

CABINET MEMBER MEETING Children and Young People 18 September 2013

ITEM 5

Report of the Chief Executive

Performance Reporting - Quarter One 2013/14

SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report presents the quarter one performance results for the Council Scorecard and includes a summary of portfolio performance in **Appendix 2** and individual measure dashboards at **Appendix 3**.
- 1.2 The Council Scorecard, which contains 71 priority measures, was presented at the Part 1 Cabinet Meeting on 11 September 2013. There are 17 relevant performance measures for this portfolio.
- 1.3 The quarter one position for all performance measures and departmental business plan objectives are available on the DORIS performance system.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 To note the quarter one 2013/14 performance results for this portfolio.
- 2.2 To review areas which are under-performing to ensure appropriate actions are in place to support improvement.

REASON FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Performance monitoring underpins the Council's planning framework in terms of reviewing progress regularly in achieving our priorities and delivering value for money. Early investigation of variances enables remedial action to be taken where appropriate.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

4.1 The Council Scorecard performance measures relevant to the portfolio are shown in **Appendix 2.** Performance at quarter one (April to June 2013) is assessed using traffic light criteria, according to their performance against improvement targets.

- 4.2 Dashboards for individual performance measures are shown in **Appendix 3** and provide more information on historical trends (where available) and the latest commentary from accountable officers.
- 4.3 All performance measures and objectives within business plans are monitored through DORIS on a quarterly and monthly basis. Latest performance reports for the Council Scorecard and departmental business plans are available on the DORIS performance system (available through iDerby).
- 4.4 In addition to the 17 measures within the council scorecard, Children Services also review performance against a set of priority business plan measures via the Improvement Board. There are fourteen measures below target at the end of quarter one (Full details are available on DORIS) and although there have been no measures recommended for consideration at a performance surgery two measures have been reviewed by the directorate-led Improvement Board...
 - SS PM17 (NI 63) Stability of placements of looked after children: length of placement
 - SS PM16 (NI 62) Stability of placements of looked after children: number of moves

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

5.1 None.

This report has been approved by the following officers:

Legal officer	N/A
Financial officer	N/A
Human Resources officer	N/A
Estates/Property officer	N/A
Service Director(s)	N/A
Other(s)	Head of Performance and Improvement

For more information contact: Background papers: List of appendices:	Name: Natalie Tuckwell 01332 643462 email: natalie.tuckwell@derby.gov.uk None Appendix 1 - Implications Appendix 2 – Council Scorecard performance measures Q1 2013/14 Appendix 3 – Individual measure dashboards
--	---

IMPLICATIONS

Financial and Value for Money

1. The performance framework measures how the Council is delivering value for money against its Council Plan objectives, customer standards and performance measures.

Legal

2. None directly arising.

Personnel

3. The performance framework includes indicators which monitor aspects of the workforce, for example, sickness absence.

Equalities Impact

4. The performance framework includes indicators which monitor the impact of Council initiatives on diverse groups.

Health and Safety

5. None directly arising.

Environmental Sustainability

6. None directly arising.

Property and Asset Management

7. None directly arising.

Risk Management

8. Commentary as part of performance monitoring demonstrates the progress being made towards measures that have missed target.

Corporate objectives and priorities for change

9. The performance tables demonstrate progress made towards achieving the Council's priority outcomes as published in the Council Plan.

Council Scorecard – Relevant Portfolio Measures Appendix 2

Key

Traffic Light Status:

Blue performance above target by 2% or more

Green performance meets target

Amber performance within 5% of the target

Red performance more than 5% adverse of target

Direction of Travel:

A

Performance expected to improve compared to the previous year

Performance expected to remain the same as the previous year

Performance expected to deteriorate compared to the previous year

Massura Description	Cood Courset Voca F				d Voor End Forespot Direction			
Measure Description	Good	Current Target	Year End Forecast	Year End Target	Forecast Year End	Direction of Travel		
	10	Status	1 0100001	raigot	Status	or mavor		
Business Processes								
L&I PM22 (NI 103a) Special Educational	High	Blue	88%	85%	Blue	2		
Needs – statements issued within 26 weeks						200.		
SS PM26 Average time between a local	Low	Red	400	275	Red	N/A		
authority receiving court authority to place a			days	days				
child and the local authority deciding on a match to an adoptive family (days)								
LPI 52b Percentage of CYP complaints	High	Not ove	nilabla	80.0%	N/A	N/A		
responded to within the statutory timescale	l' ligit	Not available		00.070	14//	14/7		
Community and Service User						•		
EIISS PM04 Children who are the subject of a	Low	Blue	34.80 per	43.00 per	Blue	2		
child protection plan per 10,000 population			10,000	10,000				
aged under 18 (Snapshot)			population	population				
PH PM04 Family Nurse Partnership	High	No Target	24%	24%	Green	20		
PH PM05 School readiness	High	Not	55%	N/A	N/A			
		available						
L&I PM23c Percentage of inspected services	High	Amber	80%	80%	Green	20		
settings and institutions that are judged as								
'good' or 'outstanding' - Maintained schools								
C PM02a To manage sufficiency and	High	Amber	92%	92.%	Green	S		
promotion of three and four year FEEE places								
to ensure 90% take up in each locality by								
eligible children	Low	Amber	90 10 nor	70.00 par	Amber	92		
SS PM07 - Children in Care per 10,000 population aged under 18 (EIISS PM05)	Low	Amber	80.10 per	79.00 per	Allibei			
population agod andor to (Elico i Moo)			10,000	10,000				
CC DM42 (L91 DM24) Decentage of locked	Lliab	Red	population 85%	population	Red	V		
SS PM13 (L&I PM24) Percentage of looked after children with a current PEP	High	Keu	00%	90%	Keu			
EIISS PM16a (NI 117) 16 -19 year-olds who		Not available			N/A	N/A		
are not in education, training or employment								
(NEET)								

Measure Description	Good is	Current Target Status	Year End Forecast	Year End Target	Forecast Year End Status	Direction of Travel
EIISS PM30 Percentage of children in care aged 16 and 17 who are in employment, education or training	High	Baseline to be established			N/A	N/A
EIISS PM31 Percentage of care leavers who are in employment, education or training	High	Baseline to be established		N/A	N/A	
L&I PM02 (NI 73) (CP02b) Achievement at level 4 or above in reading, writing and mathematics at Key Stage 2	High	h Annual 77 Collection		77%	N/A	N/A
L&I PM03 (NI 75) Achievement of 5 or more A*-C grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths (Threshold)	High	Ann Collec		58%	N/A	N/A
SS PM23a Percentage of in house foster carers	High	High Baseline to be established		N/A	N/A	
SS PM23b Percentage of Independent Fostering Agency (IFA)	Low	Baseline to be established		N/A	N/A	