

Appendix 2 Consultation Summary

There were 427 survey responses from the general public: 270 from the on-line survey and 157 from the Reach Out Citizens Panel

Health & Social Care

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
<p>Business in the Community £11,452</p>	<p>Supports private sector companies to provide volunteers to assist voluntary sector groups and delivers national Pro-Help service providing expertise for voluntary sector groups</p>	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 124 Number who had used the organisation: 13 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on to resident's wellbeing: 128 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 112 Service Users (8 responses) Sharing best practice was the most frequently cited difference thought to be made by the organisation to respondents /their organisation (5 comments). The main impacts of cessation of the project were a loss of networking opportunities (5 comments) and loss of specialist business expertise (5 comments)</p>	<p>Consultation The general public had a reasonable degree of awareness of the organisation and, as expected, there was very low usage. Also, there was a reasonable level of feeling that the organisation has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that funding should continue. For service users the organisation is felt to make a difference primarily through the sharing of best practice. Recommendation To cease funding this service as it does not meet the service specifications. Impact Users felt that the main impacts of not having the service were loss of networking opportunities and specialist business expertise. The organisation believes that projects will be cut/reduced and new initiatives will be stopped Mitigation In line with the county, the engagement activities currently undertaken free could be charged to members. Alternatively, the organisation could seek alternative sources of funding</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
<p>Communication Unlimited £36,021</p>	<p>Provides subsidised British Sign Language (BSL) interpretation and deaf/blind communication support to assist individuals to access community facilities</p>	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 27 Number who had used the organisation: 10 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on to resident's wellbeing: 59 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 50 Service Users (33 survey responses + 2 Focus Meetings) The main differences that the organisation makes to the individual or their organisation are the ability to communicate and access to services (23 comments). Service users felt that cessation of the service would impact on their daily lives. In particular, individuals spoke about how much difficult it would become to access mainstream services (21 comments). Schools in particular also commented on the value of the organisation in helping them communicate to Deaf parents.</p>	<p>Consultation The general public had very low awareness, and usage, of the organisation. There was a low level of feeling that the organisation has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that it should continue to receive funding. The survey responses by service users were re-enforced by two focus groups. For service users the organisation is felt to make a difference through its interpreting service by giving customers the ability to communicate and access to a wide range of services.</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extend the existing agreement with Communication Unlimited for 12 months; 6 months at the current funding level, 6 months at 50% current funding level. During this period it is recommended that a new business model that obtains funding from organisations accessed by users is developed. • Provide funding to Communication Unlimited to undertake the awareness raising and access to information and advice activities identified as a service gap. • Ensure that the plan for the BSL service seeks to integrate with the action plan to improve services for deaf and hard of hearing/acquired deafness users currently being developed by the Disabled Peoples Forum working group. (Project group to include representatives from Deaf Forum, British Deaf Association, CamTAD).

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure that services to BSL users also link to developments in the Council's Customer Management Department to improve access for people who are deaf/hard of hearing. <p>Impact No impact for the first 6 months. After that, funding will be halved and all services subject to equalities legislation will have to begin to provide suitable access for people from the deaf community. If this does not occur, users may have to be charged for services.</p> <p>Mitigation Tapered funding supports the organisation to move to a new model of funding over a period of time allowing services to be maintained for customers whilst this transition takes place. In addition, the awareness raising and access to information and advice activities identified as a service gap should help to encourage organisations that interact with deaf people to implement or increase their access to a BSL service.</p>
Derby & Derbyshire Race & Equality Commission £61,245	Supports statutory and other agencies to ensure their services are accessible to people from Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) communities. Provides information	<p>General Public</p> <p>Number who had heard of organisation: 147</p> <p>Number who had used the organisation: 51</p> <p>Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 146</p>	<p>Consultation</p> <p>The general public had a reasonable degree of awareness, and usage, of the organisation. There was a reasonable level of feeling that the organisation has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that it should continue to receive funding. For users the organisation is felt to make a difference through the services it provides and the importance of</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
	<p>and support to people who have been victims of racial harassment.</p>	<p>Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 130 Service Users (97 responses) The organisation is felt to make a difference through the services it provides (55 comments) with several commenting on the importance of its cultural roots and culturally sensitive services (33 comments) Service users felt that cessation of the service would result in loss of support and information (67 comments) and impact on wellbeing (31 comments)</p>	<p>its cultural roots and culturally sensitive services. Recommendation To cease funding this organisation. To consult with representatives from BME and emerging community groups to develop proposals for a racial harassment service. Priorities will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A range of support options that maximise independence for people from BME and emerging communities to deal with racial harassment issues • Examining how existing BME and emerging community organisations can support victims of harassment to increase access to support. <p>Impact Loss of support and information for existing customers and potential impact on their wellbeing whilst new services/sources of support are put in place. The organisation believes that loss of funding would reduce case work, partnership and other projects, and potentially it will cease to exist</p> <p>Mitigation Grant funding has been identified to provide support for victims of race harassment. The City Council will use the Minority Communities Diversity Forum to ensure that services and policies reflect needs of local BME and Emerging Communities. Victims of racial harassment will be able to access support from local solicitors and police to ensure their legal rights are protected. General advice on how to access support is available from Community Legal Advice Centre.</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
Derby African Association £3,030	Lunch and social club for older people	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 94 Number who had used the organisation: 8 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 71 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 69</p> <p>Service Users (10 responses and a meeting attended by 13 people) The organisation is seen to make a difference by providing a place where the community meets (13 comments) and in the provision of services that are culturally sensitive (6 comments). Service users comments on cessation of the service were around 'losing something to do' (10 comments) and a further 9 comments mentioned the possible adverse impact on community relations and cohesion in Derby.</p>	<p>Consultation The general public had a relatively low level of awareness of the organisation and usage was very low. There was a fairly low level of feeling that the organisation has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that it should continue to receive funding. For service users the organisation is felt to make a difference through providing a place where the community meets and in the provision of services that are culturally sensitive.</p> <p>Recommendation To cease funding this organisation.</p> <p>Impact Cessation of service is seen by users as being around loss of something to do and as having adverse effects on community relations and cohesion. The organisation believes that it will cease to exist, new initiatives will stop and some older people will have no where to go.</p> <p>Mitigation It is the view of officers that setting charges to the minimum level recommended in the grant guidance should allow the organisation to continue to deliver the service.</p>
Derby Furniture Project £52,805	Recycled furniture and other goods for sale to low income families	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 175 Number who had used the organisation:</p>	<p>Consultation The general public has a high level of awareness, and usage of, the organisation. There was a high level of feeling that it has some impact or a big impact on</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
		<p>77 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 200 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 186 Service Users (32 responses) The organisation is felt to make a difference by providing furniture for purchase (19 comments) and helping clients (9 comments) Service users felt that cessation of the service would impact on poverty (15 comments) and they would have no furniture (13 comments).</p>	<p>resident's wellbeing and that the organisation should continue to receive funding. For service users, the organisation is felt to make a difference by providing furniture for purchase and helping clients Recommendation To cease funding this organisation as this service does not meet the service specifications. Impact Service users felt that cessation of the service would impact on poverty and they would have no furniture. There was no response from the organisation. Mitigation It is the view of officers that people seeking low cost furniture will have to identify alternative sources of supply in the private and voluntary sector. Also, the organisation could seek alternative sources of funding.</p>
Derby Minority Senior Citizen Society £4,040	Lunch club for Pakistani males	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 45 Number who had used the organisation: 4 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 75 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 72 Service Users (0 responses)</p>	<p>Consultation There is a very low level of awareness and very low use of the service by general public. There was a low level of feeling that it has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that the organisation should continue to receive funding. There was no response from users of the service. Recommendation To cease funding this organisation as their service does not meet the service specifications.</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
			<p>Impact Unknown as there was no response received from either the organisation or their service users.</p> <p>Mitigation It is the view of officers that the organisation needs to consider increasing charges to the minimum level recommended in the grant guidance which should allow the organisation to continue to deliver the service.</p>
<p>Derby West Indian Community Association £26,756</p>	<p>Provides a focal point for the community and co-ordinates the annual carnival. Provides information and advice, and supplies a venue for a social club.</p>	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 124 Number who had used the organisation: 47 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 108 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 95</p> <p>Service Users (31 responses and a meeting attended by 21 people) The organisation is felt to make a difference by providing cultural identity and cohesion (27 comments), with 19 comments identifying the positive impact of classes and facilities. Cessation of the service is seen by customers as a loss of something to do</p>	<p>Consultation The general public has a reasonable level of awareness, and usage of, the organisation. There was a reasonable level of feeling that it has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that the organisation should continue to receive funding. For service users, the organisation is felt to make a difference by providing cultural identity and cohesion and for the positive impact of classes and facilities.</p> <p>Recommendation To cease funding as community centre caretaking and infrastructure costs do not meet any of the service specifications.</p> <p>Impact Cessation of this service is seen by customers as a loss of something to do, with some customers feeling that it would have an impact on wellbeing and community/cohesion. The organisation believes that projects will be cut/reduced, new initiatives will be</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
		(24 comments), with some customers feeling that it would have an impact on wellbeing and community/cohesion (24 comments).	<p>stopped, paid posts will be reduced/cut and funding will have to be sourced elsewhere.</p> <p>Mitigation The organisation will seek funds from other charities, trusts and governmental bodies. It is the view of officers that the impacts could also be minimised by charging appropriate fees for services provided.</p>
Derby Wheel Blazers £4,000	Access to sporting activities for disabled people	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 49 Number who had used the organisation: 8 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 58 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 54</p> <p>Service Users (5 responses) The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by improving wellbeing and confidence (7 comments) and for providing sporting activities (4 comments). Customers felt that the impact of service cessation would be a lack of appropriate sporting activities (4 comments) and an effect on wellbeing (2 comments).</p>	<p>Consultation There is a low knowledge, and very low use, of this service by general public. There was a low level of feeling that it has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that it should continue to receive funding. There was a very low customer response. The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by improving wellbeing and confidence and for providing sporting activities.</p> <p>Recommendation To cease funding this organisation as their service does not meet the service specifications.</p> <p>Impact Customers felt that the impact of service cessation would be a lack of appropriate sporting activities and an effect on their wellbeing. There was no response from the organisation.</p> <p>Mitigation It is the view of officers that users will have to increase their contributions or the number of sessions per week</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
			will have to be reduced. Service users eligible for social care support will be able to use their personal budget to pay for this activity. Alternatively new funding sources will have to be found.
Derby Women's Centre £43,926	Provides a range of services including pregnancy testing, training, support groups and information and advice for women of all ages in Derby	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 183 Number who had used the organisation: 42 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 186 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 184</p> <p>Service Users (73 responses) The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by having a positive impact on personal wellbeing.(45 comments), advice and counselling (13 comments), and opportunities to gain skills and learning opportunities (11 comments) Users felt that cessation of the service would affect well-being (35 comments), services would be hard to get elsewhere (28 comments) and cause loss of confidence (13 comments).</p>	<p>Consultation A high number of the general public had heard of the organisation, but use of the service was relatively low. There is a high level of feeling that the service has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that it should continue to receive funding. The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by having a positive impact on personal well-being, providing advice and counselling, and offering opportunities to gain skills and learning opportunities.</p> <p>Recommendation To cease funding this organisation</p> <p>Impact Users felt that cessation of the service would affect well-being, cause loss of confidence, and services would be hard to get elsewhere. The organisation believes that the potential impacts are that projects and paid posts will be cut or reduced, new initiatives will be stopped, current premises may be lost, and it may cease to exist</p> <p>Mitigation The organisation is currently looking into a range of funding revenues, including trading on the open</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
			<p>market, and has enlisted the support of people with experience in this field.</p> <p>Some of the services provided are available from other organisations, e.g. Women's Work, Hadhari Nari and from the NHS.</p>
<p>Indian Community Centre £1,010</p>	<p>Lunch club for older Indian men</p>	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 94 Number who had used the organisation: 41 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 100 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 83 Service Users (0 responses)</p>	<p>Consultation A reasonable number of the general public had heard of the organisation, but use of the service was low. There was a reasonable level of feeling that the service has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and a relatively low level of feeling that it should continue to receive funding</p> <p>Recommendation To cease funding this organisation.</p> <p>Impact Unknown as there was no response from the organisation or users of the service.</p> <p>Mitigation It is the view of officers that setting charges to the minimum level recommended in the grant guidance should allow the organisation to continue to deliver the service.</p>
<p>PARC Play and Recycling Centre £12,133</p>	<p>Creative Arts recycling project including office furniture and re-paint</p>	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 82 Number who had used the organisation:</p>	<p>Consultation A relatively low number of the general public had heard of the organisation, but usage was reasonable. There was a reasonable level of feeling that the service has</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
	scheme	<p>54 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 146 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 125 Service Users (182 responses) The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by supporting art, particularly amongst vulnerable groups, by providing affordable materials (179 comments). In addition it is seen to help the environment by recycling products and materials (60 comments). Users felt that cessation of the service would have a knock on effect for organisations in the city providing art and craft activities. Some would have to cease activities (73 comments), whilst for others (82 comments) sessions would cost more to run</p>	<p>some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that it should continue to receive funding The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by supporting art, particularly amongst vulnerable groups, by providing affordable materials. In addition it is seen to help the environment by recycling products and materials. Recommendation To cease funding this organisation as its service is primarily aimed at recycling of materials for creative play and educational resources, and re-distribution of paint to disadvantaged people. Impact Users felt that cessation of the service would have a knock on effect for organisations in the city providing art and craft activities. Some would have to cease activities, whilst for others sessions would cost more to run The organisation's view of the potential impact is that projects and/or paid posts will be reduced or cut, new initiatives will be stopped and funding will have to be sourced from elsewhere or it may cease to exist. It also believes that reduction of services, opening hours and manpower, both voluntary and paid staff will be necessary. Membership and fees will have to be increased but this may cause cancellation of membership if opening hours are reduced. Mitigation Individuals and organisations that use the service will have to pay increased charges to access recycled</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
			materials and paint or seek alternative providers
<p>Relate Derby and Southern Derbyshire £13,261</p>	<p>Provides a range of counselling services for couples and individuals including telephone counselling, psychosexual therapy, family, contract counselling and a telephone helpline</p>	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 176 Number who had used the organisation: 42 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 184 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 185 Service Users (51 responses) The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers through mediation (31 comments), helping their family (16 comments) and help in being able to cope (14 comments). Some strongly expressed responses were given in response to what would happen if Relate's services were lost. 23 comments were around their relationship breaking down and 22 comments highlighted an impact on personal wellbeing.</p>	<p>Consultation There was a high level of awareness, and reasonable level of use, of this organisation by the general public. There is a high level of feeling that the service has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that it should continue to receive funding. The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers through providing mediation, help to their family and help in being able to cope. Recommendation To continue funding but with a 20% reduction in line with the Council's overall grant funding budget reduction. Impact Users felt that cessation of the service would have an impact through family/relationship breakdown and the effect on well-being. The organisation's view of the potential impact is that they will cease to exist, projects and/or paid posts will be reduced or cut, new initiatives will be stopped. They believe that in the short to medium term they will have to charge clients which will stop some people accessing the service. They also believe that they will have to turn people away, make redundancies and reduce overall access to a more limited service. Mitigation The City council provides only a small percentage of</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
			<p>Relate's total funding. The recommended reduction in funding may result in a smaller subsidised service which will need to focus on people with the highest level of need.</p>
<p>Simran Group £2,500</p>	<p>Asian women's social group</p>	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 24 Number who had used the organisation: 1 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 29 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 25 Service Users (0 survey responses and 1 meeting attended by 16 people) The organisation is felt to make a difference by enabling people to feel happy, to share problems, and by providing a meeting place away from problems. Users felt that cessation of the service would impact them and make them feel isolated, alone, stressed, lifeless and depressed and have to stay at home as no one else provides a similar service.</p>	<p>Consultation Public awareness and use of the organisation and its services was very low. There is a very low level of feeling that the service has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that it should continue to receive funding. There was no survey response from users of the service. A focus group was attended by 16 people who felt that the service had positive benefits including providing a meeting place where they could share problems with each other. Recommendation To cease funding this organisation Impact Users felt that cessation of funding would make them feel isolated, alone, stressed, lifeless and depressed and have to stay at home as no one else provides a similar service. There was no response to the survey from the organisation. Mitigation It is the view of officers that setting charges to the minimum level recommended in the grant guidance should allow the organisation to continue to deliver the</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
<p>Sinfin and Stenson Fields Asians Over 60 Social and Welfare Club £2,020</p>	<p>Social club for Indian men aged over 60.</p>	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 51 Number who had used the organisation: 6 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 72 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 69 Service Users (2 survey responses and 1 meeting attended by 7 members) The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by building integration, supporting the social and community structure and providing an opportunity to get together with people from a similar background and language. Users felt that cessation of the service may cause the group to split with county residents forming their own group.</p>	<p>service.</p> <p>Consultation There is a low level of knowledge, and very low use, of service by the general public. There was a low level of feeling that the organisation has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that it should continue to receive funding. There was a very low user survey response. A meeting was held with a number of group members. The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by building integration, supporting the social and community structure and providing an opportunity to get together with people from a similar background. There was good evidence that this organisation provided a valuable service in this part of the city for these citizens including elderly people with complex needs.</p> <p>Recommendation To continue funding the organisation at the current level as there is good evidence that this organisation provides a valuable service in this part of the city for these citizens including elderly people with complex needs. This organisation receives the majority of its funding from other funders. City residents who use the service are in the majority and hence the Council receives a disproportionately large benefit from a small outlay.</p> <p>Impact No impact as this organisation will be able to continue to provide the service for its users.</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
			<p>Mitigation No mitigation required.</p>
<p>Sound Bites (Vegetable Peddlers) £4,000</p>	<p>Subsidised, bicycle based vegetable and shopping delivery service.</p>	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 81 Number who had used the organisation: 24 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 88 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 79</p> <p>Service Users (8 survey responses) The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by them being able to receive fruit and vegetables where normally they might find them difficult to purchase (8 comments). Users felt that losing Vegetable Peddlers would lead to respondents having to find an alternative way to get fresh produce (3 comments) or their diet suffering (3 comments)</p>	<p>Consultation There was a low level of awareness, and very low usage, of this organisation by the general public. There was a relatively low level of feeling that the organisation has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and that it should continue to receive funding. For users the organisation is felt to make a difference through them being able to receive fruit and vegetables where normally they might find them difficult to purchase</p> <p>Recommendation To cease funding this organisation.</p> <p>Impact Users felt that if the service was to cease, they would have to find an alternative way to get fresh produce or that their diet would suffer. The organisation feels that projects and paid posts would be reduced/cut, new initiatives would stop and they would look for alternative sources of funding.</p> <p>Mitigation The organisation is looking for alternative sources of funding, but time to do this is limited. Alternatively they could raise prices, but they believe that this may exclude some users. In addition, they could use volunteers but this may impact on continuity and reliability of the service</p>

Organisation & Current Funding Value	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
Steps for the Future £3,030	Dance group for adults with learning disabilities	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 37 Number who had used the organisation: 7 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 47 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 44</p> <p>Service Users (10 survey responses and interviews with 24 students) The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by a valuable activity for its participants (8 survey comments) and an opportunity for socialising (7 survey comments). Users felt that cessation of the service may impact on their personal wellbeing (9 survey comments) and they would lose respite care (4 survey comments)</p>	<p>Consultation There was a low level of awareness, and very low use, of this organisation by the general public There was a low level of feeling that the organisation has some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and a very low level of feeling that it should continue to receive funding. The organisation is felt to make a difference for customers by a valuable activity for its participants and an opportunity for socialising.</p> <p>Recommendation To cease funding this organisation</p> <p>Impact Users felt that cessation of the service would impact on their personal well-being and they would lose respite care. The organisation will look to continue without Council funding.</p> <p>Mitigation People currently pay a contribution per session for this service. Current income is sufficient to cover costs to enable the service to continue to operate. People can continue to access this service via personal budgets or voluntary contributions. The organisation will seek alternative sources of funding.</p>

Arts

Organisation & Current	Service Description	Consultation Metrics	Consultation Outcomes
------------------------	---------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

Funding Value			
Derby Jazz £4,204	Promotion of performances by major jazz artists	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 75 Number who had used the organisation: 15 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing: 48 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 46</p> <p>Service Users (0 responses</p>	<p>Consultation There is a low level of awareness and very low use of the service by general public. A low number of people felt that the service had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and a very low number felt the organisation should continue to receive funding. There was no response from service users or the organisation</p> <p>Recommendation To cease funding the organisation</p> <p>Impact Reduction in live music performance in the city and associated education activity. Will have an impact on the vibrancy of cultural offer of city. Also a reduction in additional spend of concert goers on parking, food and drinks.</p> <p>Mitigation Derby Jazz submitted their grant application late. This was the reason an otherwise strong application was not recommended for funding. The organisation is eligible to apply for Small Arts Grant funding. This will replace a majority of the funding applied for in the short term, allowing the organisation to continue delivering its programme of activity.</p>
Surtal Arts £4,905	South Asian arts group providing culturally diverse activities that are open to all communities	<p>General Public Number who had heard of organisation: 49 Number who had used the organisation: 51 Number who felt the organisation had some impact or a big impact on</p>	<p>Consultation There is a low level of awareness of the service but a reasonable level of use by general public. A relatively low number of people felt that the service had some impact or a big impact on resident's wellbeing and a similar number felt the organisation should continue to receive funding. For service users, the organisation is felt to make a</p>

		<p>resident's wellbeing: 82 Number who felt the organisation should continue to receive funding: 79</p> <p>Service Users (48 responses) In addition to its primary role of providing cultural activities (20 responses) the organisation is seen to provide a service which is sensitive to minority cultures (15 comments) and in promoting community cohesion (12 comments).</p>	<p>difference by providing cultural activities and a service which is sensitive to minority cultures and in promoting community cohesion.</p> <p>Recommendation To cease funding the organisation but note comment under Mitigation below.</p> <p>Impact Cessation of the service is seen by customers as affecting the community and the promotion of cohesion in Derby and awareness of the artistic heritage of the South Asian community. It is also seen that cessation would remove the opportunity for taking part in a worthwhile activity and customers felt that they would lose something to do. The organisation believes that it will cease to exist, projects and paid posts will be cut/reduced, new initiatives will be stopped, and funding will have to be sourced elsewhere.</p> <p>Mitigation The impact of an action research project currently being undertaken by the organisation was considered by the grants panel. This aims to define a new strategic role and programme of activity for Surtal Arts in the city. It is recommended that consideration of future funding relationships with the organisation is deferred until the conclusion of this research project. The organisation also has the Small Arts Grant facility available to them.</p>
--	--	--	--