase?

	n	%
Yes No	77	24.4
No	151	47.9
Don't know	87	27.6
Total	315	99.9
Not applicable	445	-

Table 7 If your children will stop using the school bus service, how will they travel to school?

	n	%
Walk	31	20.5
Bicycle Car Other	8	5.3
Car	112	74.2
Other	20	13.2
Not applicable	4	2.6

This table shows the responses given by the 151 respondents who said 'no' when asked if their child/ren would continue to use the bus from September 2008. Some respondents selected more than one response to this question.

Where respondents selected 'other', further details given included; by using public transport buses (11), keeping children at home (4), may need to move school (4), walk (2), car or car pool / sharing (2), don't know (3).

Table 8 Whether or not respondents agree that we should continue to provide school buses to faith schools by respondent type.

control busco to faith controls by respondent types										
					Don					
	Yes		N	No			Total			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Teacher / Head teacher	16	80.0	4	20.0	0	0.0	20	100.0		
School Governor	21	52.5	15	37.5	4	10.0	40	100.0		
Parent / Carer of a pupil that attends a faith school on faith grounds	212	95.9	4	1.8	5	2.3	221	100.0		
Parent / Carer of pupil that attends their nearest suitable school	142	39.1	150	41.3	71	19.6	363	100.0		
Parent / Carer of a pupil that attends a preferred school	109	53.2	53	25.9	43	21.0	205	100.0		
Other	13	52.0	7	28.0	5	20.0	25	100.0		

Table 9 Whether or not respondents agree that we should continue to provide school buses to nearest suitable schools by respondent type.

				-	Don			
	Y	Yes		No				otal
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Teacher / Head teacher	17	89.5	2	10.5	0	0.0	19	100.0
School Governor	25	67.6	7	18.9	5	13.5	37	100.0
Parent / Carer of a pupil	157	79.7	18	9.1	22	11.2	197	100.0
that attends a faith								
school on faith grounds								
Parent / Carer of pupil	258	70.1	74	20.1	36	9.8	368	100.0
that attends their								
nearest suitable school								
Parent / Carer of a pupil	139	67.8	31	15.1	35	17.1	205	100.0
that attends a preferred								
school								
Other	15	65.2	5	21.7	3	13.0	23	100.0

Table 10 Whether or not respondents agree that we should continue to provide school buses to faith schools by current travel assistance.

					Don	't know			
	Y	es	No				Т	Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Free transport to a faith school	87	97.8	1	1.1	1	1.1	89	100.0	
Free transport to a non- faith school	8	33.3	7	29.2	9	37.5	24	100.0	
Transport to a faith school on payment of a fare	85	95.5	1	1.1	3	3.4	89	100.0	
Transport to a non-faith school on payment of a fare	12	44.4	6	22.2	9	33.3	27	100.0	
No travel assistance received	173	48.5	129	36.1	55	15.4	357	100.0	
Not applicable	104	54.2	53	27.6	35	18.2	192	100.0	
Other	27	51.9	14	26.9	11	21.2	52	100.0	

Table 11 Whether or not respondents agree that we should continue to provide school buses to nearest suitable school by current travel assistance.

					Don'	t know		
	Y	Yes		lo			Т	otal
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Free transport to a faith school	59	76.6	9	11.7	9	11.7	77	100.0
Free transport to a non- faith school	23	92.0	0	0.0	2	8.0	25	100.0
Transport to a faith school on payment of a fare	60	75.0	5	6.3	15	18.8	80	100.0
Transport to a non-faith school on payment of a fare	21	77.8	1	3.7	5	18.5	27	100.0
No travel assistance received	239	68.1	75	21.4	37	10.5	351	100.0
Not applicable	137	72.5	33	17.5	19	10.1	189	100.0
Other	41	77.4	7	13.2	5	9.4	53	100.0

Table 12 Agreement or disagreement that the proposed charges for each child are reasonable by respondent type.

		ree	Neithe	r agree	Disa	gree	Total	
			nor di	sagree				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Teacher / Head teacher	5	25.0	3	15.0	12	60.0	20	100.0
School Governor	16	41.0	6	15.4	17	43.6	39	100.0
Parent / Carer of a pupil	31	14.2	24	11.0	163	74.8	218	100.0
that attends a faith school on faith grounds								
Parent / Carer of pupil that attends their nearest	141	39.0	132	36.5	89	24.6	362	100.0
suitable school								
Parent / Carer of a pupil	60	29.3	81	39.5	64	31.2	205	100.0
that attends a preferred school								
Other	10	41.7	5	20.8	9	37.5	24	100.0

Table 13 Agreement or disagreement that the maximum charge for each household

is reasonable by respondent type.

is reasonable by responde	,							
	Agree Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree		Total			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Teacher / Head teacher School Governor	5 13	25.0 34.2	2 5	10.0 13.2	13 20	65.0 52.6	20 38	100.0 100.0
Parent / Carer of a pupil that attends a faith school	23	10.6	27	12.4	167	77.0	217	100.0
on faith grounds Parent / Carer of pupil that attends their nearest	132	36.6	122	33.8	107	29.6	361	100.0
suitable school Parent / Care of a pupil that attends a preferred	52	25.5	85	41.7	67	32.8	204	100.0
school Other Total	6	25.0	8	33.3	10	41.7	24	100.0

Table 14 Agreement or disagreement that the range of payment options is

reasonable by respondent type.

	Ag	ree	Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree		e Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Teacher / Head teacher	5	25.0	5	25.0	10	50.0	20	100.0
School Governor	16	42.1	8	21.1	14	36.8	38	100.0
Parent / Carer of a pupil	60	27.5	28	12.8	130	59.6	218	100.0
that attends a faith school on faith grounds								
Parent / Carer of pupil that attends their nearest suitable school	176	48.8	123	34.1	62	17.2	361	100.0
Parent / Care of a pupil that attends a preferred school	78	38.0	85	41.5	42	20.5	205	100.0
Other	7	29.2	8	33.3	9	37.5	24	100.0

Table 15 Agreement or disagreement that the proposed charges for each child are reasonable by current travel assistance.

reasonable by surrent traver assistance.									
	Ag	Agree Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree		Total			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Free transport to a faith school	10	11.5	6	6.9	71	81.6	87	100.0	
Free transport to a non- faith school	6	25.0	6	25.0	12	50.0	24	100.0	
Transport to a faith school on payment of a fare	15	16.9	14	15.7	60	67.4	89	100.0	
Transport to a non-faith school on payment of a fare	5	20.0	5	20.0	15	60.0	25	100.0	
No travel assistance received	118	33.1	129	36.2	109	30.6	356	100.0	
Not applicable	73	37.6	65	33.5	56	28.9	194	100.0	
Other	12	23.5	23	45.1	16	31.4	51	100.0	

Table 16 Agreement or disagreement that the maximum charge for each household is reasonable by current travel assistance.

	Ag	Agree Neither agree		Disagree		Total		
			nor di	sagree				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Free transport to a faith school	8	9.2	7	8.0	72	82.8	87	100.0
Free transport to a non- faith school	5	20.8	6	25.0	13	54.2	24	100.0
Transport to a faith school on payment of a fare	9	10.3	18	20.7	60	69.0	87	100.0
Transport to a non-faith school on payment of a fare	4	16.0	7	28.0	14	56.0	25	100.0
No travel assistance received	107	30.0	121	33.9	129	36.1	357	100.0
Not applicable	65	33.7	62	32.1	66	34.2	193	100.0
Other	14	28.0	20	40.0	16	32.0	50	100.0

Table 17 Agreement or disagreement that the range of payment options is reasonable by current travel assistance.

roaddinable by darrolle tra	reactination by tarrein deciciantes									
	Agree		Neither agree Disagre nor disagree		gree Total		otal			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Free transport to a faith school	21	24.1	10	11.5	56	64.4	87	100.0		
Free transport to a non- faith school	8	33.3	7	29.2	9	37.5	24	100.0		
Transport to a faith school on payment of a fare	22	25.0	17	19.3	49	55.7	88	100.0		
Transport to a non-faith school on payment of a fare	6	24.0	6	24.0	13	52.0	25	100.0		
No travel assistance received	156	43.6	120	33.5	82	22.9	358	100.0		
Not applicable	95	48.7	61	31.3	39	20.0	195	100.0		
Other	17	34.7	25	51.0	7	14.3	49	100.0		

Respondents were asked if they would like to add any other comments. In total 412 comments were received, many were quite detailed and covered more than one issue.

The most frequent issues raised were:	
Expensive charges causing financial issues for families	95
Would encourage parents to transport their children to school by car	58
If parents decide to send their child/ren to a faith or preferred school,	52
not in walking distance of home, they should pay travel costs. Many	
added that travel to special schools should be subsidised	
Congestion issues	42
Freedom of choice – limited by these proposals	42
Unable to or disagree with payment in advance	41
Child safety issues	41
Environmental issues	40
Provide free / subsidised or means tested bus service. In addition, 6	32
respondents stated generally that school buses should be provided	
Discrimination / being penalised for faith	29
Payment options / paying in advance does not take into account trips	28
not taken due to after school activities, varying travel arrangements	
and time spent off sick	
Would prefer to pay more frequently	24
Council should look for savings in other areas	24
Financial impact on families with more than one child	21
Proposed costs higher than other Local Authorities and / or service bus	20
providers	
Policy for all schools / pupils whether faith or non faith should be same	19
Current bus service poor / unsafe / unreliable – improvements would	17
be expected with increased fares	
Perceive that Council Tax payments should be sufficient to cover costs	13
Parents of non-faith pupils felt subsidies for faith pupils unfair	8
Parents at faith schools already contribute 10% of capital costs	7
Suggestions and miscellaneous comments – including encouraging	
other sustainable methods of travel to school, encourage the use of	
local schools, proposals will penalise hard working families with	
incomes just above benefit thresholds, a lack of clarity as to what	
families in receipt of benefits will be charged and an issue of there	
being only one Roman Catholic secondary school in Derby. One	
comment questioned whether a contract exists for free bus travel to St	
Benedict's from when it became the only Roman Catholic school for	
the area. One respondent felt that the proposals were contrary to the	
1944 Education Act, Children's Act and the Education Act 2005 and	
one respondent questioned whether legally a change of this sort can	
only be brought in if a city wide travel plan is consulted on and put in	
place.	

Summary of spring term consultation responses

721 people responded to this questionnaire. Of these:

	Number	
	of	% of
	responses	total
Teacher/ Head teacher	14	2.0
Governor	21	3.0
Parent /Carer of a pupil that attends a faith school on		
faith grounds	211	30.3
Parent/Carer of pupil that attends their nearest suitable		
school	315	45.3
Parent/Care of a pupil that attends a preferred school	114	16.4
Other	21	3.0
Total	696	100.0
Did not complete this question	25	

Only 21 people lived outside Derby and of these 14 were parents of children at their nearest suitable school and 3 were parents of children at a faith school. Although these few responses came from people who do not pay Derby Council Tax they are clearly users of Derby schools and/or school bus. For the purpose of this research they have not been treated separately.

There was a mixed response to the proposed changes. The answer to the question 'Do you agree with the proposed changes bearing in mind the need for the Council to find a saving in its budget?' was:

Agree	264	(38%)
Disagree	339	(49%)
Don't know	87	(13%)

	Agree		Disagree		Don't know	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Headteachers	8	57%	3	21%	3	21%
Governors	8	38%	11	52%	2	10%
Parents /faith schools	30	15%	171	83%	5	2%
Parents /nearest school	158	53%	92	31%	47	16%
Parent /pref school	46	41%	40	36%	25	23%

In the main headteachers agreed to the proposal and school governors were against it. However only small numbers responded and only indicate possible trends. Amongst parents and carers there are clear trends. Parents from faith schools where the proposed changes are greatest were mainly against the proposal and parents of pupils from 'nearest suitable schools' were mainly in agreement. The opinions of parents of pupils at 'preferred schools' were more evenly distributed.

Regardless of their agreement with the proposal, people were asked if they thought the service should continue with increased charges. The response to 'Do you think we should continue provide school buses to faith schools?' was:

Yes	63%
No	24%
No opinion	13%

The vast majority of 'faith school parents' wanted buses to continue (95%), as did parents of nearest suitable schools (43%) and parents of preferred schools (64%)

There was stronger agreement for the **continuation of school buses to 'nearest suitable schools**', with 74% of parents from faith schools, 82% of parents from nearest suitable schools and 80% of parents from preferred schools indicating they wanted the buses to continue.

Questions 9 and 10 asked people who already pay a fare if they would **continue to** use the subsidised buses when the fare was increased in September 2007, and when the fare was increased again in 2008 with payment in advance.

	2007	2008
We will continue to use the bus	140 (58%	6) 91 (36%)
We will NOT continue to use the bus	38 (16%	6) 88 (34%)
We don't know	64 (26%	6) 76 (30%)
Not Applicable	415	398
Total applicable	242	255
Did not complete this question	75	68

The table shows that many of the respondents indicated that the question was not applicable to them. The remaining figure would seem to indicate a fall in demand for school buses from people who currently pay.

Question 11 asked, 'If your children travel free of charge on the school bus, do you think they will continue to use the bus from September 2008 when they have to pay in advance?

	2008
We will continue to use the bus	70 (34%)
We will NOT continue to use the bus	78 (37%)
We don't know	60 (29%)
Total	208

Question six asked if there should be a discount for second and subsequent siblings travelling on buses. 70% of all respondents said yes, 20% said no and 10% were undecided.

Of the 483 respondents who said there should be a subsidy, 284 suggested a rate, which ranged from 100% to 10% as shown below:

Suggested discount	51-100%	50%	49-25%	24-10%
N =284	42	148	46	23

Question 7 asks how people would prefer to pay in advance and gives options for once or twice a year. 593 people responded to this question:

	Number	%
Once, in July	35	6
Twice, in July and November	346	58
No preference	212	36
Total	593	100

Question 8 gives three options for methods of paying in advance. Of the respondents who had a preference, cheque or cash outweighs both credit / debit card options.

	Number	%
By cheque or cash	283	39
Online, debit or credit card	119	17
Phone debit or credit card	88	12
No preference	232	32
Total	722	100

Finally, question 12 asks, 'If your Children stop using the school bus service, how will they travel to school?' 440 people responded, showing a preference for using their cars.

		Number	%
Walk		104	24
Bike		12	3
Car		249	56
Other	·	75	17
	Total	440	100

Respondents were also able to add comments on the form. A number of e-mails were also received, including 25 similarly worded comments from staff at St Benedict's. The most frequent issues raised were:

Green/environmental issues	87
Congestion issues	99
General financial impact on families	100
Impact on families with more than one child	35
Many unable to afford to pay in advance	47
Would prefer to pay more frequently	27
Child safety issues	37
Discrimination against faith schools/ people with faiths	46
Freedom of choice	36
If parents decide to send child to faith school, they should	
expect to pay	44
Policy for faith schools and others should be the same	26
Parents at faith schools already contribute 10% of capital	31

costs

Penalised twice as Council Tax continues to rise	26
Council should look for savings in other areas	25
Effect on inclusive nature of St Benedict's	20
ower contribution would be acceptable	20
Stop spending this subsidy	13
Adds to Duffield Rd bus lane problem	12
Council should promote bus use	18
Will/may/would have moved child to nearer school	13
Encourage walking	14
Encourage cycling	11
Decision a foregone conclusion/already made	11
Consider rebates for illness/days when not using bus	10