

## Notice of Call-In of Executive Key Decision

In accordance with Rule OS36 if the Council's Constitution, we the undersigned hereby give notice that we wish to call-in the following executive key decision:

| 1. Decision 148/15 Council Funding for Services in the Voluntary, Community and Faith Sector          |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2. Meeting at which the decision was made 10th february 2016  |  |  |  |
| Council Cabinet   |  |  |  |
| 3. Date of the meeting 10th February 2016   |  |  |  |
| We believe that the following principles of decision making have been                                 |  |  |  |
| breached by the making of this decision (tick relevant boxes):  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) Proportionality (i.e. the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| b) Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers $\overline{\slash}$           |  |  |  |
| c) Respect for human rights   |  |  |  |
| d) A presumption in favour of openness  |  |  |  |
| e) Clarity of aims and desired outcomes 🗸   |  |  |  |
| f) A record of what options were considered and giving the reasons for                                |  |  |  |
| the decision  |  |  |  |
| and/or that relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into                                     |  |  |  |
| consideration 🗸   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |

We believe these principles have been breached for the following reasons:

| Principle Reasons why breached  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| a.  | Proportionality  | The effects of this cut on some organisations & the city will result in much more morney being bot to the locality than the size of the grant.  Some organisations will close.         |  |
| b.  | Due consultation<br>and the taking of<br>professional advice<br>from officers    | Case law has defined what proper consultation is. This appears not to have been done on this occasion.   |  |
| C.  | Respect for human rights   | The EIA didn't fully, in detail, consider the actual impact on equalities groups.  |  |
| d.  | A presumption in favour of openness  |  |  |
| e.  | Clarity of aims and desired outcomes   | 2 of the 4 points combained in the decision are combradictions.  |  |
| f.  | A record of what options were considered and giving the reasons for the decision |  |  |
| and/or that relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into consideration |  | The "bigger picture" has been ignored. Serious domage will be caused to the Section.  Removing preventuline services will cause problems with increased demand for Stabutory Services. |  |