Compla 2015/16	ints consi	idered und	er the Me	mbers' Comp	olaints Pro	cedure –		
Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Monitoring Officer (MO) consults with an IP	Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
21/2015	04/02/2016	N/A	18/02/2016	Decided that an investigation should take place.	15/05/2016	N/A	N/A	25/05/2016

This complaint was made by a member of the public about the conduct of an elected member at a meeting of Council. The MO consulted with an IP on 18 February 2016. The MO decided that an investigation should take place. The investigation was completed on 15 May. The complaint was carried over to the 2016/17 municipal year. The MO decided, following consultation with the IP, to uphold two out of four of the allegations. The MO, in agreement with the Chair and the IP, utilised paragraph 19 of the procedure for considering complaints so that the complaint was referred to the Standards Committee not for a hearing but to consider a sanction. At a meeting of the Standards Committee on 25 October 2016, the decision was upheld and it was proposed that the subject member issue an apology, however, when put to the vote this was unsuccessful.

Compla 2015/16		idered und	er the Me	mbers' Comp	olaints Pro	cedure –		
Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Monitoring Officer (MO) consults with an IP	Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
23/2015	27/04/2016	N/A	10/05/2016	No breach of the Code of Conduct.	N/A	N/A	N/A	06/06/2016
MO consult	ted with an IP	on 10 May 201	16. The comp	about the conduct laint was carried ov did not cross the th	ver to the 2016	/17 municipal	year. The MO	D decided,

Complai 2015/16		idered und	er the Me	mbers' Comp	olaints Pro	cedure –		
Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Monitoring Officer (MO) consults with an IP	Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
24/2015	09/05/2016	N/A	10/05/2016	Decided an investigation should take place.	22/07/2016	N/A	N/A	02/08/2016

This complaint was made by a member of the public about the conduct of an elected member in relation to a Mayoral event. The MO consulted with an IP on 10 May 2016 and decided that an investigation should take place. The complaint was carried over to the 2016/17 municipal year. The investigation was completed on 22 July. The MO decided, following consultation with the IP, to uphold three out of four of the allegations. The MO, in agreement with the Chair and the IP, utilised paragraph 19 of the procedure for considering complaints so that the complaint was referred to the Standards Committee not for a hearing but to consider a sanction. At a meeting of the Standards Committee on 25 October 2016, the decision was upheld and it was agreed that the subject member be asked to write a letter of explanation to the relevant Residents' Association.

Compla 2015/16		idered und	er the Me	mbers' Comp	olaints Pro	cedure –		
Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Monitoring Officer (MO) consults with an IP	Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
25/2015	09/05/2016	N/A	17/05/2016	Decided an investigation should take place.	06/10/2016	31/01/2017	Partially upheld.	02/02/2017

This complaint was made by a member of the public about the conduct of an elected member in relation to postings on Facebook and a distributed leaflet. The MO consulted with an IP on 17 May 2016. The complaint was carried over the 2016/17 municipal year. The MO decided that an investigation should take place and this was completed on 6 October. The MO decided, following consultation with the IP, to uphold the Facebook element of the complaint. At a meeting of the Standards Committee on 25 October 2016, it was agreed that the Monitoring Officer should seek the views of the subject member, following the subject member's receipt of the final draft decision, to determine the final outcome of the complaint. Following correspondence between the MO and the subject member, the MO referred the matter for a hearing of the Committee for final determination. At a meeting of the Committee on 31 January 2017, part of the complaint was upheld and it was agreed that the subject member be asked to write a letter of apology to the Committee for breaching the Code of Conduct and that a copy be forwarded to the complainant, if it was received.

Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Monitoring Officer (MO) consults with an IP	Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
01/2016	03/08/2016	N/A	04/08/2016	Decided an investigation should take place.	26/08/2016	N/A	N/A	31/08/2016

a letter sent to the complainant. The MO consulted with an IP on 4 August 2016 and decided that an investigation should take place. The investigation was completed on 26 August. The MO decided, following consultation with the IP, that the elected member had not breached the Code of Conduct.

Compla 2015/16		idered und	ler the Me	mbers' Comp	olaints Pro	cedure –		
Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Monitoring Officer (MO) consults with an IP	Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
02/2016	19/08/2016	N/A	01/09/2016	Decided that an investigation should take place.	24/09/2016	N/A	N/A	26/09/2016

This complaint was made by amember of the public about the conduct of three elected members in relation to a ward meeting that was advertised to take place. The MO consulted with an IP on 1 September 2016 and decided that an investigation should take place. The investigation was completed on 24 September. The MO decided, following consultation with the IP, that the elected members had not breached the Code of Conduct.

Compla 2015/16		idered und	er the Me	mbers' Comp	olaints Pro	cedure –		
Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Deputy Monitoring Officer (DMO) consults with an IP	Outcome of DMO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
03/2016	02/09/2016	N/A	16/09/2016	Decided that the complaint be dismissed	N/A	N/A	N/A	30/09/2016

This complaint was made by amember of the public about the conduct of an elected member in relation to the elected member's address. The DMO consulted with an IP on 16 September 2016 and decided that the complaint did not come with the remit of the Code of Conduct and was, therefore, dismissed.

2015/16 Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Deputy Monitoring Officer (DMO) consults with an IP	Outcome of DMO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
04/2016	02/09/2016	N/A	16/09/2016	Decided that the complaint be dismissed.	16/09/2016	N/A	N/A	30/09/2016

complaint did not cross the threshold of seriousness to warrant investigation and that the other fell outside of the Code of Conduct.

Complai 2015/16	ints consi	idered und	ler the Me	mbers' Comp	olaints Pro	cedure –		
Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Deputy Monitoring Officer (DMO) consults with an IP	Outcome of DMO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
05/2016	16/09/2016	N/A	22/09/2016	Decided that the complaint be dismissed.	N/A	N/A	N/A	14/10/2016
		•		about the conduct o				

elected member made to the media. The DMO consulted with an IP on 22 September 2016 and decided that it was not in the public interest to investigate one element of the complaint and the other should not be investigated because it could be considered to be vexatious, malicious, obsessive or partisan.

Compla 2015/16 Complaint Number	Ints consi Date Received	idered und Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	er the Me Monitoring Officer (MO) consults with an IP	mbers' Comp Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	cedure – Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
06/2016	21/10/16	N/A	28/10/16	Decided that the complaint be dismissed.	N/A	N/A	N/A	22/11/16
the complai	inant on Face		consulted wit	but the conduct of a han IP and decide				

2015/16 Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Monitoring Officer (MO) consults with an IP	Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
07/2016	24/01/17	N/A	08/02/17	Decided that the complaint be dismissed.	N/A	N/A	N/A	22/02/17
made on Fa	acebook. The	complainant re	equested that	Lected member in re their identity remain their of the Code of	n confidential.			• •

Officer an IP completed meeting Standards (DMO) nt consults with an IP with an IP	arties
22/02/17 Decided to seek further information.	

2015/16 Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Deputy Monitoring Officer (DMO) consults with an IP	Outcome of DMO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
09/2016	08/02/17		16/03/17	Decided to seek further information.				
allegedly ta	king photos c		he Council h				•	

Compla 2015/16		idered und	er the Me	mbers' Comp	plaints Pro	cedure –		
Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Deputy Monitoring Officer (DMO) consults with an IP	Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
10/2016	14/02/17							
This compla	aint is on hold	d pending the s	ubmission of	information from th	e complainant.			

2015/16 Complaint Number		Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Deputy Monitoring Officer (MO)	mbers' Comp Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
11/2016	20/02/17		28/02/17					
				ut the conduct of a un consulting with		ber in relatior	to comment	s the subject

Compla 2015/16		idered und	er the Me	mbers' Comp	olaints Pro	cedure –		
Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Deputy Monitoring Officer (MO) consults with an IP	Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
12/2016	03/03/17	N/A	15/03/17					
				about the conduct Iting with an IP.	of an elected n	nember in rela	ation to the su	bject

2015/16 Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Deputy Monitoring Officer (DMO) consults with an IP	Outcome of DMO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
13/2016	01/03/17		15/03/17					
allegedly fa	iling to answe		tary question	about the conduct at a meeting of Cc IP.				

Complaint Number	Date Received	Subject Member meets with an Independent Person (IP)	Deputy Monitoring Officer (MO) consults with an IP	Outcome of MO consulting with an IP	Date investigation completed	Standards Committee meeting	Outcome of Standards Committee meeting	Decision to parties
14/2016	09/03/17		15/03/17					
This comple	aint was mad		member abo	ut the conduct of a begun consulting		ber in relation	n to the subje	ct men