



Notice of Call-In of Executive Key Decision

In accordance with Rule OS36 of the Council's Constitution, we the undersigned hereby give notice that we wish to call-in the following executive key decision:

1. Decision ...Vibrant City Strategy: Minute 79/14.....

.....

2. Meeting at which the decision was madeCabinet

.....

3. Date of the meeting 22 October 2014

We believe that the following principles of decision making have been breached by the making of this decision (tick relevant boxes):

- a) Proportionality (i.e. the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome) X
- b) Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers X
- c) Respect for human rights
- d) A presumption in favour of openness X
- e) Clarity of aims and desired outcomes X
- f) A record of what options were considered and giving the reasons for the decision X

and/or that relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into consideration X

Please no V in key board

We believe these principles have been breached for the following reasons:

Principle	Reasons why breached
<p>a. Proportionality</p> <p>b. Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers</p>	<p>Additional work has been added to the Capital Programme without identifying additional resources. There will need to be cuts to existing projects to enable this new work to go forward. The impact on existing projects is unknown, and it is therefore not possible to judge whether the impact of this decision is proportional to its possible benefits.</p> <p>We are unaware of any consultation with councillors or neighbourhood boards about the investment in district centres or the choice of centres. Consultation with 'the leadership' alone is inadequate. (Para 4.13)</p>
<p>c. Respect for human rights</p> <p>d. A presumption in favour of openness</p>	<p>Use of deprivation statistics from 2010 to seek to justify choices is inadequate without reference to other factors to identify the vitality of the shopping area.</p>
<p>e. Clarity of aims and desired outcomes</p> <p>f. A record of what options were considered and giving the reasons for the decision</p>	<p>Having linked choice of centres to deprivation statistics there is still a need to explain why improving the shopping environment is linked to improving the community or individuals' prospects in that area.</p> <p>No information beyond the deprivation data was given for why some centres were chosen not others. But the shortlist of centres was not consistent with the deprivation ranking.</p>
<p>and/or that relevant issues do not appear to have been taken into</p>	<p>No reference appears to be made to many other factors when deciding where to invest, including</p>

consideration

(but not limited to) when previous upgrades have taken place, land ownership situation, current range of shops/vacancy levels, footfall, catchment area, etc.. In addition there is confusion over whether the policy applies only to district centres or also to neighbourhood centres.

