



COUNCIL CABINET  
15 JUNE 2004

Report of the Chair of the Planning and Environment Commission

## Derby Joint Local Transport Plan 2006-2011, LTP2 Draft Policy and Delivery Frameworks

### RECOMMENDATION

1. The Planning and Environment Commission recommend that the 'proposed Transport Vision' and the 'Delivery Strategy 3' are redefined to make them more realistic and more achievable.

### REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION

2. The Planning and Environment Commission consider that, in the form set out in the report, both the 'proposed Transport Vision' and the 'Delivery Strategy 3' are likely to prove unachievable. The Commission consider that this detracts from the report and that these two proposals should be redefined to make them more realistic and more achievable.

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- 3.1 At its meeting on 24 May 2004 the Planning and Environment Commission considered a report on the Derby Joint Local Transport Plan 2006-2011, LTP2 Draft Policy and Delivery Frameworks. Christine Durrant, Head of Transportation and Special Projects, Development and Cultural Services gave the Commission a short explanation of the report.
- 3.2 Commission members were in general agreement with the overall content of the report but had concerns about two specific points.
- 3.3 Commission members considered that the 'proposed Transport Vision' set out in paragraph 3.7 of the report was not likely to be achievable. The Commission members felt that the earlier vision statement 'To develop an integrated transport system for the Derby Joint Local Transport Plan area which delivers viable transport choice while reducing reliance on the private motor car, encouraging economic activity and promoting safety and sustainability' was more realistic and more achievable. It was suggested that the earlier vision statement should be used instead of the proposed Transport Vision.
- 3.4 Commission members also felt that the Delivery Strategy 3 – 'To deliver and manage an integrated sustainable transport system to reduce congestion' was not realistic. The members made the point that it was

important for the credibility of the report that statements were seen as realistic and achievable.

#### **OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

4. None.

**For more information contact:** David Romaine 01332 255598 e-mail [david.romaine@derby.gov.uk](mailto:david.romaine@derby.gov.uk)  
**Background papers:** None  
**List of appendices:** Appendix 1 – Implications

<b>IMPLICATIONS</b>
---------------------

**Financial**

1. None arising from this report.

**Legal**

2. None arising from this report.

**Personnel**

3. None arising from this report

**Equalities impact**

4. None arising from this report.

**Corporate objectives and priorities for change**

5. LTP2 will link to a range of Corporate Objectives and Priorities for Change.

These are:

Corporate Objectives:

- Job opportunities
- Strong and positive neighbourhoods
- Education
- Protecting and supporting people
- A healthy environment
- Shops, commercial and leisure activities
- Integrated cost-effective services

Priorities for Change

- Minimise increases in Council tax
- Promoting the City
- Removal of traffic from City streets
- Development of integrated management system