## COUNCIL CABINET <br> 31 OCTOBER 2006

Cabinet Member for Corporate Policy

## Treasury Management Progress Report

## SUMMARY

1.1 Treasury Management activity during the year has resulted in further savings for the Council, as a result of increasing interest rates for investment and lower borrowing rates, as well as a restructuring of existing debts.
1.2 The prudential indicators need further updating commensurate with the latest changes to the capital programme.
1.3 A minor update to the Treasury Strategy is required to make the formal documentation more consistent.
1.4 Subject to any issues raised at the meeting, I support the following recommendations:

## RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 To note the progress report on Treasury Management during 2006/07.
2.2 To clarify that the Treasury Management Strategy allows all top twenty building societies to have a counterparty limit for investments of at least $£ 6 \mathrm{~m}$.
2.3 That the Cabinet recommend to the Council the adoption of updated Prudential Indicators at Appendix 2.

## Treasury Management Progress Report

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

1. This report updates the Cabinet on progress in Treasury Management so far this financial year. There has been substantial activity since the strategy was set at the Council Cabinet on 21 February and subsequently approved by Council on 1 March 2006.

2 Borrowing
2.1 The existing strategy for borrowing takes account of the latest regulatory framework, the existing borrowing structure, the potential borrowing requirement for the year, sources of new borrowing and external factors influencing borrowing decisions.
2.2 The original strategy for 2006/07 identified an increase in the Council's net borrowing requirement of $£ 23 \mathrm{~m}, £ 15 \mathrm{~m}$ of which had been borrowed during 2005/06 as approved by Cabinet, resulting in a maximum borrowing requirement for the year of $£ 8 \mathrm{~m}$.
2.3 As a result of the advice received from our Treasury advisers, reported as part of the Treasury outturn report on 1 August 2006, and changes to the capital programme reported on 5 September 2006, and again on 3 October 2006 the required level of borrowing has increased to $£ 20.2 \mathrm{~m}$.
2.4 This change will require the prudential indicators to be updated and approved by Council. These are set out at Appendix 2 to this report.

## 3 Factors influencing borrowing decisions

3.1 In early 2006, Butlers, the Council's treasury management advisers, produced their economic outlook and interest rate forecasts for 2006/07. Their advice at that time was that long-dated PWLB debt offered the best value for borrowing, and that commitment to medium-dated debt should be avoided. PWLB rates were expected to rise slightly during 2006/07, but to drop back to current levels towards the end of the financial year.
3.2 The Strategy for 2006/07 considered that variable debt would be avoided even though rates are currently low. A substantive reliance on variable debt would leave the Council exposed to market fluctuations, and therefore does not minimise risk. Increases in rates during this year demonstrate the risks that are faced when taking variable rate debt.
4.1 There is also a need to achieve a debt maturity profile that reduces exposure to market changes in any one year. No more than $15 \%$ of the debt portfolio should mature in any one year.
4.2 The Strategy determined that the Council should continue with its approach of taking mostly long-dated fixed rate debt, where borrowing is necessary, with the current preference for long- over medium- and short-dated loans being subject to review if market conditions change. This approach was seen to be more attractive, given the availability of newly introduced 50-year PWLB loans, on which the rates of interest have been generally even lower than for the previous maximum length of PWLB loan of 30 years.

## 5 Sources of Borrowing

5.1 The authority can meet its financing requirement by a combination of borrowing from external sources and the use of funds generated internally. If the authority chooses to borrow externally, it can use either the money market or the Public Works Loans Board, PWLB. Funds created internally have only one primary source. This is the amount the authority must set aside from the revenue account to meet debt repayments, known as the minimum revenue provision, MRP. This minimum amount can be topped up by a voluntary revenue provision, VRP, in order to make the charge to revenue better reflect the actual use of the acquired capital item.

## 6 Debt Rescheduling

6.1 Debt rescheduling needs to be considered in the context that the value of debt on the Council's balance sheet is forecast to be at least stable and is currently rising. There may be an opportunity when market conditions are right to cease new borrowing and to use internal reserves as cover, but current interest rates do not make this sensible at this stage.
6.2 In that context, the Strategy endorsed the potential rescheduling of further long-term loans in 2006/07, which may be running at disadvantageous interest rates, or where savings can be made to reduce the debt charge costs to the authority. Consideration of options to reschedule debt has been undertaken in conjunction with our Treasury advisers, and consequently the Council has repaid $£ 59.174 \mathrm{~m}$ of debt and replaced this with $£ 58.75 \mathrm{~m}$ of new long-term debt. The overall impact of these changes have been to save the Council's general fund approximately $£ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ over the life of the existing loans.

## 7 Long term borrowing activity to date

7.1 So far in 2006/07, a total of $£ 15 m$ PWLB money has been borrowed to finance current year capital expenditure and PWLB principal repayments of $£ 8.123 \mathrm{~m}$. This contained no variable rate debt. Market conditions and the careful planning of loans have enabled the authority to borrow at an average of $4.16 \%$, against a budgeted rate of $4.75 \%$, for an average period of 43.4 years. The situation is continually being monitored to assess the possibility of further borrowing for 2006/07 within the limits set by the prudential framework.
7.2 Effectively a balance of $£ 5.2 \mathrm{~m}$ remains to be borrowed this year. It is intended at this point to undertake this borrowing around December or January if rates continue to prove attractive. Should PWLB rates fall, consideration will be given to borrowing in advance for the next financial year, as was successfully achieved last year.
7.3 The current debt outstanding with the PWLB is $£ 312.289 \mathrm{~m}$ at a weighted average rate of $4.966 \%$ and is spread as follows:

| Period remaining | Debt Outstanding $£ \mathrm{~m}$ | Average Rate $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Under 1 year | 0.001 | 2.500 |
| $1-2$ years | 0.016 | 2.877 |
| $2-3$ years | 3.033 | 9.442 |
| $3-4$ years | 10.046 | 9.599 |
| $4-5$ years | 3.279 | 8.540 |
| $6-10$ years | 3.643 | 8.936 |
| $10-20$ years | 29.505 | 5.271 |
| $20-30$ years | 149.016 | 4.764 |
| Over 30 years | 113.750 | 4.400 |

In addition, the Council has Lenders Option, Borrowers Option - LOBO - market loans of $£ 22.7 \mathrm{~m}$ at $4.45 \%$, which are repayable on demand at certain call points.
7.4 New borrowing this year has been undertaken as follows:

| Date | Amount (£m) | Period (years) | Interest <br> Rate \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 August 2006 (A) | 4.0 | 42.5 | 4.25 |
| 25 August 2006 (B) | 4.0 | 42.5 | 4.25 |
| 28 September 2006 | 4.0 | 46.5 | 4.05 |
| 28 September 2006 | 3.0 | 46.0 | 4.05 |

In addition there has been borrowing of $£ 58.75$ m as part of the restructuring during the year. Details of this borrowing are as follows:

| Date | Amount (£m) | Period (years) | Interest <br> Rate \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 June 2006 | 3.0 | 20.5 | 4.50 |
| 19 June 2006 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 4.25 |
| 19 June 2006 | 4.0 | 35.5 | 4.30 |
| 19 June 2006 | 5.0 | 46.5 | 4.25 |
| 19 June 2006 | 6.0 | 35.5 | 4.30 |
| 19 June 2006 | 2.5 | 46.5 | 4.25 |
| 16 August 2006 | 7.0 | 31.5 | 4.45 |
| 16 August 2006 | 6.5 | 32.5 | 4.45 |
| 16 August 2006 | 7.0 | 31.5 | 4.45 |
| 25 August 2006 | 5.25 | 31.5 | 4.30 |
| 25 August 2006 | 5.0 | 31.5 | 4.30 |

The repaid loans as part of the restructure are as follows:

| Date | Amount (£m) | Period to <br> Maturity(years) | Interest <br> Rate \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09 June 2006 | 5.000 | 19.8 | 4.75 |
| 09 June 2006 | 1.848 | 18.71 | 4.75 |
| 09 June 2006 | 0.652 | 18.71 | 4.75 |
| 09 June 2006 | 8.000 | 19.23 | 4.75 |
| 15 June 2006 | 4.435 | 18.74 | 4.50 |
| 15 June 2006 | 2.000 | 18.74 | 4.50 |
| 15 June 2006 | 4.000 | 19.74 | 4.50 |
| 15 June 2006 | 2.500 | 19.74 | 4.50 |
| 17 August 2006 | 5.000 | 8.80 | 4.30 |
| 17 August 2006 | 2.500 | 12.55 | 4.875 |
| 17 August 2006 | 5.000 | 14.55 | 4.875 |
| 17 August 2006 | 5.000 | 13.56 | 4.875 |
| 17 August 2006 | 3.000 | 14.55 | 4.75 |
| 17 August 2006 | 2.239 | 16.55 | 4.50 |
| 17 August 2006 | 8.000 | 17.56 | 4.50 |

7.5 Interest rates have developed as follows:

| Rates | $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Apr <br> $\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ <br> May <br> $\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Jun <br> $\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Jul <br> $\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Aug <br> $\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Sep <br> $\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ <br> $\mathbf{O c t}$ <br> $\mathbf{0 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| Base rate | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| PWLB 3 years | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.85 | 5.00 | 4.85 | 4.95 | 4.95 |
| PWLB 7-8 years | 4.55 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.90 | 4.80 | 4.75 | 4.70 |
| PWLB 20-25 years | 4.35 | 4.60 | 4.55 | 4.65 | 4.55 | 4.45 | 4.40 |
| PWLB 25-30 years | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.55 | 4.45 | 4.35 | 4.30 |
| PWLB 30-35 years | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.25 | 4.25 |
| PWLB 35-40 years | 4.20 | 4.45 | 4.35 | 4.50 | 4.35 | 4.25 | 4.20 |
| PWLB 40-45 years | 4.20 | 4.45 | 4.35 | 4.45 | 4.30 | 4.25 | 4.15 |
| PWLB 45-50 years | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.30 | 4.45 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.15 |

7.6 New borrowing has been taken at rates lower than those indicated above, as opportunities are constantly monitored to pick up what appears at the time to be a likely low point in interest rates. These are identified with the help of advice from our Treasury advisers. For example, the latest borrowing was undertaken at the end of September at a rate of $4.05 \%$ - lower than either the $4.20 \%$ at the start of September or the $4.15 \%$ at the start of October.

## Investments

8.1 The increase in short term interest rates as a result of the bank base rate increase this year and anticipated further increase in November has resulted in greater returns than those originally budgeted. The cash balance held by the Council has also continued to outperform the budgeted position in the short term. It is not anticipated that the current level of cash balances of over $£ 120 \mathrm{~m}$ can be sustained as there are a number of significant pressures on the Council, which are likely to lead to a significant reduction in the cash balance available for investment over the next couple of years.
8.2 The Council's ability to secure a good rate of return has depended on its ability to act flexibly when market conditions suggest a particular investment is good value. The general strategy is therefore to take either short or long dated investments that outperform market expectations, informed by the view of our treasury advisers.

The following investment activity had taken place as at 1 October 2006.

$$
\text { Total number of investments } 166
$$

Value of investment held at:

| 1 April 2006 | $£ 104.230 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 October 2006 | $£ 130.935 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Average value of investments April - Sep 2006 | $£ 129.355 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Total interest earned on investments | $£ 3.126 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Average return on portfolio | $4.833 \%$ |
| Average LIBID 7 day rate | $4.575 \%$ |
| Average LIBID 3 month rate | $4.684 \%$ |
| Average LIBID 12 month rate | $4.936 \%$ |
| Weighted average Base Rate (2006/07 to date) | $4.587 \%$ |

The interest earned to 1 October 2006 represents a return of $4.833 \%$, which is $0.246 \%$ more than the weighted average base rate, which since April has increased from $4.50 \%$ to $4.75 \%$. The portfolio has therefore continued to outperform all but the 12 month rate, yet remained flexible enough to be able to deal with any short term cash pressures.
8.3 Short-term cash available for investment has fluctuated between $£ 104 \mathrm{~m}$ and $£ 154 \mathrm{~m}$ so far during 2006/07 and has averaged $£ 129 \mathrm{~m}$. The high point was only due to having borrowed funds for restructuring purposes, and while we awaited a short term change in interest rates to obtain an increased level of discount from the PWLB. Cash has been invested only with institutions on the Council's approved list, with restrictions on overall amounts for particular institutions and sectors. All activity has been conducted within the current limits for investment set as part of the Prudential Code indicators.

## 9 Treasury Management Strategy

9.1 Currently there is a slight inconsistency between annexes 1 and 2 of the Treasury Management Strategy for 2006/07. Annex 1 indicates that all top twenty building societies should be able to be used as counterparties for investments, with a limit of at least $£ 6 \mathrm{~m}$ each. Annex 2 states that those building societies between 11 and 20 on the list are limited to $£ 3 \mathrm{~m}$ each. Our Treasury advisers believe that to extend the limit to $£ 6 m$ each for the latter group would not involve too great an increase in risk for the Council.
9.2 As current balances are higher than expected, the Council is to some extent restrained from obtaining even greater value on its investments, and it is recommended that to clarify the inconsistency in favour of the larger figure would help to increase investment returns at negligible increased risk.

For more information contact: Officer David Enticott Tel 255318 e-mail david.enticott@derby.gov.uk

Appendix 1 - Implications
Appendix 2 - Updated Prudential Indicators

## IMPLICATIONS

## Financial

1. As detailed in the report.

## Legal

2. As detailed in the report

## Personnel

3. None.

## Equalities impact

4. None.

## Corporate priorities

5. The objectives and outcomes of the Council's Treasury Management Strategy contribute to minimising Council Tax and providing Value for Money.

| Prudential Code |  | Actual | Actual | Estimated: | Actual | Estimated: | Estimated: | Estimated: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Indicator | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 |
| Affordability | Forecast Financing cost to Net Revenue Stream Ratio |  |  | 4.78\%22.35\% |  | 8.40\% | 9.32\% | 9.50\% |
| 3536 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - General Fund \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - HRA \% |  |  |  |  | 23.46\% | 23.43\% | 23.36\% |
| Actual Financing cost to Net Revenue Stream Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3738 | - General Fund \% | 4.21\% |  | 3.82\% | 4.03\% |  |  | 30.79 | 14.34 |
|  | - HRA \% | 23.60\% | 21.49\% | 24.76\% |  | 64.85 |  |  |  |
| 39 | Incremental Impact on Council Tax: Band D £/year year's programme | 11.43 |  | 20.31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local | Impact on Council Tax of new borrowing: £1m a year band D / yr years programme |  |  |  | 1.27 | 1.24 | 1.22 | 1.24 |  |
| 40-41 | Incremental Impact on Housing Rents $£ /$ week - year's programme = cumulative | 0.28 |  |  | 0.41 | 1.70 | 2.99 | 3.14 |  |
| Prudence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | Actual / Forecast Borrowing compared to CFR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -Net External Debt £m | 216.7 | 201.8 | 231.2 | 220.2 | 240.3 | 262.4 | 271.6 |  |
|  | - CFR £m | 272.7 | 326.6 | 366.5 | 364.7 | 383.0 | 390.1 | 393.0 |  |
| Local | - Gross External Debt $£ m$ | 272.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 332.7 \\ & 326.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 362.4 \\ & 366.5 \end{aligned}$ | 371.0364.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 381.3 \\ & 383.0 \end{aligned}$ | 387.1390.1 | 388.5 |  |
|  | - CFR £m |  |  |  |  |  |  | 393.0 |  |
| Capital Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51-52 | Total Capital Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - General Fund £m |  |  | 37.6 | 45.3 | 80.7 | 69.9 | 54.3 |  |
|  | - HRA Em |  |  | 32.3 | 31.1 | 10.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 |  |
|  | - Total £m |  |  | 69.8 | 76.4 | 90.8 | 80.6 | 65.1 |  |
| 53-54 | Estimated Capital Financing Requirement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - General Fund $£ m$ |  | 157.5 | 172.8 | 176.1 | 193.5 | 199.6 | 201.5 |  |
|  | - HRA Em |  | 169.1 | 193.7 | 188.5 | 189.5 | 190.5 | 191.5 |  |
|  | - Total £m |  | 326.6 | 366.5 | 364.7 | 383.0 | 390.1 | 393.0 |  |
| 57-58 | Actual Total CFR £m | 272.7 | 326.6 |  | 364.7 |  |  |  |  |
| External Debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | Authorised Limit for borrowing $£ \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  | 421 | 419 | 440 | 449 | 452 |  |
|  | Authorised Limit for other long term liabilities £m |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | Authorised Limit $£ \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  | 422 | 420 | 441 | 450 | 453 |  |
| 60 | Operational Boundary for borrowing $\mathrm{£m}$ |  |  | 385 | 383 | 402 | 410 | 413 |  |
|  | Operational Boundary for other long term liabilities $£ m$ |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | Operational Boundary £m |  |  | 386 | 384 | 403 | 411 | 414 |  |
| Treasury Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | Adopted CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| 67-70 | Interest Rate Exposure - Fixed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper limit \% |  |  | 120 | 101.92 | 120 | 120 | 120 |  |
|  | Lower limit \% |  |  | 80 |  | 80 | 80 | 80 |  |
| 67-70 | Interest Rate Exposure - Variable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper limit \% |  |  | 20 | -1.92 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |
|  | Lower limit \% |  |  | -20 |  | -20 | -20 | -20 |  |
| Local | Long term Borrowing - Fixed rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper limit \% |  |  | 100 | 93.09 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | Lower limit \% |  |  | 80 |  | 80 | 80 | 80 |  |
| Local | Long term Borrowing - Variable rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper limit \% |  |  | 20 | 6.01 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |
|  | Lower limit \% |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Local | Investments - Fixed rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper limit \% |  |  | 100 | 73.18 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | Lower limit \% |  |  | 30 |  | 30 | 30 | 30 |  |
| Local | Investments - Variable rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upper limit \% |  |  | 70 | 26.82 | 70 | 70 | 70 |  |
|  | Lower limit \% |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 74 | Maturity Structure of Debt - \% of all debi | Upper Limit \% |  | Lower Limit \% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Under a year | 15 |  | 0 | 2.47 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Between 1 and 2 years | 15 |  | 0 | 6.95 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Between 2 and 5 years | 30 |  | 0 | 4.96 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Between 5 and 10 years | 50 |  | 0 | 7.32 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Over 10 years | 100 |  | 50 | 78.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | Investments over a year - limit £m | £25m |  |  | £15m | £35m | £35m | £35m |  |
|  | Additionally, no investment to be longer than two years from date of investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

