



DERBY CITY COUNCIL

SOCIAL CARE AND HEALTH COMMISSION
25 July 2005

Report of the Director of Corporate Services

Performance Monitoring Fourth Quarter 2004/05













RECOMMENDATION

- Members are asked consider and comment on key Performance Indicators related to Social Care and Health Commission

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- This report shows the Council's performance in the fourth quarter of 2004/05 as shown in the Performance Eye under the remit of this Commission. Members agreed at the last meeting of this Commission to closely monitor indicators shown red in the Performance Eye. Commentary on each of the red indicators given below has been taken from the Performance Eye.

Name	Value (Q4 04/05)	Target	Colour	Trend	On/off Target
AO/C28 (BV53, LPSA5.2, CPD4f) No. of households receiving intensive home care per 1000 aged 65+ (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005)	10.40	10			Exceeds Target
AO/C51 (BV201, CPD4g) No. of adults/older people receiving direct payments per 100,000 population (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005)	87.50	80			Exceeds Target
AO/D55 (BV195) % of new older clients whose assessments are carried out in the required timescale (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005)	76.30 %	80			On Target
AO/D56 (BV196) % of new older clients whose care packages are delivered in the required timescale (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005)	88.30 %	95%			Off Target
BV197 - Change in the number of conceptions to females aged under 18 (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005)	-22.60 % (FY 04/05)	23.70			On Target

BV221a (new 05/06) - % of young people gaining a recorded outcome (Master BVPI Set 2005 - 2006)					
BV221b (new 05/06) - % of young people gaining an accredited outcome (Master BVPI Set 2005 - 2006)					
CF/A1 (BV49, LPSA12.13, CPD4c) % of children looked after with 3+ placements in the year (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005)	8.40 %	9			Exceeds Target
CF/A2 (BV50) % of young people leaving care with at least 1 GCSE or a GNVQ (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005)	58.80 %	44			Exceeds Target
CF/C20 (BV162) % of child protection cases which were reviewed (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005)	96.50 %	100			On Target
CF/C23 (BV163) % of looked after children adopted (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005)	6.40 %	9%			Off Target
LPSA 4.1 Adoptions of children looked after cumulatively (LPSA 1)	21.00	133			Off Target
LPSA 4.2 - No. of adopter applicants approved cumulatively (LPSA 1)	18.00	93			Off Target

Values within +/- 5% are considered to be on target

Commentary

- AO/D56 (BV196) % of new older clients whose care packages are delivered in the required timescale (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005).**
Throughout this year we have had very good band 5 performance. We have improved on the Quarter 3 figure of 83.1% and have maintained our very good band 5 status at year end with figure of 88.3%. This is significantly better performance than our comparator family authority average.
- CF/C23 (BV163) % of looked after children adopted (Master BVPI Set 2004 - 2005)**
C23 is a cumulative indicator and we have made steady progress over 04/05 year. After Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 figures of 1.2% and 2.7% respectively, there was a significant improvement in Quarter 3 to give us 4.6%. On our projected trend we were predicted to achieve 6% which is satisfactory band 3 performance. We have reached this figure. This indicator is subject to court related decisions that can be beyond our control to influence. Previous years very good performance has meant that

a higher proportion of “harder to place” children are in this years cohort. Due to the early Easter break in 2005, six adoptions took place in 05/06 rather than 04/05 due the unavailability of court time. Had the six adoptions taken place in 04/05 the indicator would have been 8.2% providing top band performance. This also illustrates the variability in performance due to small numbers of children within these cohorts.

3. LPSA 4.1 Adoptions of children looked after cumulatively (LPSA 1)

Our LPSA stretch targets were stretched from a basis of previous very high performance, comparative to our family of LASSDs. Despite some small improvement compared to our estimated ‘without LPSA’ performance, we did not make 60% of the stretched threshold for target 4. Performance was held back at the start of the three years by the onset of significant recruitment and retention difficulties in the care planning and adoptions services, which affected our capacity to develop the service, and at times by delays in care and adoption proceedings. Our problems reflect the national position. Clearly, we have not tried, in terms of our primary concern for the interests of the child, and the need to avoid disruption, to meet the target with inappropriate or rushed placements. Despite failure to meet the targets, the investment of LPSA resources ensures we are now well placed to continue to improve this service.

4. LPSA 4.2 - No. of adopter applicants approved cumulatively (LPSA 1)

Our LPSA stretch targets were stretched from a basis of previous very high performance, comparative to our family of LASSDs. Despite some small improvement compared to our estimated ‘without LPSA’ performance, we did not make 60% of the stretched threshold for target 4. Performance was held back at the start of the three years by the onset of significant recruitment and retention difficulties in the care planning and adoptions services, which affected our capacity to develop the service, and at times by delays in care and adoption proceedings. Our problems reflect the national position. Clearly, we have not tried, in terms of our primary concern for the interests of the child, and the need to avoid disruption, to meet the target with inappropriate or rushed placements. Despite failure to meet the targets, the investment of LPSA resources ensures we are now well placed to continue to improve this.

For more information contact:	Mahroof Hussain 01332 255597 e-mail mahroof.Hussain@derby.gov.uk
Background papers:	None
List of appendices:	Appendix 1 - Implications

IMPLICATIONS

Financial

1. None.

Legal

2. None resulting from this report.

Personnel

3. None.

Equalities impact

4. None.

Corporate objectives and priorities for change

5. This report links to the following of the Councils corporate objectives and priorities for change:

Corporate Objectives: **Healthy, safe and independent communities**

Priorities for Change: **Modernising social care.**