



DERBY CITY COUNCIL

Notice of Call-In of an Executive Key Decision

In accordance with Rule OS36 of the Council's Constitution, we the undersigned hereby give notice that we wish to call-in the following key decision:

1. Decision Voluntary Community and Faith Sector 2013/14 and 2014/15 Funding
2. Meeting at which the decision was made Council Cabinet
3. Date of the meeting 16 October 2013

We believe that the following principles of decision making have been breached by the making of this decision (tick relevant boxes):

- a) Proportionality (i.e. the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome) ☒
- b) Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers ☒
- c) Respect for human rights ☒
- d) A presumption in favour of openness ☒
- e) Clarity of aims and desired outcomes ☒
- f) A record of what options were considered and giving the reasons for the decision ☐

and/or that relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into consideration ☐

We believe these principles have been breached for the following reasons:

| Principle | Reasons why breached |
|---|---|
| a. Proportionality | The proposed cuts will have a disproportionate impact on disabled people and this is no evidence that such groups were consulted through the process. |
| b. Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers | In the consultation 98% of the respondents said 'don't cut' - why consult if it makes no difference. |
| c. Respect for human rights | The EIA was flawed. DEC are not wise about the impact of proposed cuts. The overall impact particularly on disabled people is not known. No evidence consultation was meaningful. |
| d. A presumption in favour of openness | Contrary to the summary about decisions for individual groups in most cases there is no relationship with the old principles or the new ones. |
| e. Clarity of aims and desired outcomes | The report raises 'fresh principles' which the affected voluntary groups had no prior knowledge were being used as decision making criteria. |
| f. A record of what options were considered and giving the reasons for the decision | |

and/or that relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into consideration

1. Signed 

Name PHILIP HICKSON

2. Signed RECEIVED BY EMAIL 19/10/13 AW

Name HILARY JONES

3. Signed RECEIVED BY EMAIL 19/10/13 AW

Name LISA HIGGINBOTHAM

