

Time began: 4.00pm  
Time ended: 5.21pm

## **COUNCIL CABINET 15 March 2023**

Present                      Councillor Barker (Chair)  
                                    Councillors Eyre, J Pearce, Smale, Webb and Williams

In attendance              Councillors Graves, Repton, Shanker and Skelton  
                                    Emily Feenan – Director of Legal, Procurement and  
                                    Democratic Services  
                                    Pauline Melvin – Director of Learning Inclusion and Skills  
                                    Alison Parkin – Director of Financial Services  
                                    Verna Bayliss – Director of Planning Transport and  
                                    Engineering  
                                    Catherine Williams – Head of Regeneration and Major Projects  
                                    Sarah Banks – Project Co-ordinator  
                                    Ian Fullagar – Head of Strategic Housing  
                                    Naomi Doughty – Environment Agency  
                                    Alyson Koe – Communications Officer  
                                    Omar Aslam – Youth Mayor  
                                    Muhammad Muntasir – Youth Mayor Elect  
                                    Harman Kaur – Deputy Youth Mayor Elec

This record of decisions was published on 17 March 2023. The key decisions set out in this record will come into force and may be implemented on the expiry of five clear days unless a key decision is called in.

### **193/22     Apologies**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Hassall and Poulter and Paul Simpson – Chief Executive, Andy Smith – Strategic Director of People and Rachel North – Strategic Director of Communities and Place.

### **194/22     Late Items**

There were no late items.

### **195/22     Receipt of Petitions**

There were no petitions.

### **196/22     Identification of Urgent Items to which Call In will not                                     apply**

There were no items.

## 197/22    Declarations of Interest

Councillor Webb declared an interest in item 11 Adults Social Care Fees and Charges because he was a trustee of Liversage Trust and chair of the Care Home Committee.

## 198/22    Minutes of the meeting held on 15 February 2023

The minutes of the meeting held on 15 February 2023 were agreed as a correct record.

## Matters Referred

### 199/22    Recommendations from the Executive Scrutiny Board

The Council Cabinet considered a report on Recommendations from the Executive Scrutiny Board. The Executive Scrutiny Board met and discussed items contained within the Council Cabinet Agenda. The report enabled the views and recommendations resulting from these discussions to be formally shared with Council Cabinet.

#### **Decision**

To receive the report and consider the recommendations alongside the relevant report.

## Key Decisions

### 200/22    Our City Our River Update on Derby Riverside

The Council Cabinet considered a report which provided an update on the work programme approved by Council Cabinet at their meeting in February 2020 and to secure approval for the next phase of OCOR's work programme, focussing on the Derby Riverside section of Package 2.

The Executive Scrutiny Board noted the report.

#### **Options considered**

The 2015 Hybrid planning application comprised proposals for the creation of a flood conveyance corridor to the rear of the three office buildings along Stuart St., the proposal also included the demolition of Exeter House. The 2015 proposals had been revisited and many alternative options to achieve flood mitigation measures and enhance regeneration opportunities for this key central location had been explored. Consideration of the options had included the extensive use of the

flood modelling tool operation and maintenance, speed of delivery, buildability, longer term vision, regeneration ambitions for Derby. The comparison between the preferred option and the 2015 hybrid were set out in the 2020 Council Cabinet Report.

## **Decision**

1. To note the successful delivery of OCOR works to date as outlined in Appendix 1 and the financial position of OCOR as detailed in paragraph 7.3 of the report.
2. To approve the land assembly strategy outlined in paragraphs 4.22 to 4.24 of the report, required to deliver the Derby Riverside flood mitigation measures (“Derby Riverside”), including the land and interests identified on the Plan included as Appendix 2 of the report, which also would provide the basis for use of CPO powers should such be necessary.
3. Subject to approval through the Council’s Project Gateway processes, to delegate authority to the Strategic Director of Communities and Place following consultation with the Strategic Director of Corporate Resources and the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Decarbonisation, Strategic Planning and Transport to take all actions necessary within the grant funding available to deliver the proposed work programme as outlined in paragraphs 4.26 to 4.32 of the report. To note the risk of potential for abortive spend should the Derby Riverside stage of Package 2 not be delivered.
4. To delegate authority to the S151 Officer following consultation with the Strategic Director of Communities and Place and the Cabinet Member for Digital, Finance and Culture, to accept the allocation of a further £1m of funding from the Environment Agency (EA) to support the completion of OCOR Derby Riverside, subject to them being satisfied on the conditions of the grant.

## **Reasons**

1. To provide information to Council Cabinet about the successful delivery of the OCOR programme to date, including progress on delivering the work programme approved by Council Cabinet in February 2020.
2. To enable the proposed delivery programme for the successful completion of the Derby Riverside stage of Package 2 to proceed, in order to meet our commitments to our funding partners. The next stage of the work programme would enable greater cost certainty on the Derby Riverside flood mitigation measures.
3. Following further design works for Derby Riverside, the Plan considered by Council Cabinet in February 2020 detailing the land required for delivery of Derby Riverside needed to be updated. This revised Plan would form the basis of the Council’s use of Compulsory Purchase powers if required to guarantee delivery.

## 201/22    Redevelopment of Drewry Lane Car Park

The Council Cabinet considered a report which proposed the closure and redevelopment of Drewry Lane car park, owing to the very low level of usage, the ample car parking capacity in the area, and the urgent need for affordable homes in Derby.

The report noted that a scheme had been designed to deliver eleven apartments and six houses for Council rental on the site and was shortly to be submitted for planning approval.

The report sought the necessary financial and contractual approvals to deliver the scheme.

The Executive Scrutiny Board noted the report.

### **Options considered**

1. Do nothing. The car park would remain open and continue to generate a minimal revenue. It would continue to contribute to a surplus of car parking spaces around the edges of the city centre and would essentially continue to be a poor use of space, and a missed opportunity to deliver against the Council's strategic objectives.
2. Dispose of the site for commercial development. We had assumed the site would be unattractive for development of commercial space, given its limited size, awkward highway access, and that the adjacent houses would limit the scale and massing of development.
3. Dispose of the site for residential development. We assumed the site would be unlikely to be attractive to a private residential developer, as sale prices were not high enough, neighbouring houses restricted the height and density of building, and a developer would struggle to create a viable development that provided sufficient return on investment. The costs of the proposed Council homes would outweigh their open market value, which was not feasible for a private developer. Any development of more than 14 dwellings would also incur a section 106 affordable housing contribution. It was likely that any capital receipt from sale of the site would be minimal.
4. Develop the site in partnership with a Registered Provider or other party. Although the Council does have valuable partnerships with other Registered Providers of Social Housing (i.e. housing associations), this site could contribute to the strategic aim to deliver more Council housing and, as funding was available in-house, there was no particular benefit to a partnering route.

## **Decision**

1. To delegate authority to the Strategic Director of Communities and Place, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Decarbonisation, Strategic Planning & Transport, to permanently close Drewry Lane car park to public use, and to make all necessary arrangements in respect of this.
2. To declare Drewry Lane car park to be surplus to the Council's car parking requirements.
3. To delegate authority to the Strategic Director of Corporate Resources to transfer Drewry Lane car park from the Council's General Fund to the Housing Revenue Account, and to agree the appropriation value that should be transferred in exchange.
4. To approve the creation of the project budget as defined in the confidential version of the report, and to note that this could be funded within the overall value of the current HRA capital programme.
5. To note that internal approval of the Full Business Case by the Council's PMO Board would be required before contracts for delivery are entered into.
6. To delegate authority to the Strategic Director of Corporate Resources, following consultation with the Strategic Director of Communities and Place and the Cabinet Member for Adults, Health and Housing, to enter into all contracts and agreements necessary to deliver the scheme within the approved budget.
7. To note that, subject to the final approved design, a Traffic Regulation Order may be required in order to change the waiting restrictions on Talbot Street.
8. To note that a Stopping Up Order would be required in order to remove a small area of landscaping from the public highway.

## **Reasons**

1. To facilitate the delivery of new affordable homes to meet the high level of need in Derby.
2. To support the vitality of Derby city centre by redeveloping brownfield land and growing the resident population.
3. To ensure the best value use of the Council's assets.
4. To comply with the Council's Financial Regulations and Contract Procedure Rules.

## 202/22 Infrastructure Programme Board Capital Programme

The Council Cabinet considered a report which stated that as part of the development of the 2023/24-2025/26 budget, considered by Council on 18 January 2023, our capital programme went through a process of review in 2022. This was in response to both the increasing revenue pressures faced by the Council, the soaring cost of inflation and energy, construction material and labour costs, industry shortages, and the significant capital slippage over the last two years.

The Highways and Transport programme was highlighted in the Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) report (section 4.9) because of the levels of slippage, the work undertaken as part of the review and the resulting improvements proposed.

As set out in the budget report (item 4.9.11), this programme had had a reduction in planned capital budget. The report took these changes into account and set out the following proposed 2023/24 work programmes for approval, which included reprofiled and slipped budgets from 2022/23 (see Table 1 of the report):

- Highways and Transport (H&T) £12.656m
- Vehicles, Plant and Equipment (VPE) £7.038m
- Flood Defence (FD) £0.436m

The H&T programme included a provisional 3-year Asset Management programme for 2023/24, 2024/25, and 2025/26, as required by the Department for Transport (DfT) and in accordance with sound asset management principles.

The Executive Scrutiny Board resolved to ask Council Cabinet to arrange for the 'five year cycle' to be a rolling programme with annual updates, not a fixed five year period followed by another five year programme, so that there is greater ease to balance workloads and respond to changing priorities.

### Options considered

The development of the H&T programme had involved the consideration of various schemes for inclusion. The draft programme was the best fit to the objectives of Local Transport Plan LTP3 and the Council's MTFP, with the level of budget available. It also contributed to our statutory functions.

The VPE and FD programmes had been developed in consideration against Council objectives, Streetpride service standards and statutory obligations. They offered the maximum value for money considering available budgets.

### Decision

1. To approve the proposed Highways and Transport programme for 2023/24, which included Asset Management schemes for 2023/24 as detailed in appendix 1 of the report and note the indicative Asset Management schemes for future years as detailed in appendix 4 (2024/25) and appendix 5 (2025/26) of the report.

2. To approve the proposed Vehicle, Plant and Equipment programme for 2023/24, as provided in appendix 2 of the report.
3. To approve that no new funds be allocated to the Flood Defence programme for 2023/24, as detailed further in paragraph 4.10 of the report.
4. To delegate authority to the Strategic Director for Communities and Place, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Streetpride and Public Spaces and the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Decarbonisation, Strategic Planning and Transport and the Section 151 officer, to respond to changing priorities through the year by introducing new schemes or bringing forward schemes to replace others, within the scope of the latest approved budget. In line with financial procedure rules, subject to funding being available, any new scheme(s) to be added to the capital programme would seek Council Cabinet approval for the addition.
5. To accept the recommendation from the Executive Scrutiny Board to arrange for the 'five year cycle' to be a rolling programme with annual updates, not a fixed five year period followed by another five year programme, so that there is greater ease to balance workloads and respond to changing priorities.

## **Reasons**

1. Approval and noting of indicative work programmes prior to the start of 2023/24 financial year allowed effective planning and programming, particularly for asset management schemes allocated in 2024/25 and 2025/26. This allowed a move away from design and delivery in the same year and meant schemes could be delivered in the best possible way and achieve value for money. Having sight of indicative programmes facilitated the early identification of any risks to delivery, which would enable us to review and monitor risks and costs more efficiently and effectively.
2. In the interests of effective programme management, it was appropriate to maintain the delegated approvals as outlined in the Infrastructure Board Terms of Reference as provided in appendix 6 of the report.

## **203/22 Adult Social Care Fees and Charges**

The Council Cabinet considered a report which stated that under the Care Act 2014, Councils have a duty to ensure that there was a sustainable and affordable social care market locally. This included establishing fee levels that providers would expect to receive for commissioned care that was delivered to meet a person's needs. To ensure that the Council was aware of and taking account of the cost pressures affecting local providers of care, engagement and consultation with independent sector providers had been undertaken in recent months. This had been focused on those providers operating "standard" care i.e. not those dealing with more complex levels of care. The report summarised the engagement findings, the reported cost pressures and feedback from providers. In addition, the Council had also drawn on the intelligence gleaned from those providers who took part in the national Fair Cost of Care exercise as part of the government's Adult

Social Care reforms agenda. Whilst the charging elements of this had been deferred, the Council had used some of the information gathered from care providers to inform its approach to setting fee rates for 2023/24.

The report set out details of the funding model itself and the proposed rates for 2023/24 for standard residential / nursing care and domiciliary care.

This report also set out the proposed charges for Council-provided adult social care services for 2023/24, which formed the annual review referred to in the Council's Adult Social Care Charging Policy. It should be noted that the full cost of care was only paid by around a tenth of customers, the majority were subsidised following the outcome of a Financial Assessment.

The Executive Scrutiny Board noted the report.

### **Options considered**

1. The Council could determine not to award any inflationary increase to the fees it pays for in-house and independent sector care. This was not being recommended as having a sustainable and viable social care market was vital to ensuring that the Council could discharge its statutory duties in relation to vulnerable adults.
2. The Council could decide not to increase the charges it levied for in-house and independent sector care. This was not being recommended as it would create an unmanageable pressure in the adult social care budget.
3. The Council could decide not to exercise the powers granted in the Care Act 2014 to levy administrative charges for certain activities. This was not being recommended as it would create an unmanageable pressure in the adult social care budget.

### **Decision**

1. To approve a 7.1% increase for standard residential care and nursing care weekly fee rates (net of the Registered Nursing Care contribution) from April 2023.
2. To approve a 6.5% increase for domiciliary care service fees from April 2023.
3. To approve an increase to the charging rates for Council-provided services of 3.0% with the exception of the Shared Lives Carer service which it was proposed would be increased by 6.5% from April 2023 to match the home care increase.
4. To approve an increase in existing Direct Payments, where an inflationary uplift was requested and evidenced, *of up to 6.5%*, which mirrored the proposed uplift for homecare/care at home providers.

5. To approve that all the new rates would apply from the date of the rise in benefits for 2023/24, which was expected to be 10<sup>th</sup> April 2023.
6. To delegate authority to the Strategic Director of People Services following consultation with the Leader of the Council to agree fee levels on an individual basis for complex customers placed with specialist providers and subject to non standard contracts, within the overall budget of Adult Social Care Services.
7. To note the Council's intentions with regard the outcome of the national Fair Cost of Care exercise in light of the deferment of the charging reforms.

## **Reasons**

1. The Council must publish its intended fees for care taking into account market conditions and cost pressures. The Care Act 2014 requires this as part of Council's "market shaping" duties which also required Councils to hold sufficient intelligence about their local care market. General information and consultation feedback from independent sector care providers had therefore been gathered, analysed, largely focusing on specific inflationary and cost pressures affecting care businesses.
2. Maintaining income levels for Council-provided services was crucial to the management of the overall budget for the Council. This included considering whether care services that were directly provided need to have their charges increased in line with inflation.
3. The proposed start date for all 2023/24 fees and charges aligns with the date that the Department of Work and Pensions (DWP) benefit rates were expected to change.
4. Specialist fee levels for people with more complex needs did not fit into the standard fee frameworks. Instead, these were individually set and agreed with providers at the point at which a contract for care is entered into. These did not lend themselves to be considered for a standard approach given they were bespoke to each individual.

Having declared an interest in the above item Councillor Webb left the meeting during the discussion and voting thereon.

## **204/22 Statutory Consultation on the Future Viability of Ashgate Nursery School**

The Council Cabinet considered a report which stated that Ashgate Nursery School was located on the outskirts of the Mackworth Ward, just off Ashbourne Road, and had been federated with Central Nursery School for many years. The nurseries shared the same Headteacher and Governing Body but had separate financial budgets. Both nurseries have a 'Good' Ofsted judgement.

Ashgate Nursery School had, unfortunately, experienced falling numbers of children on roll over several years. The COVID-19 pandemic had also had a significant impact on the take up of early years provision at Ashgate Nursery School. In addition, as more parents now routinely worked from home, this had reduced the number of parents purchasing additional childcare sessions. Income from these sessions helped support running costs and the nursery's financial position. All of these factors had impacted significantly on the projected income streams of Ashgate Nursery School.

The Council had worked together closely with the Governing Body of Ashgate Nursery School and Central Nursery School to try to find a solution but this had not been possible due to the scale of the financial deficit, which had continued to increase over the years, and was forecast to increase further. To minimise any potential disruption to children, parents and families, the proposal was to keep Central Nursery School open, as it had space available to accommodate the children attending Ashgate Nursery School.

Following Council Cabinet approval on 7 December 2022, initial consultation had now taken place on the proposal to consider the future viability of Ashgate Nursery School. Consultation was undertaken over a seven-week period, with the Consultation Document circulated widely to all key stakeholders and interested parties. The Consultation Document and response form were also made available online on 'Let's Talk Derby.' A copy of the Consultation Document was attached as Appendix 1 to the report.

A total of 225 responses were received during the consultation period. Of those responses, 204 (91%) were in objection to the proposal. A summary of responses received was outlined in Appendix 2 of the report. In addition, detailed responses were received from the Governing Body of Ashgate Nursery School and Central Nursery School (Appendix 3 of the report) and from UNISON (Appendix 4 of the report), both objecting to the proposal. In order to thoroughly consider the implications, a comprehensive Equalities Impact Assessment had been carried out on the proposal, as set out in Appendix 5 to the report.

In view of the ongoing low occupancy levels and now irreversible financial difficulties at Ashgate Nursery School, the proposal was for Council Cabinet to consider approval to progress to the next stage of the consultation process. This included the publication of a required statutory notice, and a further four-week representation period. Subject to approval, the statutory notice would be published in May 2023. The outcome of the statutory consultation would then be reported to Council Cabinet in July 2023, when a final decision would be taken on the proposal.

The Executive Scrutiny Board recommended to Council Cabinet.

1. That in light of the overwhelming opposition to the controversial proposal and the evidence contained in the report, any closure plan simply cannot be justified. We therefore recommend that Council Cabinet immediately removes the threat of closure of the much loved nursery and ends the worry and concern that many families and children are currently experiencing.

2. To ask Council Cabinet to ensure that there is good recognition of the Early Years Inclusion Fund by all early years providers in Derby to help ensure young children with emerging SEND are able to remain in a stable learning setting.

### **Options considered**

1. The Council had worked closely with Central and Ashgate Nursery Schools to seek other options, but no other viable options had been found for addressing the financial difficulties.
2. There was an option to stop the proposal and not proceed to the statutory consultation phase. However, it had not been possible to develop a sustainable financial model and the significant financial challenges were presenting a risk to both Ashgate Nursery School and Central Nursery School.

### **Decision**

1. To approve the publication of a statutory notice on a proposal to close Ashgate Nursery School from January 2024.
2. To approve a further four-week statutory consultation on a proposal to close Ashgate Nursery School from January 2024.
3. To note that, subject to the approval of 2.1 and 2.2 (above), the outcome of the statutory consultation would be reported to Council Cabinet in July 2023 for a final decision to be made on whether or not to close Ashgate Nursery School.
4. To reject the recommendations from the Executive Scrutiny Board.

### **Reasons**

1. The Local Authority had a statutory duty, under Section 7 of the Childcare Act 2006, to provide sufficient funded places for eligible children to access. If a decision was taken that Ashgate Nursery School was financially unviable and should close, there were alternative maintained nursery places available at Central Nursery School, and in other areas of the City, for any potentially affected children to access a place. Information on early education and childcare providers within one mile of Ashgate Nursery School, including Ofsted ratings, was set out in Appendix 6 of the report.
2. The Council was committed to delivering and funding services that evidence value for money. In view of the ongoing low occupancy levels and irreversible financial difficulties at Ashgate Nursery School, the proposal was for Council Cabinet to consider approval to progress to the next stage of the consultation process.

## 205/22 Special Educations Needs and Disability (SEND) 'Living My Best Life' Capital Programme in Derby Phase 2

The Council Cabinet considered a report which stated that in July 2022 Council Cabinet approved proposed use of Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND) Capital Funding to create further places at Ivy House and Kingsmead Special Schools at phase 1. In December 2022 the Living My Best Life capital programme delivered the approval of phase 1a with the expansion and remodelling of St Clare's Special School, the Hub and Spoke -model and further support for the Inclusion HUBs.

The purpose of this report was to seek the agreement to progress with phase 2; namely the remodelling and expansion of the primary pupil referral unit (PRU). This was one of the proposals based on the consultation which closed on 12 January 2022 for which 223 responses were received. The responses were overwhelmingly in support of the Derby proposals presented in the report and supported by the Equality Impact Assessment (EIA).

The SEND capital programme was designed to increase places in specialist provision in Derby and build capacity at the early intervention or graduated response in mainstream schools; it was essential that both elements were developed concurrently.

The key design principles of the SEND capital programme were as follows:

- To strengthen the graduated response through the development of Inclusion Hubs in mainstream schools.
- To expand existing specialist school provision.
- To build additional specialist provision if required.

The proposals outlined in the report were a continuation from phases 1 and 1a of the programme following development of the Social Emotional Mental Health (SEMH) strategy and provision for the city and the receipt of the feasibility studies of Newton's Walk provision in Kingsmead School. These key proposals in this phased approach were based on the priority to secure Derby children and young people with SEND a specialist place to match the need in a Derby school.

The expansion and re-modelling of Newton's Walk former primary PRU would allow Kingsmead School to provide specialist support for learners with SEMH through a varied provision and curriculum offer. These included special school placements, fulltime shared placements with a mainstream school, hospital/medical placements, alternative provision, personalised programme packages and provision for permanently excluded pupils.

It was forecast that Phase 2 would ensure additional provision was available within the City starting from April 2024:

## **Phase 2 LMBL Capital Programme from April 2024 Kingsmead Special School (SEMH), for key stages 3 and 4**

There would be an improved SEMH offer with additional places- 25 additional places from April 2024, increasing the commissioned number to 310 in total.

## **Phase 2 LMBL Capital Programme from April 2024 Alternative Provision (AP); Kingsmead Special School, for key stages 3 and 4**

Kingsmead Special School would be able to offer an alternative provision for SEMH learners whilst on roll at a mainstream school. This would allow schools to purchase up to 20 personalised packages as part of the graduated response offer. This does not impact the commissioned number for Kingsmead School.

The Executive Scrutiny Board noted the report.

### **Options considered**

Do-nothing: There was increased demand for support for SEN Learners arising from the 2014 SEND reforms and the lack of appropriate provision in mainstream and specialist settings. Without additional provision within the City there would be a continued need to place learners outside the City in more expensive placements and associated transport costs. A do-nothing option would severely limit the ability to implement a graduated response to individual learners

### **Decision**

1. To approve the refurbishment and extension of former Newton's Walk PRU, which would allow Kingsmead School to offer the following specialist provision;
  - a) Kingsmead School to offer an extended specialist provision for SEMH;
  - b) Kingsmead School to offer alternative provision, shared placements and personalised programmes for learners on SEND support strengthening graduated response within the mainstream offer.
2. To note that delivery of Phase 2 would be assessed through the gateway process before adding to capital programme.
3. To delegate authority to the Director of Legal, Procurement and Democratic Services following consultation with the Strategic Director of People Services to enter into all necessary grant agreements and ancillary documentation to deliver Phase 2.
4. To approve the amendment of the 2022/2023-2023/24 capital programme for the schemes outlined in Appendix 1 of the report and any necessary

contingencies within the approved SEND Capital subject to Programme Management Officer (PMO) process.

## **Reasons**

In accordance with Financial Procedure Rules, this amendment to the Council's capital programme was required to be reported and approved by Council Cabinet.

## **Contract and Financial Procedure Matters**

### **206/22 Compliance with Contract and Financial Procedure Rules**

The Council Cabinet considered a report and an addendum which dealt with the following items which required reporting to and approval by Council Cabinet under the Contract and Financial Procedure Rules.

- Proposed additional capital works at Peartree Infant School and Walbrook Nursery School and associated funding.
- Acceptance of the 2023/24 award for the Household Support Fund and associated delegated authority.
- Submission of bids to Round 2 of the One Public Estates (OPE) Brownfield Land Release Fund (BLRF2).

The Executive Scrutiny Board noted the report.

## **Options considered**

BLRF2 - The Council could decide not to bid; however, this was not considered the best option as this fund represents a good opportunity to enable bringing forward Council-owned sites for redevelopment.

## **Decision**

1. To delegate authority to the Strategic Director for People Services, the Section 151 Officer and Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Skills, to agree terms with Pear Tree Infant School, Walbrook Nursery School and the Department for Education, and sign a Third-Party Contribution Letter to the Department for Education in respect to proposed additional capital works as outlined in section 4.1 of the report.
2. To approve acceptance of a school indicative contribution to the Council of £0.590m towards the Department for Education Schools Rebuilding Programme project for the proposed capital works as outlined in section 4.1 of the report.

3. To approve the acceptance of the 2023/24 award for the Household Support Fund of £4.449m and approve delegated authority of the final details of the scheme to the Strategic Director of People Services and the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Skills, as outlined in section 4.2 of the report.
4. To delegate authority to the Strategic Director of Communities and Place, following consultation with the S151 Officer and Cabinet Members for Adults, Health and Housing, Finance, Digital and Culture and Regeneration, Decarbonisation, Strategic Planning and Transport to agree the detail of and submission of bids for the One Public Estates Brownfield Land Release Fund, as outlined in section 4.3 of the report.
5. To note that if any of the One Public Estates bids are successful, a report with full details would be brought back to Council Cabinet to seek approval to accept the funding, secure the relevant capital and revenue budgets (including match funding requirements), and the contractual arrangements and conditions associated with the funding offer to enable it to be accepted, as outlined in section 4.3 of the report.

## **Reasons**

To comply with Financial and Contract Procedure Rules.

## **207/22 Exclusion of Press and Public**

**Resolved that under Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting during discussion of the following item on the grounds that it involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act and that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.**

## **Key Decision**

### **208/22 Redevelopment of Drewry Lane Car Park**

The Council Cabinet considered exempt information in relation to the redevelopment of Drewry Lane car park.

The Executive Scrutiny Board noted the report.

**MINUTES END**